



SCUOLA INTERNAZIONALE SUPERIORE DI STUDI AVANZATI

SISSA Digital Library

Real spectral triples on crossed products

Original

Real spectral triples on crossed products / Rubin, Alessandro; Dabrowski, Ludwik. - In: REVIEWS IN MATHEMATICAL PHYSICS. - ISSN 0129-055X. - 34:10(2022). [10.1142/S0129055X22500349]

Availability:

This version is available at: 20.500.11767/135211 since: 2023-11-30T19:51:05Z

Publisher:

Published

DOI:10.1142/S0129055X22500349

Terms of use:

Testo definito dall'ateneo relativo alle clausole di concessione d'uso

Publisher copyright

note finali coverpage

(Article begins on next page)

REAL SPECTRAL TRIPLES ON CROSSED PRODUCTS

ALESSANDRO RUBIN AND LUDWIK DĄBROWSKI

ABSTRACT. Given a spectral triple on a unital C^* -algebra A and an equicontinuous action of a discrete group G on A , a spectral triple on the reduced crossed product C^* -algebra $A \rtimes_r G$ was constructed by Hawkins, Skalski, White and Zacharias in [22], extending the construction by Belissard, Marcolli and Reihani in [5], by using the Kasparov product to make an ansatz for the Dirac operator. Supposing that the triple on A is equivariant for an action of G , we show that the triple on $A \rtimes_r G$ is equivariant for the dual coaction of G . If moreover an equivariant real structure J is given for the triple on A , we give constructions for two inequivalent real structures on the triple $A \rtimes_r G$. We compute the KO-dimension with respect to each real structure in terms of the KO-dimension of J and show that the first and the second order conditions are preserved. Lastly, we characterise an equivariant orientation cycle on the triple on $A \rtimes_r G$ coming from an equivariant orientation cycle on the triple on A . We show, along the paper, that our constructions generalize the respective constructions of the equivariant spectral triple on the noncommutative 2-torus.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Preliminaries: Spectral Triples and Real Structures	3
2.1. Products of Spectral Triples	5
2.2. Spectral Triples over Discrete Groups	5
3. Spectral Triples on Crossed Products	8
3.1. Spectral Triples Arising From Equicontinuous Actions	8
3.2. The Equivariant Construction	11
4. The Existence of a Real Structure	18
4.1. First Case (J unitarily invariant)	18
4.2. Second Case (J twisted invariant)	22
4.3. Equivariant Real Structures	26
5. The Existence of an Orientation Cycle	29
Appendix A. Equivariant KK-Theory and The Kasparov Descent	34
References	36

1. INTRODUCTION

The notion of a “spectral triple” (also known as unbounded Fredholm module, or unbounded K-cycle) was introduced by Alain Connes in the course of studying a noncommutative generalization of the Atiyah-Singer index theorem. Its prototype is given by the commutative $*$ -algebra $C^\infty(M)$ of smooth functions on a compact spin manifold M and the Dirac operator on the Hilbert space of square-integrable spinors. Under a few additional assumptions, any commutative spectral triple must be of this form and so it is possible to

recover the original manifold from these data [13, 14]. So far, most research has focused on investigating the properties of particular known spectral triples. However, despite their importance and extensive study, it is not yet fully understood under what conditions it is possible to define a spectral triple on a given C^* -algebra; various examples have been constructed only for some specific classes of C^* -algebras, for instance matrix algebras [29, 34], group C^* -algebras of discrete hyperbolic groups, AF -algebras, algebras arising as q -deformations of the function algebra of Lie groups (see references in [22]) and algebras with the ergodic action of a compact Lie group [18]. Spectral triples with nontrivial K-homological content have also been constructed on Cuntz-Krieger algebras [19], boundary crossed products by hyperbolic isometry groups [33] and groupoid C^* -algebras [32].

In this respect, spectral triples on crossed products $A \rtimes_r G$ proffer new noncommutative examples (even when A and G are abelian) as they can be regarded as noncommutative quotient spaces (see e.g., [28, Chapter 2]).

A spectral triple on the crossed product was first introduced in [5] starting from the equicontinuous action of $G = \mathbb{Z}$ on a spectral triple (\mathcal{A}, H, D) on a C^* -algebra A . Therein the question studied was how the Dirac operator D encodes the metric properties of a noncommutative space, in order to define in particular a noncommutative analogue of a (compact) complete metric space (see e.g., [10], [40]). This work was generalised in [22], using as building blocks the spectral triples [10] on the reduced group C^* -algebra $C_r^*(G)$, where the group G is discrete and endowed with a length-type function, and which is then assumed to act smoothly and equicontinuously on the spectral triple (\mathcal{A}, H, D) . As pointed out in [22], the key idea is to use (a representative for the) external unbounded Kasparov product to produce a spectral triple on the tensor product $A \otimes C_r^*(G)$ and then check under which conditions the same formula still defines a triple $(C_c(G, \mathcal{A}), \widehat{H}, \widehat{D})$ on the crossed product. However, the rationale why this construction should bear any relation to the (external) Kasparov product is so far unclear. Besides the structure of a quantum metric space, in [22] it is proved that the summability of spectral triples is preserved (under some additional assumptions) under the passage from (\mathcal{A}, H, D) to $(C_c(G, \mathcal{A}), \widehat{H}, \widehat{D})$, and that the *dimension* is additive. It is also shown that the non-degeneracy of the triple is maintained (see Definition 2.2).

In this paper we consider the construction given in [22] and address a few other so-called *axioms* of noncommutative manifolds formulated by A. Connes [13]: our main new contributions concern compatibility with real structures, first and second order conditions and orientation cycles. They are essential in the reconstruction of the geometric data of commutative spectral triples [14], and for the theory of gauge transformations and gauge fields in case of almost-commutative spectral triples, including, e.g., the spectral version of the Standard Model of fundamental particles and their interactions in physics, cf. [15]. It is also worth to mention that a spectral triple equipped with the reality structure defines a real unbounded KO-cycle, which couples to the real KO-theory with a finer periodicity modulo 8, rather than modulo 2 in the usual (complex) case. Our guide example is the spectral triple on the noncommutative 2-torus [21, 38], regarded as the crossed product $C(S^1) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}$. We show that our constructions for the real structures and the orientation cycles generalize the usual ones on the noncommutative torus.

We work under the assumption that the starting triple (\mathcal{A}, H, D) is equivariant with respect to a unitary representation $G \ni g \mapsto u_g \in \mathcal{L}(H)$. In this case, Remark 29 in [22], to which we add a few details, brings forth another unitarily equivalent spectral triple on

$A \rtimes_{\alpha,r} G$, which thus has the same KK-class. We also assume that G acts by isometries, i.e., the operators u_g commute with the Dirac operator D , and thus the two spectral triples have the same Dirac operator \widehat{D} and only different representations of the algebra $A \rtimes_r G$ on the Hilbert space \widehat{H} .

We start in Section 2 with some preliminary material on spectral triples, their products and relation to K-homology, real structures and provide some examples on discrete groups.

In Section 3 we mostly recall the construction developed in [22], both in the so called equivariant and non-equivariant settings, and relate it to Kasparov's bivariant K-theory [26, 27]. In particular, we prove that the unsatisfactory relation with the external Kasparov product can be better explained through the internal Kasparov product under suitable isomorphisms. At the end, we show that $(C_c(G, \mathcal{A}), \widehat{H}, \widehat{D})$ is equivariant under the dual coaction of G (or, more precisely, the coaction of the group algebra $\mathbb{C}G$ which is in fact a Hopf algebra).

Sections 4 and 5 contain our main results. In Section 4 we first construct a real structure \widehat{J} on $(C_c(G, \mathcal{A}), \widehat{H}, \widehat{D})$ provided (\mathcal{A}, H, D) admits a real structure J such that $Ju_g = u_g J$ for any $g \in G$. If G is abelian, we construct a second inequivalent real structure \widetilde{J} provided $Ju_g = u_g^* J$ for any $g \in G$. We show that in both cases we can consider the relationship between J and u as the equivariance of J with respect to the action of $\mathbb{C}G$ endowed with a suitable $*$ -structure, and find that both \widehat{J} and \widetilde{J} are equivariant for the dual coaction of G . Furthermore, we compute the KO-dimension of \widehat{J} and \widetilde{J} in terms of the KO-dimension of J , and show that the first and the second order conditions are preserved by the crossed product for both of them, under suitable conditions. Lastly, in Section 5, using a suitably twisted shuffle product between Hochschild cycles, we induce an equivariant orientation cycle on $(C_c(G, \mathcal{A}), \widehat{H}, \widehat{D})$ from an equivariant orientation cycle on (\mathcal{A}, H, D) .

Conventions. All Hilbert spaces and C^* -algebras in this paper are assumed to be separable and algebras are assumed to be complex and unital. Furthermore, every group G is assumed to be topological with a locally compact second-countable topology.

Acknowledgments. The authors would like to thank the referees for their helpful and detailed comments and suggestions for improvements. They are also very grateful to P. Antonini for several useful comments and to A. Magee for reading the manuscript and helpful remarks. A.R. thanks A. Magee also for numerous discussions.

2. PRELIMINARIES: SPECTRAL TRIPLES AND REAL STRUCTURES

In this section we recall some basic well known definitions, facts and examples about spectral triples and real structures. For more details we refer to [11–15, 21].

Definition 2.1. An *odd spectral triple* (\mathcal{A}, H, D) on a unital C^* -algebra A consists of a dense $*$ -subalgebra $\mathcal{A} \subseteq A$ represented by $\pi: A \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(H)$ on a Hilbert space H and a self-adjoint operator D (called a *Dirac operator*) densely defined on $\text{Dom } D \subset H$ such that $(1 + D^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ is compact, $\pi(a)(\text{Dom } D) \subseteq \text{Dom } D$ and the commutator $[D, \pi(a)]$ extends to a bounded operator on H for every $a \in \mathcal{A}$.

If the representation π is not clear from the context, we will use the notation (A, H, D, π) . Note that the largest algebra \mathcal{A} with the properties as above is the *Lipschitz algebra* $C^{Lip}(A)$, which is a Banach $*$ -algebra complete in the norm $\|a\|_1 = \|a\| + \|[D, \pi(a)]\|$ [5, Lemma 1].

Definition 2.2. A spectral triple (\mathcal{A}, H, D) on a unital C^* -algebra A is called *non-degenerate* when it is the case that the representation π is faithful and $[D, \pi(a)] = 0$ for $a \in \mathcal{A}$, if and only if $a \in \mathbb{C}1_A$.

An *even* spectral triple on A is given by the same data with the addition of a \mathbb{Z}_2 -grading, namely a self-adjoint operator $\chi: H \rightarrow H$ called a *grading operator* such that $\chi^2 = 1_H$, $\pi(a)\chi = \chi\pi(a)$ for all $a \in A$, $\chi(\text{Dom } D) \subseteq \text{Dom } D$ and $D\chi = -\chi D$.

In the case of an even spectral triple, it is always possible to fix a basis of H in such a way that $H = H_0 \oplus H_1$ and

$$\chi = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \pi = \begin{pmatrix} \pi_0 & 0 \\ 0 & \pi_1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad D = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & D_0 \\ D_0^* & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Sometimes it is useful to think of odd spectral triples as even triples with the grading $\chi = \text{id}_H$. In this way, it is possible to consider the two situations at the same time.

Generalizing the charge conjugation operator on Dirac spinors on spin_c manifolds, A. Connes introduced the following notion:

Definition 2.3 (cf. [13, 15]). A *real structure* for an (even or odd) spectral triple (A, H, D, π, χ) is an anti-linear isometry $J: H \rightarrow H$ such that

- (1) $[\pi(a), J\pi(b)J^{-1}] = 0$ for all $a, b \in A$ (*zeroth order condition*)
- (2) there are signs $\varepsilon, \varepsilon', \varepsilon'' = \pm 1$ for which

$$J^2 = \varepsilon \quad DJ = \varepsilon' JD \quad \chi J = \varepsilon'' J\chi.$$

In this case (A, H, D, J) will be called a *real spectral triple*.

There are eight possible triples of signs $(\varepsilon, \varepsilon', \varepsilon'')$ and they determine the so called *KO-dimension* $n \in \mathbb{Z}_8$ of the real spectral triple according to the following table¹:

n	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
ε	+1	+1	-1	-1	-1	-1	+1	+1
ε'	+1	-1	+1	+1	+1	-1	+1	+1
ε''	+1		-1		+1		-1	

Accordingly, even triples have even KO-dimension and odd triples have odd KO-dimension. The *zeroth order condition* transforms the Hilbert space H into a bimodule over A thanks to the right action

$$\psi \cdot b = J\pi(b^*)J^{-1}\psi, \quad \psi \in H, b \in A.$$

Furthermore, the following property is the noncommutative analogue of requiring D to be a first order differential operator.

Definition 2.4 (cf. [12]). A real spectral triple (\mathcal{A}, H, D, J) on a unital C^* -algebra A satisfies the *first order condition* if

$$[[D, \pi(a)], J\pi(b)J^{-1}] = 0.$$

for every $a, b \in A$.

Motivated by the properties of the real structure operator on the spectral triple of the noncommutative Standard Model of particle physics, we consider also the following property.

¹If n is even, $J' = J\chi$ can be used as another real structure with the new signs $(\varepsilon\varepsilon'', -\varepsilon', \varepsilon'')$ [16].

Definition 2.5 (cf. [9]). A real spectral triple (\mathcal{A}, H, D, J) on a unital C^* -algebra A satisfies the *second order condition* if

$$[[D, \pi(a)], J[D, \pi(b)]J^{-1}] = 0.$$

for every $a, b \in A$.

2.1. Products of Spectral Triples.

An even or odd spectral triple (\mathcal{A}, H, D) over a unital C^* -algebra A canonically defines a Fredholm module $(A, H, \mathfrak{b}(D))$, where

$$\mathfrak{b}(D) = D(1 + D^2)^{-1}$$

is a bounded operator on H called the *bounded transform* of D [11]. In particular, the bounded transform induces a map from the space of spectral triples on A to the K-homology group $K^\bullet(A)$; this map turns out to be surjective [3] and so any K-homology class admits an unbounded representative. The external Kasparov product of two Fredholm modules can be described in terms of their unbounded representatives as follows.

Given two odd spectral triples (\mathcal{A}, H_A, D_A) and (\mathcal{B}, H_B, D_B) on C^* -algebras A and B , let $\mathcal{A} \odot \mathcal{B}$ denote the algebraic tensor product of \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} . Set $H = H_A \otimes H_B$ and define the operator D on $H \oplus H$ by

$$D = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & D_A \otimes 1 - i \otimes D_B \\ D_A \otimes 1 + i \otimes D_B & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (2.1)$$

Proposition 2.6 (cf. [11], Chapter 4.A). *The triple $(\mathcal{A} \odot \mathcal{B}, H \oplus H, D)$ is an even spectral triple on $A \otimes_{\min} B$ and represents the external Kasparov product of $[(\mathcal{A}, H_A, D_A)] \in KK_1(A, \mathbb{C})$ with $[(\mathcal{B}, H_B, D_B)] \in KK_1(B, \mathbb{C})$.*

Analogously, given an even spectral triple $(\mathcal{A}, H_{A,0} \oplus H_{A,1}, D_A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & D_0 \\ D_0^* & 0 \end{pmatrix})$ on A and an odd spectral triple (\mathcal{B}, H_B, D_B) on B , set $H = (H_{A,0} \otimes H_B) \oplus (H_{A,1} \otimes H_B)$ and define the operator D on H by

$$D = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \otimes D_B & D_0 \otimes 1 \\ D_0^* \otimes 1 & -1 \otimes D_B \end{pmatrix}. \quad (2.2)$$

Proposition 2.7 (cf. [23], Chapter 9). *The triple $(\mathcal{A} \odot \mathcal{B}, H, D)$ is an odd spectral triple on $A \otimes_{\min} B$ and represents the external Kasparov product of $[(\mathcal{A}, H_A, D_A)] \in KK_0(A, \mathbb{C})$ with $[(\mathcal{B}, H_B, D_B)] \in KK_1(B, \mathbb{C})$.*

2.2. Spectral Triples over Discrete Groups.

As we will see in the following, a crucial ingredient in our construction of a triple on a crossed product $A \rtimes_{\alpha,r} G$ is a spectral triple on the reduced group algebra $C_r^*(G)$. It is known that unbounded Kasparov modules on groups and groupoids exist when they are endowed with a weight type function, see e.g. [2, 6, 10, 32]. In this subsection we recall some basic facts and definitions specializing the discussion for our purposes.

Definition 2.8. A *weight* on a group G is a function $l: G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. A weight is *proper* if the level sets $\{g \in G \mid -n \leq l(g) \leq n\}$ are finite for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. We say that a weight is *non-degenerate* when $l(g) = 0$ if and only if $g = e$.

Proper weights can exist only on countable groups for obvious reasons. Since we assume that all topological groups G are second countable, every discrete group is in particular countable and may admit proper weights.

Example 2.9. Any group homomorphism $l: G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is clearly an example of a weight on G . In this case l must be cyclic in the sense that

$$l(gh) = l(hg) \quad \forall g, h \in G$$

as \mathbb{R} is abelian. Note that if the homomorphism l is non-degenerate as a weight, then G must be abelian. \square

Definition 2.10. A weight $l: G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is said to be a *Dirac weight* if for every $g \in G$ the (left) translation function $l_g: G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by

$$l_g(x) = l(x) - l(g^{-1}x) \quad x \in G$$

is bounded. A Dirac weight l is said to be of *first-order type* if for every $g \in G$ the (left) translation function l_g is constant.

A special case of a weight on a group G is given by the notion of a *length function*, namely a non-degenerate weight $l: G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $l(xy) \leq l(x) + l(y)$ for every $x, y \in G$ and $l(x) = l(x^{-1})$ for every $x \in G$. Note that any length function is a positive Dirac weight as

$$0 = l(e) = l(xx^{-1}) \leq l(x) + l(x^{-1}) = 2l(x) \quad \forall x \in G$$

and

$$l_g(x) = l(x) - l(g^{-1}x) \leq l(g) \quad \forall x, g \in G.$$

The prototypical length function is the *word metric* on a finitely generated group G which associates to any element $g \in G$ the minimum number of generators needed to write g (for an *a priori* fixed generating set).

Lemma 2.11 (cf. [6], Lemma 3.13). *Let $l: G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a weight on a group G . The following facts are equivalent:*

- (1) l is a first-order type Dirac weight
- (2) $l = \alpha + \varphi$ where α is constant and $\varphi: G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a homomorphism
- (3) $l(xzy^{-1}) - l(zy^{-1}) = l(xz) - l(z)$ for every $x, y, z \in G$.

Till the end of this subsection we shall consider a discrete group G endowed with a proper Dirac weight $l: G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Let M_l be the multiplication operator by l on the domain of finitely supported elements of $\ell^2(G)$ and let us denote also by M_l its self adjoint extension to $\ell^2(G)$. The group algebra $\mathbb{C}G$ acts on $\ell^2(G)$ via the left regular representation $\lambda_g \delta_h = \delta_{gh}$ for $g, h \in G$, and the data

$$(\mathbb{C}G, \ell^2(G), M_l, \lambda) \tag{2.3}$$

form an odd spectral triple on the reduced group C^* -algebra $C_r^*(G)$. Indeed, M_l is self-adjoint as l takes values in \mathbb{R} , the properness of l implies that the resolvent of M_l is compact and the fact that l is a Dirac weight guarantees that the commutators $[M_l, \lambda_g] = M_{l_g} \lambda_g$ are bounded for every $g \in G$. Note further that if the weight l is non-degenerate, then the triple (2.3) is also non degenerate.

Example 2.12. Consider the discrete group $G = \mathbb{Z}$ endowed with the non-degenerate proper Dirac weight $\iota: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by the inclusion. It is well known (see e.g. [32, pag. 240]) that the spectral triple as defined in (2.3) agrees with the usual spectral triple on $C(S^1)$ arising from the Dirac operator $-i\frac{d}{d\theta}$ under the Fourier transform $C_r^*(\mathbb{Z}) \simeq C(S^1)$. Note in particular that, at the level of K-homology, it is a generator of the cyclic group $K^1(C_r^*(\mathbb{Z})) \simeq \mathbb{Z}$.

Remark 2.13. We thank the referee for this remark. The properness condition for a Dirac weight on a group is *extremely restrictive* as it forces the weight to grow (for example, there is not any constant proper weight on a group unless the group is finite). Furthermore, the space of proper weights on a group may be a priori empty. To avoid this condition, one is somewhat forced to fall into Kasparov's KK-theory (e.g. [32, Section 3.7]). However, avoiding this condition is not always convenient: for example, any positive weight will yield a spectral triple whose K-homology class is trivial.

We now pass to the study of real structures on the spectral triple (2.3).

Proposition 2.14 (cf. [6,39]). *Let G be a discrete group and $l: G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ a proper Dirac weight. The anti-unitary involutive map $J_G: \ell^2(G) \rightarrow \ell^2(G)$ given by the anti-linear extension of*

$$J_G \delta_g = \delta_{g^{-1}} \quad \forall g \in G \quad (2.4)$$

is a real structure on the odd spectral triple (2.3) if and only if for every $g \in G$, $l(g^{-1}) = \varepsilon' l(g)$, where $\varepsilon' = \pm 1$. In this case the KO-dimension of the real structure is given by the pair $(+1, \varepsilon')$ and can be either 1 or 7.

Proof. Clearly $J_G^2 = 1$. Then just note that the equation $M_l J_G = \varepsilon' J_G M_l$ is fulfilled if and only if $l(g^{-1}) = \varepsilon' l(g)$ for every $g \in G$. \square

Proposition 2.15 (cf. [39]). *Let G be a discrete group and $l: G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ a proper Dirac weight. Suppose that the map J_G given in (2.4) is a real structure for the spectral triple (2.3). Then $(\mathbb{C}G, \ell^2(G), M_l, J_G)$ satisfies the first order condition if and only if l is either a constant or a homomorphism.*

Proof. An easy computation shows that the first order condition holds true if and only if we have that

$$l(xzy^{-1}) - l(zy^{-1}) = l(xz) - l(z)$$

for every $x, y, z \in G$. By Lemma 2.11, this means that l must be the sum of a constant and a homomorphism. But from Proposition 2.14 we know that $l(g^{-1}) = \varepsilon' l(g)$ for every $g \in G$ and it is easy to see that if $\varepsilon' = 1$ then l must be constant and that if $\varepsilon' = -1$ then l must be a homomorphism. \square

Proposition 2.16. *Let G be a discrete group and $l: G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ a proper Dirac weight. Suppose that the map J_G given in (2.4) is a real structure for the spectral triple (2.3). If $(\mathbb{C}G, \ell^2(G), M_l, J_G)$ satisfies the first order condition, then it satisfies the second order condition.*

Proof. An easy computation shows that for any $g, h, x \in G$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} [M_l, \lambda_h], J_G[M_l, \lambda_g] J_G^{-1} \delta_x &= (l(gx^{-1}) - l(x^{-1})) (l(hxg^{-1}) - l(xg^{-1})) \delta_{hxg^{-1}} \\ &\quad - (l(hx) - l(x)) (l(gx^{-1}) - l(x^{-1})) \delta_{hxg^{-1}}. \end{aligned}$$

The thesis comes from Proposition 2.15. \square

3. SPECTRAL TRIPLES ON CROSSED PRODUCTS

In this section we recall (with some additions) the relevant results from [22].

3.1. Spectral Triples Arising From Equicontinuous Actions.

Assumptions 1. Let (\mathcal{A}, H, D, π) be an odd spectral triple on a unital C^* -algebra A with π faithful and (A, G, α) a C^* -dynamical system, namely a discrete group G acting on A by automorphisms such that the map $x \mapsto \alpha_x(a)$ from G to A is continuous for any $a \in A$. Let us suppose further that G is equipped with a proper Dirac weight $l: G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ so that this determines a spectral triple $(\mathbb{C}G, \ell^2(G), M_l, \lambda)$ over $C_r^*(G)$ (see Subsection 2.2).

We regard an element $f \in C_c(G, \mathcal{A})$ as a sum $f = \sum_{g \in G} a_g \delta_g$ where only finitely many elements a_g are non-zero and δ_g is the function which is 1 on $g \in G$ and zero otherwise. We define a product and $*$ -operation on $C_c(G, \mathcal{A})$ by

$$\delta_h \delta_g = \delta_{hg} \quad \delta_g^* = \delta_{g^{-1}} \quad \delta_g a \delta_g^* = \alpha_g(a),$$

such that $C_c(G, \mathcal{A})$ becomes a $*$ -algebra. The pair of maps

$$\begin{cases} \hat{\pi}_1(a)(\xi \otimes \delta_g) = \pi(\alpha_{g^{-1}}(a)) \xi \otimes \delta_g \\ \hat{\lambda}_h(\xi \otimes \delta_g) = \xi \otimes \delta_{hg}, \end{cases} \quad (3.1)$$

where $a \in A$, $\xi \in H$ and $g, h \in G$, provide representations of A and G on $H \otimes \ell^2(G)$ which are *covariant*, namely such that

$$\hat{\lambda}_h \hat{\pi}_1(a) \hat{\lambda}_h^* = \hat{\pi}_1(\alpha_g(a)). \quad (3.2)$$

Thanks to (3.2), the *integrated form* $\hat{\pi}_1 \rtimes \hat{\lambda}$ of the pair $(\hat{\pi}_1, \hat{\lambda})$ given by

$$\hat{\pi}_1 \rtimes \hat{\lambda}(a \delta_g) = \hat{\pi}_1(a) \hat{\lambda}_g \quad (3.3)$$

is a $*$ -representation of $C_c(G, \mathcal{A})$ on $H \otimes \ell^2(G)$. We define the reduced crossed product $A \rtimes_{\alpha, r} G$ as the completion of $C_c(G, \mathcal{A})$ under the norm given by regarding its elements as bounded operators on that Hilbert space.

We now return to the discussion of the spectral triple on $A \rtimes_{r, \alpha} G$. Following Proposition 2.6, we define a Dirac operator \widehat{D} on $\widehat{H} = H \otimes \ell^2(G) \otimes \mathbb{C}^2$ by

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{D} &= D \otimes 1 \otimes \sigma_1 + 1 \otimes M_l \otimes \sigma_2 \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & D \otimes 1 - i \otimes M_l \\ D \otimes 1 + i \otimes M_l & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

where σ_1 and σ_2 are Pauli matrices and we consider $A \rtimes_{r, \alpha} G$ acting diagonally on \widehat{H} by two copies of the integrated form (3.3). The operator (3.4) is clearly densely defined and self-adjoint with compact resolvent. The only non-trivial fact is to check that the commutator of \widehat{D} with the representation of $A \rtimes_{\alpha, r} G$ is bounded: on the one hand, we have that

$$[1 \otimes M_l, \hat{\pi}_1(a) \hat{\lambda}_h] = (1 \otimes M_{l_h}) \hat{\pi}_1(a) \hat{\lambda}_h \quad (3.5)$$

for any $h \in G$. As l is a Dirac weight, the operator M_{l_h} of multiplication by the function $l_h(x) = l(x) - l(h^{-1}x)$ on $\ell^2(G)$ is bounded. On the other hand, for $a \in \mathcal{A}$ and $g, h \in G$, we have

$$[D \otimes 1, \hat{\pi}_1(a) \hat{\lambda}_h] (\xi \otimes \delta_g) = [D, \pi(\alpha_{g^{-1}h^{-1}}(a))] \xi \otimes \delta_{hg}. \quad (3.6)$$

A priori this quantity is not well defined as $\pi(\alpha_g(a))$ might not belong to the domain of D ; and even in that case the right hand side is not necessarily bounded. We overcome these difficulties in the following way.

Definition 3.1 (cf. [22]). We say that the (continuous) action $\alpha : G \rightarrow \text{Aut}(A)$ is:

- *smooth* if $\alpha_g(\mathcal{A}) \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ for all $g \in G$
- *equicontinuous* if for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$

$$\sup_{g \in G} \|[D, \pi(\alpha_g(a))]\| < \infty. \quad (3.7)$$

Theorem 3.2 (cf. [22]). Let (\mathcal{A}, H, D) and G as in Assumptions 1. Suppose further that G acts smoothly and equicontinuously on A . The triple $(C_c(G, \mathcal{A}), \widehat{H}, \widehat{D}, \widehat{\pi}_1 \rtimes \widehat{\lambda})$ is an even spectral triple on $A \rtimes_{\alpha, r} G$. Furthermore, if the weight l is non-degenerate and the triple (\mathcal{A}, H, D) is non-degenerate, then the triple $(C_c(G, \mathcal{A}), \widehat{H}, \widehat{D}, \widehat{\pi}_1 \rtimes \widehat{\lambda})$ is also non-degenerate.

Remark 3.3. Even though the operator \widehat{D} resembles (a representative for) the external Kasparov product of D and M_l , the triple $(C_c(G, \mathcal{A}), \widehat{H}, \widehat{D})$ is *not* the external Kasparov product of the triple (\mathcal{A}, H, D) with the triple $(C_r^*(G), \ell^2(G), M_l)$ as the isomorphic vector spaces $A \rtimes_{\alpha, r} G$ and $A \otimes C_r^*(G)$ are in general not isomorphic as algebras.

It is well known that the construction in Theorem 3.2 can be thought as a generalization of the boundary map in the K-homology Pimsner-Voiculescu sequence (cf. [36]) in the following sense. Applying the six-term exact sequence in K-homology to the generalised Toeplitz extension

$$0 \longrightarrow A \otimes \mathcal{K}(\ell^2(\mathbb{Z})) \longrightarrow T_\alpha \longrightarrow A \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow 0 \quad (3.8)$$

and using $K^i(T_\alpha) \simeq K^i(A)$, one obtains the Pimsner-Voiculescu exact sequence

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} K^0(A) & \xleftarrow{1 - \alpha^*} & K^0(A) & \xleftarrow{\varepsilon} & K^0(A \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{Z}) \\ \downarrow \partial^0 & & & & \uparrow \partial^1 \\ K^1(A \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{Z}) & \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} & K^1(A) & \xrightarrow{1 - \alpha^*} & K^1(A). \end{array}$$

Here ε is the pull-back map. Note that the boundary maps ∂^0 and ∂^1 are just the left Kasparov multiplication by the class $[\tau] \in KK_1(A \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{Z}, A \otimes \mathcal{K}) \simeq KK_1(A \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{Z}, A)$ defined by the extension (3.8) (see [8, §19.5 – 6]).

Consider now the discrete group $G = \mathbb{Z}$ and the Dirac weight $\iota : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by the inclusion. It is clear that the triple $(A \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{Z}, \widehat{H}, \widehat{D})$ is the Kasparov product of (A, H, D) with the class of the generalised Toeplitz extension (3.8). This proves that:

$$\partial^1 [(A, H, D)] = [(A \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{Z}, \widehat{H}, \widehat{D})]. \quad (3.9)$$

More refined methods to see this fact can be found in many places, for instance [37].

Remark 3.4. We thank the referee for this remark. By passing to higher order spectral triples and applying a logarithmic dampening of the Dirac operator, it is possible to handle also non-equicontinuous actions and get similar results. As an example of this strategy, consider a non-isometric diffeomorphism on the circle which give rise to a twisted spectral

triple on S^1 [20, Example 1.6] of which the logarithmic dampening is an ordinary spectral triple [20, Example 1.9]. The pullback action of the diffeomorphism generates an action of \mathbb{Z} on the circle which is not equicontinuous. Deforming the standard Dirac operator on the circle and following a prescription similar to that of Theorem (3.2), one can define a higher order spectral triple on $C(S^1) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}$ whose K-homology class coincides with the class of its dampening [20, Corollary 1.41] and represents the Pimsner-Voiculescu boundary map image of the aforementioned dampened class on $C(S^1)$ [20, Prop. 1.30 and the preceding discussion].

Let us now discuss the properties of the triple of Theorem 3.2. Concerning the dimension axiom [12], recall that a spectral triple (\mathcal{A}, H, D) over the unital C^* -algebra A is p -summable for $p > 0$ if the operator $(1 + D^2)^{-\frac{p}{2}}$ is trace-class. In [22] the additivity of dimension with respect to the crossed product was demonstrated:

Proposition 3.5. *Let (\mathcal{A}, H, D) and G as in Assumptions 1. Suppose further that G acts smoothly and equicontinuously on A . If the triple (\mathcal{A}, H, D) is p -summable and the triple $(\mathbb{C}G, \ell^2(G), M_l)$ is q -summable, then the triple $(A \rtimes_{\alpha, r} G, \widehat{H}, \widehat{D}, \widehat{\pi}_1 \rtimes \widehat{\lambda})$ is $(p+q)$ -summable.*

This follows as in the case of the external product of two spectral triples: if λ_n and μ_m are respectively the sequences of the eigenvalues of D and M_l , by assumption the sequences $((1 + \lambda_n^2)^{-p/2})$ and $((1 + \mu_m^2)^{-q/2})$ are convergent. Then, using the inequality

$$(x + y - 1)^{\alpha + \beta} \geq x^\alpha y^\beta, \quad x, y > 1, \alpha, \beta > 0,$$

the double sequence $(1 + \lambda_n^2 + \mu_m^2)^{-(p+q)/2}$ also proves convergent.

We pass now to another property.

Definition 3.6. A spectral triple (\mathcal{A}, H, D) is *irreducible* if there is no closed subspace of H invariant under the action of A and D .

Proposition 3.7. *Let (\mathcal{A}, H, D) and G as in Assumptions 1. Suppose further that G acts smoothly and equicontinuously on A . If (\mathcal{A}, H, D) is irreducible then $(A \rtimes_{\alpha, r} G, \widehat{H}, \widehat{D}, \widehat{\pi}_1 \rtimes \widehat{\lambda})$ is also irreducible.*

Remark 3.8. Similarly to what described so far, we can construct odd spectral triples on crossed products starting from even spectral triples and an equicontinuous action in a suitable sense. Let

$$\left(\mathcal{A}, H = H_0 \oplus H_1, D = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & D_0 \\ D_0^* & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right)$$

be an even spectral triple on a unital C^* -algebra A with the \mathbb{Z}^2 -grading $H_0 \oplus H_1$ and $\pi = \pi_0 \oplus \pi_1$. Let α be an action of a discrete group G on A and let $l: G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a proper Dirac weight. We have a diagonal representation of the reduced crossed product $A \rtimes_{\alpha, r} G$ on $(H_0 \otimes \ell^2(G)) \oplus (H_1 \otimes \ell^2(G))$. Provided $\alpha_g(\mathcal{A}) \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ for all $g \in G$ and the equicontinuity condition

$$\sup_{g \in G} \|\pi_0(\alpha_g(x))D_0 - D_0\pi_1(\alpha_g(x))\| < +\infty, \quad \forall x \in \mathcal{A}$$

then the Dirac operator

$$\check{D} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \otimes M_l & D_0 \otimes 1 \\ D_0^* \otimes 1 & -1 \otimes M_l \end{pmatrix} \tag{3.10}$$

can be used to define an odd spectral triple $(C_c(G, \mathcal{A}), \check{H}, \check{D})$ on $A \rtimes_{\alpha, r} G$ for $\check{H} = H \otimes \ell^2(G)$. In the same way as for odd spectral triples, we have that this triple:

- (1) is non-degenerate whenever the Dirac weight l and the triple (A, H, D) are non-degenerate
- (2) represents the image of the even spectral triple (A, H, D, χ) under the Pimsner-Voiculescu boundary map when one considers the group $G = \mathbb{Z}$ and the Dirac weight given by the inclusion $\iota: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. \square

3.2. The Equivariant Construction.

As noticed in [22, Remark 2.9], there is an alternative though unitarily equivalent (and thus K-homologically equivalent) description of the construction in Theorem 3.2 starting from a spectral triple which is equivariant in the following sense.

Definition 3.9. Let (A, G, α) be a dynamical system. A spectral triple (\mathcal{A}, H, D) on a unital C^* -algebra A is *equivariant* with respect to the action of G , or simply G -equivariant, if there exists a unitary representation $u: G \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(H)$ such that:

- (1) (π, u) is a covariant representation of (A, G, α) on H .
- (2) The operators $u_g := u(g)$ leave the domain of D invariant for all $g \in G$.
- (3) The commutator $[u_g, D]$ extends to a bounded operator on H for every $g \in G$. This means that the difference

$$u_g D u_g^* - D = [u_g, D] u_g^* \quad (3.11)$$

is bounded, making the triple an equivariant (unbounded) Kasparov module.

When $[D, u_g] = 0$ for every $g \in G$ we just say that the triple (\mathcal{A}, H, D) is G -invariant.

Note that the bounded transform of an equivariant spectral triple defines an equivariant Kasparov module as defined in Appendix A (see [35]). Furthermore, any G -equivariant spectral triple $(\mathcal{A}, H, D, \pi, u)$ defines a spectral triple $(C_c(G, \mathcal{A}), H, D, \pi \rtimes u)$ on the *maximal* crossed product $A \rtimes_{\alpha} G$ as the commutators

$$[D, \pi(a)u_g] = [D, \pi(a)]u_g + \pi(a)[D, u_g]$$

are bounded by hypothesis. Viceversa, using the universal properties of the maximal crossed product, any spectral triple on $A \rtimes_{\alpha} G$ comes from a G -equivariant spectral triple. We can think of this association as the unbounded version of the well known Green-Julg isomorphism

$$KK^G(A, \mathbb{C}) \simeq KK(A \rtimes_{\alpha} G, \mathbb{C})$$

for discrete groups (see [24] and [17, Example 4.9]).

Example 3.10 (cf. [21, 38]). Consider the unit circle S^1 as the additive group \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} endowed with the quotient structure. We identify functions $f \in C(S^1)$ with continuous periodic functions on \mathbb{R} of period 1. Fix now $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ and consider the action of \mathbb{Z} on $C(S^1)$ given by

$$\alpha_n(f)(t) = f(t + n\theta)$$

for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$; it is known that $(C(S^1), \mathbb{Z}, \alpha)$ is a C^* -dynamical system. The spectral triple $(C(S^1), L^2(S^1), D)$, where D is the self-adjoint extension of the operator $-i \frac{\partial}{\partial x}$ on $L^2(S^1)$, is \mathbb{Z} -equivariant with respect to the unitary representation u of \mathbb{Z} on $L^2(S^1)$ given by $u_n(f)(t) = f(t + n\theta)$. Note further that $[D, u] = 0$ and so the triple is actually \mathbb{Z} -invariant. \square

Following [22, Remark 2.9], let us now show another strategy to construct spectral triples on crossed products starting from equivariant spectral triples.

Assumptions 2. *Let (\mathcal{A}, H, D, u) be a G -equivariant spectral triple on a unital C^* -algebra A with π faithful and G a discrete group which acts continuously on A by α and is endowed with a proper Dirac weight $l: G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.*

The weight l defines an equivariant odd spectral triple $(\mathbb{C}, \ell^2(G), M_l)$ where the group action on $\ell^2(G)$ is given by the left regular representation. Note that the commutators $[M_l, z]$ are vanishing for all $z \in \mathbb{C}$. The Kasparov product of $[D] \in KK_1^G(A, \mathbb{C})$ and $[M_l] \in KK_1^G(\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{C})$ is represented by the even G -equivariant triple

$$(\mathcal{A}, \widehat{H}, \widehat{D}), \quad (3.12)$$

on A where $\widehat{H} = H \otimes \ell^2(G) \otimes \mathbb{C}^2$, \widehat{D} is defined as in (3.4), the representation of A on \widehat{H} is given by (two copies of)

$$\widehat{\pi}_2(a)(\xi \otimes \delta_g) = \pi(a)\xi \otimes \delta_g, \quad a \in A, \xi \in H, g \in G$$

and the equivariance is implemented by (two copies of) the representation $\widehat{\Gamma}: G \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(\widehat{H})$ given by

$$\widehat{\Gamma}_h(\xi \otimes \delta_g) = u_h \xi \otimes \delta_{hg} \quad (3.13)$$

for $g, h \in G$. Under the Green-Julg isomorphism, the class of the triple (3.12) is represented in $KK(A \rtimes_\alpha G, \mathbb{C})$ by the triple

$$(C_c(G, \mathcal{A}), \widehat{H}, \widehat{D}) \quad (3.14)$$

where the action of the algebra is now given by (two copies of) the integrated form of the covariant representation $(\widehat{\pi}_2, \widehat{\Gamma})$.

Example 3.11. If we apply this procedure to the equivariant spectral triple of Example 3.10 where \mathbb{Z} is endowed with the proper weight $i: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by the inclusion, we just get the canonical spectral triple on the noncommutative 2-torus $C(S^1) \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{Z}$. Indeed, it is known that the GNS Hilbert space representation H_τ is isomorphic to $L^2(S^1 \times S^1)$ by mapping the generators of the NC torus U, V to the functions $e^{2\pi i \varphi_1}$ and $e^{2\pi i \varphi_2}$. Under Fourier transform on the second entry, this isomorphism is then just the map which takes an element $f \otimes \delta_m \in C(S^1) \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{Z} \subseteq H_\tau$ and maps it to $f \otimes \delta_m$ in $L^2(S^1) \otimes \ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$. With this identification, the GNS representation of $C(S^1) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}$ is just the integrated form of the covariant couple $(\widehat{\pi}_2, \widehat{\Gamma})$, where $\widehat{\pi}_2$ is the multiplication operator of $C(S^1)$ and $\widehat{\Gamma}$ is defined as in (3.13) for u as in Example 3.10. \square

Note that, differently from what was discussed in Subsection 3.1, the action α in this case need neither be smooth nor equicontinuous in order to ensure a well defined and bounded commutation relation between \widehat{D} and $A \rtimes G$. We have the following result.

Lemma 3.12. *Let (\mathcal{A}, H, D, u) be a G -equivariant spectral triple on a unital C^* -algebra A . We have that:*

- (1) $\alpha_g(C^{Lip}(A)) \subseteq C^{Lip}(A)$ for any $g \in G$.
- (2) If the commutator $[u_g, D]$ is uniformly bounded in norm for all $g \in G$, then the action α of G on A is equicontinuous.
- (3) If (\mathcal{A}, H, D, u) is G -invariant, then the action is Lip-isometric in the sense that

$$\|[D, \pi(\alpha_g(a))]\| = \|[D, \pi(a)]\|.$$

Proof. As $\pi(\alpha_g(a)) = u_g \pi(a) u_g^*$ we have that any element $\alpha_g(a)$ for $a \in C^{Lip}(A)$ preserves the domain of D . Using the covariance it is further easy to see that

$$[D, \pi(\alpha_g(a))] = -[u_g[D, u_g^*], \pi(\alpha_g(a))] + u_g[D, \pi(a)]u_g^*. \quad (3.15)$$

and so the commutator $[D, \pi(\alpha_g(a))]$ is bounded. To prove the second point we note that the action is isometric as G acts by automorphisms. Then from equation (3.15) we have

$$\|[D, \pi(\alpha_g(a))]\| \leq 2 \|[D, u_g]\| \|\pi(a)\| + \|[D, \pi(a)]\|$$

for any $a \in A$ and $g \in G$. By assumption, the right hand side is uniformly bounded in $g \in G$ and so we have equicontinuity. Point (3) is an easy consequence of equation (3.15). \square

We now want to show that the spectral triple (3.14) descends to a triple on the *reduced* crossed product and that, under some extra assumptions, this triple is K-homologically equivalent to the one of Theorem 3.2. Consider the unitary map U defined on $H \otimes \ell^2(G)$ by

$$U(\xi \otimes \delta_g) = u_g \xi \otimes \delta_g. \quad (3.16)$$

This conjugates the action $\hat{\pi}_1 \rtimes \hat{\lambda}$ to the action $\hat{\pi}_2 \rtimes \hat{\Gamma}$ as

$$U \hat{\pi}_1(a) U^*(\xi \otimes \delta_g) = u_g \pi(\alpha_g^{-1}(a)) u_g^* \xi \otimes \delta_g = \pi(a) \xi \otimes \delta_g = \hat{\pi}_2(a)(\xi \otimes \delta_g)$$

and

$$U \hat{\lambda}_h U^*(\xi \otimes \delta_g) = u_{hg} u_g^* \xi \otimes \delta_{hg} = \hat{\Gamma}_h(\xi \otimes \delta_g).$$

In particular, the representation of the maximal crossed product of the triple (3.14) is unitarily equivalent to the representation defining the reduced crossed product and so it descends to a representation of $A \rtimes_{\alpha, r} G$.

The relation between $(C_c(G, \mathcal{A}), \widehat{H}, \widehat{D}, \hat{\pi}_2 \rtimes \hat{\Gamma})$ and $(C_c(G, \mathcal{A}), \widehat{H}, \widehat{D}, \hat{\pi}_1 \rtimes \hat{\lambda})$ as triples on $A \rtimes_{\alpha, r} G$ is then easy to understand: *if the commutator $[u_g, D]$ is uniformly bounded in norm for all $g \in G$, then the triple $(C_c(G, \mathcal{A}), \widehat{H}, \widehat{D}, \hat{\pi}_1 \rtimes \hat{\lambda})$ is well defined (by point (2) in Lemma 3.12) and defines the same K-homology class of the triple $(C_c(G, \mathcal{A}), \widehat{H}, \widehat{D}, \hat{\pi}_2 \rtimes \hat{\Gamma})$ as the unitary U conjugates the representations and \widehat{D} to $(U \oplus U) \widehat{D} (U \oplus U)^*$, which is a bounded perturbation of \widehat{D} by hypothesis.*

As a direct consequence of this discussion we have that, using the Green-Julg isomorphism, if the triple (\mathcal{A}, H, D) is equivariant with $[D, u_g]$ uniformly bounded for any $g \in G$ then the triple $(C_c(G, \mathcal{A}), \widehat{H}, \widehat{D}, \hat{\pi}_1 \rtimes \hat{\lambda})$ defined in Theorem 3.2 represents the *exterior* equivariant Kasparov product of $[D] \in KK_1^G(A, \mathbb{C})$ with $[M_I] \in KK_1^G(\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{C})$.

We thank the anonymous referee for pointing out that the spectral triple (3.14) can be seen also as a representative of an *interior* Kasparov product as follows. It is known that in bounded KK-theory there is a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} KK_1^G(A, \mathbb{C}) \times KK_1^G(\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{C}) & \xrightarrow{\otimes_{\text{ext}}} & KK_0^G(A, \mathbb{C}) \\ \downarrow J_G \times I_G & & \downarrow I_G \\ KK_1(A \rtimes_{\alpha} G, C^*(G)) \times KK_1(C^*(G), \mathbb{C}) & \xrightarrow{\otimes_{C^*(G)}} & KK_0(A \rtimes_{\alpha} G, \mathbb{C}). \end{array}$$

Here J_G is the Kasparov descent map (see Appendix A), I_G is the Green-Julg isomorphism, $\otimes_{C^*(G)}$ represents the interior Kasparov product and \otimes_{ext} is the exterior Kasparov product.

This is a consequence of the fact that the Kasparov descent is compatible with the product and that it factorizes the Green-Julg isomorphism together with the map

$$KK(A \rtimes_{\alpha} G, C^*(G)) \longrightarrow KK(A \rtimes_{\alpha} G, \mathbb{C})$$

induced by the trivial representation of G (see e.g. [1, Remark 1.15]). We will now show the following fact:

Theorem 3.13. *Let $[D] \in KK_1^G(A, \mathbb{C})$ and $[M_l] \in KK_1^G(\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{C})$ be classes defined by two spectral triples as in Assumptions 2 and let $[\widehat{D}] \in KK(A \rtimes_{\alpha} G, \mathbb{C})$ be the class defined by the spectral triple (3.14). Then*

$$[\widehat{D}] = J_G[D] \otimes_{C^*(G)} I_G[M_l]. \quad (3.17)$$

The proof that \widehat{D} represents the Kasparov product (3.17) relies on the straightforward check of the sufficient conditions provided by the Kucerovsky criterion [30], which we briefly recall in a version for odd ungraded cycles.

Theorem 3.14 (Theorem 7.2 in [25], cf. Theorem 13 in [30]). *Let (X, D_1) and (Y, D_2) be odd ungraded unbounded cycles for (A, B) and (B, C) respectively. Let $E := (X \otimes_B Y) \otimes \mathbb{C}^2$ with grading $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$ and denote $\sigma_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, $\sigma_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. Let $T_x: Y \otimes \mathbb{C}^2 \rightarrow E$ be the creation operator*

$$T_x(y_1, y_2) := (x \otimes y_1, x \otimes y_2)$$

for $x \in X$ and $y_1, y_2 \in Y$. Assume that \overline{D} is an odd operator such that (E, \overline{D}) is an even unbounded cycle for (A, C) such that:

(1) for all x in a dense submodule of X , the graded commutators

$$\left[\begin{pmatrix} \overline{D} & 0 \\ 0 & D_2 \sigma_1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & T_x \\ T_x^* & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right] : \text{Dom } \overline{D} \oplus ((\text{Dom } D_2) \otimes \mathbb{C}^2) \rightarrow E \oplus (Y \otimes \mathbb{C}^2)$$

extend to bounded operators.

(2) $\text{Dom } \overline{D} \subseteq \text{Dom}(D_1 \otimes 1) \oplus \text{Dom}(D_1 \otimes 1)$

(3) There exists $K \geq 0$ such that

$$\langle (D_1 \otimes 1) \sigma_2 \xi, \overline{D} \xi \rangle + \langle \overline{D} \xi, (D_1 \otimes 1) \sigma_2 \xi \rangle \geq -K \langle \xi, \xi \rangle$$

for all $\xi \in \text{Dom } \overline{D}$.

Then (E, \overline{D}) represents the internal Kasparov product of (X, D_1) and (Y, D_2) .

We are now ready to prove our result.

Proof of Theorem 3.13. First of all, let us describe explicitly the image of the equivariant spectral triple (\mathcal{A}, H, D, u) under the Kasparov descent (we take for granted the definitions and conventions in Appendix A). From formula (A.2), consider $B = \mathbb{C}$ and $C_c(G)$ acting on the right on $C_c(G, H)$ by right multiplication:

$$(\xi \otimes \delta_g) \triangleleft \delta_h := \xi \otimes \delta_{gh}$$

for $\xi \in H$ and $\delta_g, \delta_h \in C_c(G)$. The completion of $C_c(G, H)$ with the $C^*(G)$ -valued scalar product

$$\langle \xi \otimes \delta_g, \mu \otimes \delta_h \rangle := \langle \xi, \mu \rangle \delta_{g^{-1}h},$$

as introduced in formula (A.3), defines the right Hilbert $C^*(G)$ -Hilbert module $H \rtimes G \simeq H \otimes C^*(G)$. Using formula (A.4), we see that the representation $\pi: A \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(H)$ induces a representation ψ of $A \rtimes G$ on $H \otimes C^*(G)$ by

$$\psi(a\delta_g)(\xi \otimes \delta_h) = \pi(a)u_g\xi \otimes \delta_{gh}.$$

The image of (\mathcal{A}, H, D, u) under J_G is then just $(C_c(G, A), H \otimes C^*(G), D \otimes 1, \psi)$.

To compare the operator \widehat{D} on $H \otimes \ell^2(G) \otimes \mathbb{C}^2$ with the operators $D_1 = D \otimes 1$ on $X = H \otimes C^*(G)$ and $D_2 = M_l$ on $Y = \ell^2(G)$, we use the unitary transformation

$$\Phi: H \otimes C^*(G) \otimes_{C^*(G)} \ell^2(G) \longrightarrow H \otimes \ell^2(G), \quad \Phi(\xi \otimes \delta_g \otimes_{C^*(G)} \delta_h) = \xi \otimes \delta_{gh}$$

which is clearly well defined and its inverse is given by $\xi \otimes \delta_g \mapsto \xi \otimes \delta_e \otimes_{C^*(G)} \delta_g$. If we still denote by Φ the doubled map $\Phi \oplus \Phi$ with a slight abuse of notation, we check the conditions of Theorem 3.14 for $\overline{D} = \Phi^{-1}\widehat{D}\Phi$ as follows:

- (1) Let us fix $X_1 := (D_1 - i)^{-1}H \otimes C_c(G)$ as the dense subset of X and take $x = \xi \otimes \delta_g \in X_1$. The boundedness of the commutator of the criterion is equivalent to the boundedness of the following operators:

$$\begin{cases} \overline{D}T_x - T_x D_2 \sigma_1 \\ D_2 \sigma_1 T_x^* - T_x^* \overline{D} \end{cases}$$

Let us check the first condition, being the other similar. Given $y_1, y_2 \in Y$, we have that $(\overline{D}T_x - T_x D_2 \sigma_1)(y_1, y_2)$ is equal to

$$\begin{pmatrix} D_1 x \otimes y_2 - i\Phi^{-1}(1 \otimes M_l)\Phi(x \otimes y_2) + ix \otimes M_l y_2 \\ D_1 x \otimes y_1 + i\Phi^{-1}(1 \otimes M_l)\Phi(x \otimes y_1) - ix \otimes M_l y_1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since $\Phi^{-1}(1 \otimes M_l)\Phi(x \otimes y_2) = x \otimes M_l y_2 + x \otimes \lambda_g^*[M_l, \lambda_g]y_2$, the previous vector becomes

$$\begin{pmatrix} D_1 x \otimes y_2 - ix \otimes \lambda_g^*[M_l, \lambda_g]y_2 \\ D_1 x \otimes y_1 + ix \otimes \lambda_g^*[M_l, \lambda_g]y_1 \end{pmatrix}$$

which is clearly bounded.

- (2) This is immediate since $\text{Dom } \widehat{D} = (\text{Dom}(D \otimes 1)\sigma_2) \cap \text{Dom}((1 \otimes M_l)\sigma_1)$.
- (3) Since the operators $(D \otimes 1)$ and $(1 \otimes M_l)$ commute on $H \otimes \ell^2(G)$, they also commute as operators on $X \otimes_{C^*(G)} Y$ after the transformation with Φ . In particular, since $\Phi^{-1}(D \otimes 1)\Phi = D_1 \otimes 1$, we have that:

$$\langle (D_1 \otimes 1)\sigma_2 \xi, \overline{D} \xi \rangle + \langle \overline{D} \xi, (D_1 \otimes 1)\sigma_2 \xi \rangle = 2\langle (D_1 \otimes 1)\sigma_2 \xi, (D_1 \otimes 1)\sigma_2 \xi \rangle \geq 0.$$

The third condition of Theorem 3.14 is then fulfilled for $K = 0$.

□

The spectral triple $(C_c(G, \mathcal{A}), \widehat{H}, \widehat{D}, \widehat{\pi}_2 \rtimes \widehat{\Gamma})$ on $A \rtimes_{\alpha, r} G$ as defined in (3.14) and subsequent discussions has been constructed starting from an equivariant spectral triple: as we are going to show, this triple is also equivariant with respect to the dual coaction of G . This is not a surprise as it is known that the Kasparov descent map is just the Baaj-Skandalis isomorphism [4, 8] composed with the functor which forgets the equivariance. But first, we need to recall what coactions of $C_r^*(G)$ and $C_r^*(G)$ -comodules are (which, to simplify terminology, are in the following called respectively coactions of G and of G -comodules):

Definition 3.15 (cf. [42]). We say that G coacts on a unital C^* -algebra B if there exists a unital C^* -homomorphism (called a *coaction*) $\theta: B \rightarrow B \otimes C_r^*(G)$ such that:

- (1) $(\theta \otimes \text{id})\theta = (\text{id}_B \otimes \Delta)\theta$,
- (2) $\text{span} \{\theta(a)(1_B \otimes b) \mid a \in B, b \in C_r^*(G)\}$ is norm dense in $B \otimes C_r^*(G)$,

where the map $\Delta: C_r^*(G) \rightarrow C_r^*(G) \otimes C_r^*(G)$ is given by $\Delta(\delta_g) = \delta_g \otimes \delta_g$. In this case we say that B is a *right G -comodule*. For the coaction we adopt an analogue of the Sweedler notation for the coproduct and we denote an element $\theta(b) = \sum_{i=1}^n b_i \otimes c_i$ with $b_i \in B$ and $c_i \in C_r^*(G)$ just by

$$\theta(b) = \sum b_{(-1)} \otimes b_{(0)}, \quad (3.18)$$

omitting the summation index.

Example 3.16 (Dual Coaction). Consider a C^* -dynamical system (A, G, α) with A unital and (for simplicity) assume that G is discrete, and set $B = A \rtimes_{\alpha, r} G$. The maps $i_A: A \rightarrow B \otimes C_r^*(G)$ and $i_G: G \rightarrow B \otimes C_r^*(G)$ given by

$$\begin{cases} i_A(a) = a\delta_e \otimes \delta_e \\ i_G(g) = 1_A\delta_g \otimes \delta_g, \end{cases} \quad (3.19)$$

form a covariant representation of (A, G, α) on $B \otimes C_r^*(G)$, i.e.,

$$i_G(g)i_A(a)i_G(g)^* = \delta_g a \delta_g^* \otimes \delta_g \delta_e \delta_g^* = \alpha_g(a) \delta_e \otimes \delta_e = i_A(\alpha_g(a)).$$

The integrated form $\hat{\alpha} := i_A \rtimes i_G: B \rightarrow B \otimes C_r^*(G)$, $a\delta_g \mapsto a\delta_g \otimes \delta_g$, is a coaction (known as the *dual coaction*) of G on $A \rtimes_{\alpha, r} G$ since

$$(\hat{\alpha} \otimes \text{id}) \circ \hat{\alpha}(a\delta_g) = a\delta_g \otimes \delta_g \otimes \delta_g = (\text{id} \otimes \Delta) \circ \hat{\alpha}(a\delta_g)$$

and the density condition is trivially satisfied. When G is abelian, it is known that any coaction $\delta: B \rightarrow B \otimes C_r^*(G)$ is equivalent via Fourier transform to an action of the Pontryagin dual group \hat{G} . In particular, the dual coaction $\hat{\alpha} = i_A \rtimes i_G$ corresponds to the *dual action* $\tilde{\alpha}$ of \hat{G} on $A \rtimes_{\alpha, r} G$ given by

$$\tilde{\alpha}_\gamma(a\delta_g) = \overline{\gamma(g)} a\delta_g, \quad \gamma \in \hat{G}.$$

□

Definition 3.17 (cf. [42]). A (unitary) *corepresentation* of G (or more properly of $C_r^*(G)$) on a Hilbert space H is a linear map $\Theta: H \rightarrow H \otimes C_r^*(G)$ such that:

- (1) $(\Theta \otimes \text{id})\Theta = (\text{id}_H \otimes \Delta)\Theta$
- (2) $\Theta(H)C_r^*(G)$ is linearly dense in $H \otimes C_r^*(G)$
- (3) $\langle \Theta(x) \mid \Theta(y) \rangle = \langle x, y \rangle \cdot 1_{C_r^*(G)}$ for all $x, y \in H$, where $\langle \cdot \mid \cdot \rangle$ is the usual scalar product on the external tensor product of the Hilbert modules $H_{\mathbb{C}}$ and $C_r^*(G)_{C_r^*(G)}$.

It turns out that when dealing with spectral triples, it is more convenient to see the corepresentations of G on a Hilbert space H as unitary operators $X \in \mathcal{L}(H \otimes C_r^*(G))$ such that

$$(\text{id} \otimes \Delta)(X) = X_{(12)}X_{(13)}. \quad (3.20)$$

As shown in [42, Prop 5.2.2], these two notions coincide in the following sense:

(1) If $\Theta: H \rightarrow H \otimes C_r^*(G)$ is a unitary corepresentation, then the map

$$X: H \odot C_r^*(G) \rightarrow H \otimes C_r^*(G), \quad x \otimes q \mapsto \Theta(x)q \quad (3.21)$$

extends to a unitary operator $X \in \mathcal{L}(H \otimes C_r^*(G))$ satisfying (3.20).

(2) If a unitary $X \in \mathcal{L}(H \otimes C_r^*(G))$ satisfies (3.20), then the map

$$\Theta: H \rightarrow H \otimes C_r^*(G), \quad x \mapsto X(x \otimes 1_{C_r^*(G)})$$

is a unitary corepresentation as in Definition 3.17.

The next definition combines the previous two.

Definition 3.18 (cf. [7]). Let $(\mathcal{B}, H, D, \chi)$ be an (even or odd) spectral triple on a G -comodule unital C^* -algebra B . We say that the triple is *equivariant* for coactions of G if there exists a dense subspace $W \subseteq H$ and a unitary corepresentation $\Theta: H \rightarrow H \otimes C_r^*(G)$ for which:

(1) W is a $C_r^*(G)$ -equivariant B -module in the sense that for every $b \in B$ and $x \in H$,

$$\Theta(b \triangleright x) = b_{(-1)} \triangleright x_{(-1)} \otimes b_{(0)}x_{(0)},$$

(2) the operatorial form X of Θ as in (3.21) commutes with $D \otimes 1_{C_r^*(G)}$ and $\chi \otimes 1_{C_r^*(G)}$

(3) $(\text{id} \otimes \varphi)\text{Ad}_U(b) \in B''$ for every $b \in B$ and every state φ on $C_r^*(G)$.

Proposition 3.19. *The spectral triple $(C_c(G, \mathcal{A}), \widehat{H}, \widehat{D}, \widehat{\pi}_2 \rtimes \widehat{\Gamma})$ is equivariant with respect to the dual coaction $\widehat{\alpha}$ of Example 3.16.*

Remark 3.20. If the group G is abelian, Proposition 3.19 means that the triple on $A \rtimes_{\alpha, r} G$ is \widehat{G} -invariant under the unitary representation $V := v \oplus v: \widehat{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(\widehat{H})$ where $v: \widehat{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(H \otimes \ell^2(G))$ is given by

$$v_\chi(\xi \otimes \delta_g) = \overline{\chi(g)}\xi \otimes \delta_g$$

for $\xi \in H$, $g \in G$ and $\chi \in \widehat{G}$. \diamond

Proof. Consider $C_r^*(G)$ coacting on itself via the map $\Delta(\delta_g) = \delta_g \otimes \delta_g$ and the unitary corepresentation map $\Theta: H \otimes \ell^2(G) \rightarrow H \otimes \ell^2(G) \otimes C_r^*(G)$ given by

$$\Theta(\xi \otimes \delta_g) = \xi \otimes \delta_g \otimes \delta_g, \quad \xi \in H, g \in G.$$

According to (3.21), Θ is equivalent to the unitary operator $U \in \mathcal{L}(H \otimes \ell^2(G) \otimes C_r^*(G))$ given by

$$U(\xi \otimes \delta_x \otimes \delta_g) = \xi \otimes \delta_x \otimes \delta_{xg}, \quad x, g \in G$$

In this way $H \otimes \ell^2(G)$ becomes a $C_r^*(G)$ -equivariant $C_r^*(G)$ -module. Consider in fact $b = a\delta_g \in C_c(G, \mathcal{A})$ and $x = \xi \otimes \delta_h \in H \otimes C_r^*(G)$, then by definition $b_{(-1)} = a\delta_g$, $b_{(0)} = \delta_g$, $x_{(-1)} = \xi \otimes \delta_h$ and $x_{(0)} = \delta_h$. Next,

$$\begin{aligned} \Theta(b \triangleright x) &= \Theta(\pi(a)u_g\xi \otimes \delta_{gx}) = \pi(a)u_g\xi \otimes \delta_{gx} \otimes \delta_{gx} \\ &= b_{(-1)} \triangleright x_{(-1)} \otimes b_{(0)}x_{(0)}. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, it is easy to check that $[D \otimes 1 \otimes 1, U] = 0$ and $[1 \otimes M_l \otimes 1, U] = 0$ so that $[\widehat{D}, U \oplus U] = 0$. Finally, we have that $U\widehat{\pi}_2(a)\widehat{\Gamma}_h U^*(\xi \otimes \delta_x \otimes \delta_g) = \pi(a)u_h\xi \otimes \delta_{hx} \otimes \delta_{hg}$ for any $x, g, h \in G$ and so

$$(\text{id} \otimes \varphi)\text{Ad}_U(a\delta_h) = \varphi(\delta_{hg})a\delta_{hx} \in C_c(G, \mathcal{A}) \subseteq (A \rtimes_r G)''$$

for any state φ on $C_r^*(G)$. \square

We close this section by observing that what we have described so far extends to a construction of an equivariant odd spectral triple on the crossed product starting from an equivariant *even* spectral triple, and this is unitarily equivalent to the construction described in Remark 3.8 (assuming D is G -invariant). Moreover, the aforementioned equivariant triple represents the equivariant Kasparov product of two equivariant triples under the Green-Julg isomorphism (for suitable grades) and is invariant under the coaction of G .

4. THE EXISTENCE OF A REAL STRUCTURE

In this section we construct a real structure on the spectral triple on a crossed product as constructed in Section 3.2, and present sufficient conditions for the first and second order conditions. The idea is to employ the tensor product $J_1 \otimes J_2$ of two real structures on two spectral triples $(\mathcal{A}_1, H_1, D_1)$ and $(\mathcal{A}_2, H_2, D_2)$ which defines a real structure on the tensor product spectral triple such that the resultant KO-dimension is the sum of the two initial KO-dimensions (with some minor modifications in the case of a grading), cf. [16]. We will check that this construction remains valid also in the case of a crossed product extension. We discuss two cases depending on how the real structure J on the triple (\mathcal{A}, H, D, u) interacts with the representation $u: G \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(H)$.

4.1. First Case (J unitarily invariant).

Let G be a discrete group endowed with a proper Dirac weight $l: G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and (\mathcal{A}, H, D, u) a G -invariant (even or odd) spectral triple on a unital C^* -algebra A endowed with a real structure J which is *unitarily invariant*, i.e.,

$$u_g J u_g^* = J \quad (4.1)$$

for every $g \in G$. This is for example the case when the triple discussed in Example 3.10 is endowed with the antilinear operator J_1 on $L^2(S^1)$ given by the complex conjugation (which gives a real structure on the triple of KO-dimension 1). We state now our first main result:

Theorem 4.1. *Suppose (\mathcal{A}, H, D, J) has KO-dimension $n \in \mathbb{Z}_8$. If $l: G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfies $l(g^{-1}) = -l(g)$ for all $g \in G$, then the equivariant spectral triple $(C_c(G, \mathcal{A}), \widehat{H}, \widehat{D}, \widehat{\pi}_2 \rtimes \widehat{\Gamma})$ on $A \rtimes_{\alpha, r} G$ admits a real structure \widehat{J} of KO-dimension $n + 1$.*

In view of Proposition 2.14, a similar result which provides a real structure of KO-dimension $n - 1$ holds for weights such that $l(g^{-1}) = l(g)$ for any $g \in G$. However, if we want also the first order condition, Remark 2.13 and Proposition 2.15 force G to be finite. As this situation is K-homologically trivial, we will not discuss this case.

Remark 4.2. Applying Theorem 4.1 to the triple in Example 3.10 with real structure J_1 , one recovers precisely the real structure on the noncommutative 2-torus as described for instance in [21, Chapter 12.3].

The proof of Theorem 4.1 is constructive and relies on the following auxiliary map.

Lemma 4.3. *Let $j: H \otimes \ell^2(G) \rightarrow H \otimes \ell^2(G)$ be the antilinear map defined by*

$$j(\xi \otimes \delta_g) = u_g^* J \xi \otimes J_G \delta_g = u_g^* J \xi \otimes \delta_{g^{-1}}, \quad (4.2)$$

where J_G is given by (2.4). Then:

- (1) j is isometric.
- (2) If $J^2 = \varepsilon$ then $j^2 = \varepsilon$.

- (3) j maps $A \rtimes_\alpha G$ into its commutant.
 (4) If $DJ = \varepsilon'JD$, then $(D \otimes 1)j = \varepsilon'j(D \otimes 1)$.
 (5) If l satisfies $l(g^{-1}) = -l(g)$ for any $g \in G$, then $(1 \otimes M_l)j = -j(1 \otimes M_l)$.

Proof. Point (1) is clear as both u and J are isometric. To prove point (2) note that

$$j^2(\xi \otimes \delta_g) = u_{g^{-1}}^* J u_g^* J \xi \otimes \delta_g = u_g u_g^* J^2 \xi \otimes \delta_g = \varepsilon(\xi \otimes \delta_g).$$

Point (3) comes by a straightforward computation: for any $a, b \in A$ and $g, h \in G$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left[\widehat{\pi}_2(a) \widehat{\Gamma}_h, j \widehat{\pi}_2(b) \widehat{\Gamma}_g j^{-1} \right] (\xi \otimes \delta_x) &= \pi(a) u_h u_{gx^{-1}}^* J \pi(b) u_g J^{-1} u_x^* \xi \otimes \delta_{hxg^{-1}} \\ &\quad - u_{gx^{-1}h^{-1}}^* J \pi(b) u_g J^{-1} u_{hx}^* \pi(a) u_h \xi \otimes \delta_{hxg^{-1}} \\ &= \left[\pi(a), J \pi(\alpha_{hxg^{-1}}(b)) J^{-1} \right] u_h \xi \otimes \delta_{hxg^{-1}} \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

since J satisfies the zeroth order condition. To prove point (4) note that

$$(D \otimes 1)j(\xi \otimes \delta_g) = D u_g^* J \xi \otimes \delta_{g^{-1}} = \varepsilon' u_g^* J D \xi \otimes \delta_{g^{-1}} = \varepsilon' j(D \otimes 1)(\xi \otimes \delta_g)$$

by the invariance of D . Point (5) is clear. \square

We then claim that the equivariant real structure \widehat{J} for \widehat{D} when n is odd is given by

- $\widehat{J} = j \otimes cc$ for $n = 3, 7$
- $\widehat{J} = j \otimes cc \circ \sigma_2$ for $n = 1, 5$

on the Hilbert space $\widehat{H} = H \otimes \ell^2 G \otimes \mathbb{C}^2$ (here cc denotes the complex conjugation operator).

When n is even, the equivariant real structure \widehat{J} for \widehat{D} is instead given by

- $\widehat{J} = \chi J \otimes J_G$ for $n = 0, 4$
- $\widehat{J} = J \otimes J_G$ for $n = 2, 6$

on the Hilbert space $\widehat{H} = H \otimes \ell^2(G)$, where J_G is the flip morphism defined in (2.4).

Proof of Theorem 4.1. Suppose as a first case that the triple (\mathcal{A}, H, D) is odd. The zeroth-order condition directly comes from the zeroth order condition in Lemma 4.8 and the peculiar diagonal/anti-diagonal form of \widehat{J} . Let us now discuss the triple of signs $(\varepsilon, \varepsilon', \varepsilon')$: using the hypothesis on l , j anti-commutes with M_l on $\ell^2(G)$ and so the real structure \widehat{J} has the same ε sign as J when $n = 3, 7$ and the opposite when $n = 1, 5$. Analogously, the ε' sign remains the same for $n = 3, 7$ and changes for $n = 1, 5$ (that is, is always $+1$). Further \widehat{J} is always even with respect to the grading $\chi = \sigma_3$ when $n = 3, 7$ and odd when $n = 1, 5$. We have therefore checked the theorem for all the possible cases of (\mathcal{A}, H, D) odd.

Suppose now that (\mathcal{A}, H, D, J) is an even real triple with respect to the grading $\chi = \sigma_3$, $H = H_0 \oplus H_1$ and

$$D = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & D_0 \\ D_0^* & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Recall that \widehat{D} is given by (3.10). By the compatibility conditions of J with the grading χ we deduce that J must be of the following form:

- $J = \begin{pmatrix} j_1 & 0 \\ 0 & j_2 \end{pmatrix}$ for $n = 0, 4$,
- $J = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & j_1 \\ j_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ for $n = 2, 6$.

So now we have just to check case by case: if $n = 0, 4$ then by assumption

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & D_0 \\ D_0^* & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} j_1 & 0 \\ 0 & j_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} j_1 & 0 \\ 0 & j_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & D_0 \\ D_0^* & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Using this equation it is easy to check that

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 \otimes M_l & D_0 \otimes 1 \\ D_0^* \otimes 1 & -1 \otimes M_l \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} j_1 \otimes J_G & 0 \\ 0 & -j_2 \otimes J_G \end{pmatrix} = - \begin{pmatrix} j_1 \otimes J_G & 0 \\ 0 & -j_2 \otimes J_G \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \otimes M_l & D_0 \otimes 1 \\ D_0^* \otimes 1 & -1 \otimes M_l \end{pmatrix}$$

so that the two triples have different ε' signs. The ε sign remains the same and this proves that we get dimensions 1 and 5 respectively. In an analogous way one shows that the dimensions 2 and 6 go to the dimensions 3 and 7 respectively. \square

Remark 4.4. The assumption that $[D, u_g] = 0$ for any $g \in G$ is essentially necessary in order to prove Theorem 4.1. Indeed, suppose there is a real structure J on (\mathcal{A}, H, D) , so that $DJ = \varepsilon'JD$ by definition. An essential step to prove Theorem 4.1 is Lemma 4.3(4), namely the fact that

$$Du_g J = \varepsilon' u_g J D, \quad \forall g \in G. \quad (4.3)$$

These two conditions together imply that D must be G -invariant: indeed, using (4.3) we see that $Du_g = \varepsilon' u_g J D J^{-1}$ and so

$$[D, u_g] = \varepsilon' u_g J D J^{-1} - u_g D J J^{-1} = u_g (\varepsilon' J D - D J) J^{-1} = 0.$$

Note that this computation is independent of the fact that J is unitarily equivalent, which is instead an assumption needed to show that \widehat{J} satisfies the zeroth order condition. \square

The following results show that the crossed product spectral triple construction is compatible with the first and second order conditions.

Proposition 4.5. *Let G be a discrete group endowed with a proper group homomorphism $l: G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and let (\mathcal{A}, H, D, u) be a G -invariant (even or odd) spectral triple on a unital C^* -algebra A endowed with a unitarily invariant real structure J . If (\mathcal{A}, H, D, J) satisfies the first order condition, then the spectral triple $(C_c(G, \mathcal{A}), \widehat{H}, \widehat{D}, \widehat{J})$ on $A \rtimes_{\alpha, r} G$ also satisfies the first order condition.*

Proof. We prove the first order condition for $(\mathcal{A}, H, D, u, J)$ odd; the even case is similar. For any $a, b \in A$ and $g, h \in G$, the desired commutator

$$\left[[D \otimes 1 \pm i \otimes M_l, \widehat{\pi}_2 \rtimes \widehat{\Gamma}(a\delta_g)], j\widehat{\pi}_2 \rtimes \widehat{\Gamma}(b\delta_h)j^{-1} \right]$$

is equal to the sum of the following two pieces:

$$C_1 = \left[[D \otimes 1, \widehat{\pi}_2(a)\widehat{\Gamma}_g], j\widehat{\pi}_2(b)\widehat{\Gamma}_h j^{-1} \right]$$

$$C_2 = \pm i \left[[1 \otimes M_l, \widehat{\pi}_2(a)\widehat{\Gamma}_g], j\widehat{\pi}_2(b)\widehat{\Gamma}_h j^{-1} \right].$$

We will separately prove that they are vanishing. On the one hand, using the invariance of D with respect to the action of G , we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
 C_1(\xi \otimes \delta_x) &= [D \otimes 1, \hat{\pi}_2(a)\hat{\Gamma}_g] \left(u_{hx^{-1}}^* J \pi(b) u_h J^{-1} u_x^* \xi \otimes \delta_{xh^{-1}} \right) \\
 &\quad - j \hat{\pi}_2(b) \hat{\Gamma}_h j^{-1} (D \pi(a) u_g \xi \otimes \delta_{gx} - \pi(a) u_g D \xi \otimes \delta_{gx}) \\
 &= [D, \pi(a)] u_{gxh^{-1}} J \pi(b) u_h J^{-1} u_x^* u_g^* u_g \xi \otimes \delta_{gxh^{-1}} \\
 &\quad - u_{gxh^{-1}} J \pi(b) u_h J^{-1} u_g^* [D, \pi(a)] u_g \xi \otimes \delta_{gxh^{-1}} \\
 &= [[D, \pi(a)], J u_{gxh^{-1}} \pi(b) u_{gxh^{-1}}^* J^{-1}] u_g \xi \otimes \delta_{gxh^{-1}} \\
 &= 0
 \end{aligned}$$

by the first order condition for J . On the other hand

$$\begin{aligned}
 \pm i C_2(\xi \otimes \delta_x) &= [1 \otimes M_l, \hat{\pi}_2(a)\hat{\Gamma}_g] \left(u_{hx^{-1}}^* J \pi(b) u_h J^{-1} u_x^* \xi \otimes \delta_{xh^{-1}} \right) \\
 &\quad - j \hat{\pi}_2(b) \hat{\Gamma}_h j^{-1} (\pi(a) u_g \xi \otimes l(gx) \delta_{gx} - \pi(a) u_g \xi \otimes l(x) \delta_{gx}) \\
 &= (l(gxh^{-1}) - l(xh^{-1})) \pi(a) u_{gxh^{-1}} J \pi(b) u_{gxh^{-1}}^* J^{-1} u_g \xi \otimes \delta_{gxh^{-1}} \\
 &\quad - (l(gx) - l(x)) u_{gxh^{-1}} J \pi(b) u_{gxh^{-1}}^* J^{-1} \pi(a) u_g \xi \otimes \delta_{gxh^{-1}}.
 \end{aligned}$$

As $l: G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a homomorphism, we have that $l(gxh^{-1}) - l(xh^{-1}) = l(gx) - l(x)$. Then

$$\pm i C_2(\xi \otimes \delta_x) = (l(gx) - l(x)) [\pi(a), J \pi(\alpha_{gxh^{-1}}(b)) J^{-1}] u_g \xi \otimes \delta_{gxh^{-1}}$$

and this is zeroth as J implements the zeroth order condition. \square

Proposition 4.6. *Let G be a discrete group endowed with a proper group homomorphism $l: G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and let (\mathcal{A}, H, D, u) be a G -invariant (even or odd) spectral triple on a unital C^* -algebra A endowed with a unitarily invariant real structure J which satisfies the first order condition. If (\mathcal{A}, H, D, J) satisfies the second order condition, then the spectral triple $(C_c(G, \mathcal{A}), \widehat{H}, \widehat{D}, \widehat{J})$ on $A \rtimes_{\alpha, r} G$ also satisfies the second order condition.*

Proof. Let us focus on $(\mathcal{A}, H, D, u, J)$ odd as the even case is similar. With a slight abuse of notation, let us denote $\widehat{D} = D \otimes 1 \pm i \otimes M_l$. To prove the required commutation relation

$$[\widehat{D}, \hat{\pi}_2(a)\hat{\Gamma}_h] j [\widehat{D}, \hat{\pi}_2(b)\hat{\Gamma}_g] j^{-1} = j [\widehat{D}, \hat{\pi}_2(b)\hat{\Gamma}_g] j^{-1} [\widehat{D}, \hat{\pi}_2(a)\hat{\Gamma}_h]$$

we will show that the following four commutators are vanishing:

$$\begin{aligned}
 C_1 &= [D \otimes 1, \hat{\pi}_2(a)\hat{\Gamma}_h], j [D \otimes 1, \hat{\pi}_2(b)\hat{\Gamma}_g] j^{-1} \\
 C_2 &= [D \otimes 1, \hat{\pi}_2(a)\hat{\Gamma}_h], j [\pm i \otimes M_l, \hat{\pi}_2(b)\hat{\Gamma}_g] j^{-1} \\
 C_3 &= [\pm i \otimes M_l, \hat{\pi}_2(a)\hat{\Gamma}_h], j [D \otimes 1, \hat{\pi}_2(b)\hat{\Gamma}_g] j^{-1} \\
 C_4 &= [\pm i \otimes M_l, \hat{\pi}_2(a)\hat{\Gamma}_h], j [\pm i \otimes M_l, \hat{\pi}_2(b)\hat{\Gamma}_g] j^{-1}
 \end{aligned}$$

for any $a, b \in A$ and $g, h \in G$. First, note that:

$$\begin{aligned}
 j [D \otimes 1, \hat{\pi}_2(b)\hat{\Gamma}_g] j^{-1} (\xi \otimes \delta_x) &= u_{gx^{-1}}^* J [D, \pi(b)] u_g J^{-1} u_x^* \xi \otimes \delta_{xg^{-1}} \\
 &= J [D, \pi(\alpha_{xg^{-1}}(b))] J^{-1} \xi \otimes \delta_{xg^{-1}}
 \end{aligned}$$

and that

$$\begin{aligned} j[\pm i \otimes M_l, \hat{\pi}_2(b)\hat{\Gamma}_g]j^{-1}(\xi \otimes \delta_x) &= \mp i u_{g^{-1}}^* J \pi(b) u_g J^{-1} u_x^* \xi \otimes l(g) \delta_{xg^{-1}} \\ &= \mp i J \pi(\alpha_{xg^{-1}}(b)) J^{-1} \xi \otimes l(g) \delta_{xg^{-1}} \end{aligned}$$

as l is a homomorphism. It is then easy to see that

$$C_1 = \left[[D, \pi(a)], J[D, \pi(\alpha_{hxg^{-1}}(b))] J^{-1} \right] u_h \xi \otimes \delta_{hxg^{-1}}$$

which vanishes since J implements the second order condition. Next, since $l(h)l(g) = l(g)l(h)$ for any $g, h \in G$, we have that

$$C_4 = \left[\pi(a), J \pi(\alpha_{hxg^{-1}}(b)) J^{-1} \right] u_h \xi \otimes l(g)l(h) \delta_{hxg^{-1}}$$

vanishes by the zeroth order condition for J . Furthermore, the two mixed terms

$$C_2 = i \left[[D, \pi(a)], J \pi(\alpha_{hxg^{-1}}(b)) J^{-1} \right] u_h \xi \otimes l(g) \delta_{hxg^{-1}}$$

$$C_3 = \pm i \left[\pi(a), J[D, \pi(\alpha_{hxg^{-1}}(b))] J^{-1} \right] u_h \xi \otimes l(h) \delta_{hxg^{-1}}$$

vanish for the first order condition for J . The peculiar diagonal/anti-diagonal form of \hat{J} then brings the thesis. \square

4.2. Second Case (J twisted invariant).

Let G be discrete group endowed with a proper Dirac weight $l: G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and (\mathcal{A}, H, D, u) a G -invariant (even or odd) spectral triple on a unital C^* -algebra A endowed with a real structure J which is *twisted invariant*, namely such that

$$u_g J u_g = J \tag{4.4}$$

for every $g \in G$. This is for example the case when the triple discussed in Example 3.10 is endowed with the antilinear operator J_2 on $L^2(S^1)$ given by $J_2 f(t) = \overline{f(-t)}$ (which gives a real structure on the triple of KO-dimension 7). We will prove the following fact.

Theorem 4.7. *Suppose (\mathcal{A}, H, D, J) has KO-dimension $n \in \mathbb{Z}_8$. If G is abelian, the \hat{G} -invariant spectral triple $(C_c(G, \mathcal{A}), \widehat{H}, \widehat{D}, \hat{\pi}_2 \rtimes \hat{\Gamma})$ on $A \rtimes_{\alpha, r} G$ admits a real structure \tilde{J} of KO-dimension $n - 1$.*

The proof of Theorem 4.7 is constructive and relies on the properties of the following auxiliary map.

Lemma 4.8. *Let G be an abelian discrete group and $j: H \otimes \ell^2(G) \rightarrow H \otimes \ell^2(G)$ an antilinear map defined by*

$$j(\xi \otimes \delta_g) = u_g J \xi \otimes c c \delta_g. \tag{4.5}$$

Then:

- (1) j is isometric
- (2) If $J^2 = \varepsilon$ then $j^2 = \varepsilon$.
- (3) j maps $A \rtimes_{\alpha} G$ into its commutant.
- (4) If $DJ = \varepsilon' JD$, then $(D \otimes 1)j = \varepsilon' j(D \otimes 1)$
- (5) $(\pm i \otimes M_l)j = -j(\pm i \otimes M_l)$

Proof. Point (1) is clear as both u and J are isometric. To prove point (2) note that

$$j^2(\xi \otimes \delta_g) = u_g J u_g J \xi \otimes \delta_g = u_g u_g^* J^2 \xi \otimes \delta_g = \varepsilon(\xi \otimes \delta_g).$$

Point (3) is a straightforward computation: for any $a, b \in A$ and $g, h \in G$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} [\widehat{\pi}_2(a) \widehat{\Gamma}_h, j \widehat{\pi}_2(b) \widehat{\Gamma}_g j^{-1}] (\xi \otimes \delta_x) &= \pi(a) u_h u_{xg} J \pi(b) u_g J^{-1} u_x^* \xi \otimes \delta_{xgh} \\ &\quad - u_{xhg} J \pi(b) u_g J^{-1} u_{xh}^* \pi(a) u_h \xi \otimes \delta_{xhg} \\ &= [\pi(a), J \pi(\alpha_{xgh}^{-1}(b)) J^{-1}] u_h \xi \otimes \delta_{xgh} = 0 \end{aligned}$$

as J satisfies the zero order condition and G is abelian. To prove point (4) note that

$$(D \otimes 1) j(\xi \otimes \delta_g) = D u_g J \xi \otimes \delta_g = \varepsilon' u_g J D \xi \otimes \delta_g = \varepsilon' j(D \otimes 1)(\xi \otimes \delta_g)$$

by the invariance of D . Point (5) is clear as J is anti-linear. \square

We then claim that the equivariant real structure \tilde{J} for \widehat{D} when n is odd is given by

- $\tilde{J} = j \otimes cc \circ \sigma_1$ for $n = 3, 7$
- $\tilde{J} = j \otimes cc \circ \sigma_3$ for $n = 1, 5$

on the Hilbert space $\widehat{H} = H \otimes \ell^2 G \otimes \mathbb{C}^2$. When n is even, \tilde{J} is instead given by

- $\tilde{J} = J \otimes cc$ for $n = 0, 4$
- $\tilde{J} = \chi J \otimes cc$ for $n = 2, 6$

on the Hilbert space $\widehat{H} = H \otimes \ell^2(G)$.

Proof of Theorem 4.7. Suppose as a first case that the triple (\mathcal{A}, H, D) is odd. The zeroth-order condition comes directly from the zeroth order condition in Lemma 4.8 and the diagonal/anti-diagonal form of \tilde{J} . Let us now discuss the triple of signs $(\varepsilon, \varepsilon', \varepsilon'')$: from the previous lemma we easily deduce that the real structure \tilde{J} has the same ε sign as J . An easy computation shows that the ε' sign remains the same for $n = 3, 7$ and changes for $n = 1, 5$ (that is, it is always $+1$). Further \tilde{J} is always odd with respect to the grading $\chi = \sigma_3$ when $n = 3, 7$ and even when $n = 1, 5$. We have therefore checked the theorem for all the possible cases of (\mathcal{A}, H, D) odd.

Suppose now that the real triple (\mathcal{A}, H, D, J) is even with respect to the grading χ and suppose that $\chi = \sigma_3$, $H = H_0 \oplus H_1$ and

$$D = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & D_0 \\ D_0^* & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

By the compatibility conditions of J with the grading χ we deduce that J must be of the following form:

- $J = \begin{pmatrix} j_1 & 0 \\ 0 & j_2 \end{pmatrix}$ for $n = 0, 4$,
- $J = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & j_1 \\ j_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ for $n = 2, 6$.

Now we check case by case. If $n = 0, 4$ then by assumption

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & D_0 \\ D_0^* & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} j_1 & 0 \\ 0 & j_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} j_1 & 0 \\ 0 & j_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & D_0 \\ D_0^* & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

and, recalling that \widehat{D} is given by (3.10), we get

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 \otimes M_l & D_0 \otimes 1 \\ D_0^* \otimes 1 & -1 \otimes M_l \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} j_1 \otimes 1 & 0 \\ 0 & j_2 \otimes 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} j_1 \otimes 1 & 0 \\ 0 & j_2 \otimes 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \otimes M_l & D_0 \otimes 1 \\ D_0^* \otimes 1 & -1 \otimes M_l \end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus the triples on A and on $A \rtimes_{\alpha,r} G$ have the same sign ε' . Also the sign ε remains the same, but since the grading disappears, the dimension 0 (or 8 mod 8) goes to 7 and the dimension 4 goes to 3. In an analogous way one shows that dimensions 2 and 6 go to dimensions 1 and 5 respectively. \square

The following results show that the crossed product spectral triple construction is compatible with the first and second order conditions.

Proposition 4.9. *Let G be an abelian discrete group endowed with a proper first-order Dirac weight $l: G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and let (\mathcal{A}, H, D, u) be a G -invariant (even or odd) spectral triple on a unital C^* -algebra A endowed with a twisted invariant real structure J . If (\mathcal{A}, H, D, J) satisfies the first order condition, then $(C_c(G, \mathcal{A}), \widehat{H}, \widehat{D}, \widetilde{J})$ also satisfies the first order condition.*

Proof. We prove the first order condition only for $(\mathcal{A}, H, D, u, J)$ odd; the even case is similar. For any $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$ and $g, h \in G$, the desired commutator

$$\left[[D \otimes 1 \pm i \otimes M_l, \widehat{\pi}_2 \rtimes \widehat{\Gamma}(a\delta_g)], j\widehat{\pi}_2 \rtimes \widehat{\Gamma}(b\delta_h)j^{-1} \right]$$

is equal to the sum of the following two pieces:

$$C_1 = \left[[D \otimes 1, \widehat{\pi}_2(a)\widehat{\Gamma}_g], j\widehat{\pi}_2(b)\widehat{\Gamma}_h j^{-1} \right]$$

$$C_2 = \pm i \left[[1 \otimes M_l, \widehat{\pi}_2(a)\widehat{\Gamma}_g], j\widehat{\pi}_2(b)\widehat{\Gamma}_h j^{-1} \right].$$

We prove that they are separately vanishing. On the one hand, using the invariance of D with respect to the action of G , we have that

$$\begin{aligned} C_1(\xi \otimes \delta_x) &= [D \otimes 1, \widehat{\pi}_2(a)\widehat{\Gamma}_g] \left(u_{hx} J \pi(b) u_h J^{-1} u_x^* \xi \otimes \delta_{hx} \right) \\ &\quad - j\widehat{\pi}_2(b)\widehat{\Gamma}_h j^{-1} (D\pi(a)u_g \xi \otimes \delta_{gx} - \pi(a)u_g D\xi \otimes \delta_{gx}) \\ &= [D, \pi(a)] u_{xgh} J \pi(b) u_h J^{-1} u_x^* \xi \otimes \delta_{xgh} \\ &\quad - u_{xgh} J \pi(b) u_h J^{-1} u_x^* [D, \pi(a)] u_g \xi \otimes \delta_{xgh} \\ &= [[D, \pi(a)], J u_{xgh}^* \pi(b) u_{xgh} J^{-1}] u_g \xi \otimes \delta_{xgh} \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

since J implements the first order condition. On the other hand

$$\begin{aligned} \pm i C_2(\xi \otimes \delta_x) &= [1 \otimes M_l, \widehat{\pi}_2(a)\widehat{\Gamma}_g] u_{xh} J \pi(b) u_h J^{-1} u_x^* \xi \otimes \delta_{xgh} \\ &\quad - j\widehat{\pi}_2(b)\widehat{\Gamma}_h j^{-1} (\pi(a)u_g \xi \otimes l(xg)\delta_{xg} - \pi(a)u_g \xi \otimes l(x)\delta_{xg}) \\ &= (l(xgh) - l(xh)) \pi(a) u_{xgh} J \pi(b) u_h J^{-1} u_x^* \xi \otimes \delta_{xgh} \\ &\quad - (l(xg) - l(x)) u_{xgh} J \pi(b) u_h J^{-1} u_x^* \pi(a) u_g \xi \otimes \delta_{xgh}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $l: G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is of first order, we have that $l(xgh) - l(xh) = l(xg) - l(x)$. Then

$$\pm i C_2(\xi \otimes \delta_x) = (l(xg) - l(x)) \left[\pi(a), J \pi(\alpha_{xgh}^{-1}(b)) J^{-1} \right] u_g \xi \otimes \delta_{xgh}$$

which is zero since J implements the zeroth order condition. \square

Proposition 4.10. *Let G be an abelian discrete group endowed with a proper first-order Dirac weight $l: G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and let (\mathcal{A}, H, D, u) be a G -invariant (even or odd) spectral triple on a unital C^* -algebra \mathcal{A} endowed with a twisted invariant real structure J which satisfies the first order condition. If (\mathcal{A}, H, D, J) satisfies the second order condition, then $(C_c(G, \mathcal{A}), \widehat{H}, \widehat{D}, \widetilde{J})$ also satisfies the second order condition.*

Proof. Let us focus on $(\mathcal{A}, H, D, u, J)$ odd cause the even case is similar. With a slight abuse of notation, let us denote $\widehat{D} = D \otimes 1 \pm i \otimes M_l$. To prove the required commutation relation

$$[\widehat{D}, \widehat{\pi}_2(a)\widehat{\Gamma}_h]j[\widehat{D}, \widehat{\pi}_2(b)\widehat{\Gamma}_g]j^{-1} = j[\widehat{D}, \widehat{\pi}_2(b)\widehat{\Gamma}_g]j^{-1}[\widehat{D}, \widehat{\pi}_2(a)\widehat{\Gamma}_h]$$

we will prove that the following four commutators are vanishing:

$$\begin{aligned} C_1 &= [D \otimes 1, \widehat{\pi}_2(a)\widehat{\Gamma}_h], j[D \otimes 1, \widehat{\pi}_2(b)\widehat{\Gamma}_g]j^{-1} \\ C_2 &= [D \otimes 1, \widehat{\pi}_2(a)\widehat{\Gamma}_h], j[\pm i \otimes M_l, \widehat{\pi}_2(b)\widehat{\Gamma}_g]j^{-1} \\ C_3 &= [\pm i \otimes M_l, \widehat{\pi}_2(a)\widehat{\Gamma}_h], j[D \otimes 1, \widehat{\pi}_2(b)\widehat{\Gamma}_g]j^{-1} \\ C_4 &= [\pm i \otimes M_l, \widehat{\pi}_2(a)\widehat{\Gamma}_h], j[\pm i \otimes M_l, \widehat{\pi}_2(b)\widehat{\Gamma}_g]j^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

for any $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$ and $g, h \in G$. The diagonal/anti-diagonal form of \widetilde{J} then brings the thesis. First of all note that:

$$\begin{aligned} j[D \otimes 1, \widehat{\pi}_2(b)\widehat{\Gamma}_g]j^{-1}(\xi \otimes \delta_x) &= u_{gx}J[D, \pi(b)]u_gJ^{-1}u_x^*\xi \otimes \delta_{gx} \\ &= J[D, \pi(\alpha_{gx}^{-1}(b))]J^{-1}\xi \otimes \delta_{gx} \end{aligned}$$

and that

$$\begin{aligned} j[\pm i \otimes M_l, \widehat{\pi}_2(b)\widehat{\Gamma}_g]j^{-1}(\xi \otimes \delta_x) &= \mp i u_{gx}J\pi(b)u_gJ^{-1}u_x^*\xi \otimes l(g)\delta_{gx} \\ &= \mp i J\alpha_{gx}^{-1}(b)J^{-1}\xi \otimes l(g)\delta_{gx} \end{aligned}$$

as l is of first order. It is relatively easy then to compute

$$C_1 = [D, \pi(a)], J[D, \pi(\alpha_{hgx}^{-1}(b))]J^{-1} u_h\xi \otimes \delta_{hgx}$$

that vanishes since J implements the second order condition. Furthermore, as $l(h)l(g) = l(g)l(h)$ for any $g, h \in G$, we have that

$$C_4 = [\pi(a), J\pi(\alpha_{hgx}^{-1}(b))J^{-1}] u_h\xi \otimes l(g)l(h)\delta_{hgx}$$

vanishes since J implements the zeroth order condition. Finally, the two mixed terms

$$\begin{aligned} C_2 &= \mp i [D, \pi(a)], J\pi(\alpha_{hgx}^{-1}(b))J^{-1} u_h\xi \otimes l(g)\delta_{hgx} \\ C_3 &= \pm i [\pi(a), J[D, \pi(\alpha_{hgx}^{-1}(b))]J^{-1}] u_h\xi \otimes l(h)\delta_{hgx} \end{aligned}$$

vanish by the first order condition for J .

□

4.3. Equivariant Real Structures.

In the previous two subsections we constructed real structures \widehat{J} and (for abelian G) \widetilde{J} on $(C_c(G, \mathcal{A}), \widehat{H}, \widehat{D})$ starting from a real structure J on (\mathcal{A}, H, D, u) which is suitably G -invariant. In this subsection we give a unifying picture interpreting the relations between J with u in terms of the (unitary) action of the Hopf $*$ -algebra $\mathbb{C}G$ endowed with a suitable $*$ -structure. This will explain the reason why in the case of \widetilde{J} we must assume the group is abelian. Furthermore, we will show that in both cases \widehat{J} and \widetilde{J} are equivariant under the dual coaction of $\mathbb{C}G$ consistently with J . But first, we need to recall some basic facts and definitions about Hopf algebras.

Let \mathcal{H} be a unital Hopf $*$ -algebra, with coproduct homomorphism $\Delta: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{H}$, counit homomorphism $\varepsilon: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, and antipode anti-homomorphism $S: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$, satisfying the usual axioms (c.f. [42]) and an antilinear involutive anti-homomorphism $*$ such that

- (1) $\Delta(h^*) = h_{(1)}^* \otimes h_{(2)}^*$ for every $h \in \mathcal{H}$,
- (2) $\varepsilon(h^*) = \overline{\varepsilon(h)}$ for every $h \in \mathcal{H}$,
- (3) $(S \circ *)^2 = \text{id}$.

We adopt Sweedler's notation $\Delta h = \sum h_{(1)} \otimes h_{(2)}$ for $h \in \mathcal{H}$ with the summation symbol often omitted for the sake of brevity. We are mostly interested in the following example.

Example 4.11. Let G be a group and $\mathcal{H} = \mathbb{C}G$ its group algebra. It is a Hopf algebra with respect to the maps Δ , ε and S determined on generators by

$$\Delta(\delta_g) = \delta_g \otimes \delta_g, \quad \varepsilon(\delta_g) = 1, \quad S\delta_g = \delta_{g^{-1}}$$

and extended linearly. This Hopf algebra admits a canonical $*$ -structure given by the *anti*-linear extension of the map

$$\delta_g^* = \delta_{g^{-1}}. \tag{4.6}$$

However, it is not unique when G is abelian: the *anti*-linear extension of the map

$$\delta_g^* = \delta_g \tag{4.7}$$

is also a $*$ -structure. (Note that we use two different star symbols). \square

The closure of the Hopf algebra $\mathcal{H} = \mathbb{C}G$ is the quantum group $C_r^*(G)$, which we used in Definition 3.15 and Example 3.16 together with its coactions. Below we will instead need the *actions* of \mathcal{H} .

Given a Hopf algebra \mathcal{H} , we say that an algebra A is a *left \mathcal{H} -module algebra* if A is a left \mathcal{H} -module and the representation is compatible with the algebra structure of A , namely

$$h \triangleright (a_1 a_2) = (h_{(1)} \triangleright a_1)(h_{(2)} \triangleright a_2)$$

for any $h \in \mathcal{H}$ and $a_1, a_2 \in A$. If A is unital, we further require that

$$h \triangleright 1 = \varepsilon(h)$$

for any $h \in \mathcal{H}$. Let A be a left H -module algebra and M a left A -module. We say that M is a *left H -equivariant A -module* if M is a left H -module and

$$h \triangleright (am) = (h_{(1)} \triangleright a)(h_{(2)} \triangleright m)$$

for any $h \in H$, $a \in A$ and $m \in M$. In the following, when dealing with a Hopf $*$ -algebra \mathcal{H} and an \mathcal{H} -module algebra A endowed with a $*$ -involution, we will always assume that the action of \mathcal{H} is compatible with the star structure of A in the sense that

$$(h \triangleright a)^* = (Sh)^* \triangleright a^*, \quad \forall a \in A, h \in \mathcal{H}. \quad (4.8)$$

Definition 4.12 (cf. [41]). Let \mathcal{H} be a Hopf algebra and (\mathcal{A}, H, D) be a spectral triple over an \mathcal{H} -module algebra A . We say that the triple is \mathcal{H} -equivariant if there exists a dense subspace $W \subseteq H$ for which:

- (1) W is an \mathcal{H} -equivariant \mathcal{A} -module, that is:

$$h \triangleright (\pi(a)v) = \pi(h_{(1)} \triangleright a)(h_{(2)} \triangleright v)$$

for any $h \in \mathcal{H}$, $v \in W$ and $a \in \mathcal{A}$.

- (2) the commutator $[D, h \triangleright]$ is bounded on its domain for any $h \in \mathcal{H}$.

If the commutators $[D, h \triangleright]$ vanish for every $h \in \mathcal{H}$ we say that the triple is *invariant*.

Definition 4.13 (cf. [41]). Let \mathcal{H} be a Hopf $*$ -algebra and (\mathcal{A}, H, D) an \mathcal{H} -equivariant spectral triple over the \mathcal{H} -module C^* -algebra A . A real structure J is said to be *equivariant* if there exists a dense subspace $V \subseteq H$ such that for any $h \in \mathcal{H}$

$$Jh \triangleright J^{-1} = (Sh)^* \triangleright \quad (4.9)$$

as operators on V .

We can now explain the commutation relations (4.1) and (4.4) between J and u_g as the \mathcal{H} -equivariance in the sense of Definition 4.13 corresponding to the two different $*$ -structures (4.6) and (4.7) respectively on the Hopf algebra $\mathcal{H} = \mathbb{C}G$ as in Example 4.11. In the first case we use the obvious actions of $\mathbb{C}G$ on H and A

$$h \triangleright \xi = u_h \xi, \quad g \triangleright a = \alpha_g(a)$$

to make A a $\mathbb{C}G$ -module algebra and H a $\mathbb{C}G$ -equivariant \mathcal{A} -module. Then equation (4.9) for the $*$ -structure (4.6) on $\mathbb{C}G$ becomes

$$Ju_g J^{-1} = u_{(Sg)^*} = u_g$$

which means precisely that J is unitarily invariant (4.1). In the second case (when G is abelian) we use the (less) obvious actions of $\mathbb{C}G$ on H and A

$$h \triangleright \xi = u_h^* \xi, \quad g \triangleright a = \alpha_{g^{-1}}(a)$$

to make A a $\mathbb{C}G$ -module algebra and H a $\mathbb{C}G$ -equivariant \mathcal{A} -module. Then equation (4.9) for the $*$ -structure (4.7) on $\mathbb{C}G$ becomes

$$Ju_g J^{-1} = u_{(Sg)^*} = u_{g^{-1}} = u_g^*$$

which means precisely that J is twisted invariant (4.4). Note that in both cases the compatibility condition (4.8) holds true.

With this unifying picture, we summarize the two constructions of this section in the following table:

Group G	Discrete	Discrete Abelian	
-structure on $\mathbb{C}G$	$\delta_g = \delta_{g^{-1}}$	$*\delta_g = \delta_g$	
Equivariance of J	$u_g J u_g^* = J$	$u_g J u_g = J$	
weight $l: G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$	homomorphism	constant + homom.	
auxiliary map j	$j(\xi \otimes \delta_g) = u_g^* J \xi \otimes \delta_{g^{-1}}$	$j(\xi \otimes \delta_g) = u_g J \xi \otimes \delta_g$	
real structure on $(C_c(G, \mathcal{A}), \widehat{H}, \widehat{D})$	$\widehat{J} = \begin{cases} j \otimes \text{cc} \\ j \otimes \text{cc} \circ \sigma_2 \\ \chi J \otimes J_G \\ J \otimes J_G \end{cases}$	$\widetilde{J} = \begin{cases} j \otimes \text{cc} \circ \sigma_1 \\ j \otimes \text{cc} \circ \sigma_3 \\ J \otimes \text{cc} \\ \chi J \otimes \text{cc} \end{cases}$	for $n = \begin{cases} 3, 7 \\ 1, 5 \\ 0, 4 \\ 2, 6 \end{cases}$
KO-dim	$n + 1$	$n - 1$	

Let us now prove that the real structures \widehat{J} and \widetilde{J} are equivariant for coactions of G . First, we need a definition.

Definition 4.14 (cf. [7]). Let $(\mathcal{B}, H, D, \chi)$ an (even or odd) spectral triple equivariant for coaction of G as in Definition 3.18 and let $U \in \mathcal{L}(H \otimes C_r^*(G))$ be the unitary corepresentation of G on H . A real structure J on $(\mathcal{B}, H, D, \chi)$ is said to be *equivariant for coactions of G* if

$$(J \otimes *)U = U(J \otimes 1) \quad (4.10)$$

on $H \otimes 1_{C_r^*(G)}$.

Proposition 4.15. *Let G be a discrete group endowed with a proper group homomorphism $l: G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and (\mathcal{A}, H, D, u) a G -invariant (even or odd) spectral triple on a unital C^* -algebra \mathcal{A} endowed with a unitarily invariant real structure J . The real structure \widehat{J} on the equivariant spectral triple $(C_c(G, \mathcal{A}), \widehat{H}, \widehat{D}, \widehat{\pi}_2 \rtimes \widehat{\Gamma})$ on $A \rtimes_{\alpha, r} G$ defined in Theorem 4.1 is equivariant for the dual coaction of G .*

Remark 4.16. If G is abelian and the dual coaction $\widehat{\alpha}$ is Fourier-transformed into the dual action of \widehat{G} , one can show that if J is unitarily invariant then \widehat{J} is also unitarily invariant under the action V given in Remark 3.20.

Proof. For any $\xi \in H$ and $g \in G$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} (j \otimes *)U(\xi \otimes \delta_g \otimes \delta_e) &= (j \otimes *) (\xi \otimes \delta_g \otimes \delta_g) = u_g^* J \xi \otimes \delta_{g^{-1}} \otimes \delta_{g^{-1}} \\ &= U(u_g^* J \xi \otimes \delta_{g^{-1}} \otimes \delta_e) \\ &= U(j(\xi \otimes \delta_g) \otimes \delta_e). \end{aligned}$$

The diagonal/anti-diagonal form of \widehat{J} leads to the thesis. \square

Proposition 4.17. *Let G be a discrete abelian group endowed with a proper Dirac weight $l: G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and (\mathcal{A}, H, D, u) a G -invariant (even or odd) spectral triple on a unital C^* -algebra \mathcal{A} endowed with a twisted invariant real structure J . The real structure \widetilde{J} on the equivariant spectral triple $(C_c(G, \mathcal{A}), \widehat{H}, \widehat{D}, \widehat{\pi}_2 \rtimes \widehat{\Gamma})$ on $A \rtimes_{\alpha, r} G$ defined in Theorem 4.1 is twisted invariant.*

Proof. Noting that $jv_\chi = v_\chi^* j$ since characters $\chi \in \widehat{G}$ are complex-valued, the diagonal/anti-diagonal form of \widetilde{J} leads to the thesis. \square

5. THE EXISTENCE OF AN ORIENTATION CYCLE

The orientability condition generalizes a (noncommutative) differential top form in terms of Hochschild homology, which we now briefly recall [31]. Let A be a complex unital algebra and M an A -bimodule. For every positive $n \in \mathbb{N}$ define the A -module of *Hochschild n -chains* (with coefficients in M) to be $C_n(M, A) = M \otimes A^{\otimes n}$ and $C_0(M, A) = M$. The *Hochschild boundary* is the family of maps $b_n: C_n(M, A) \rightarrow C_{n-1}(M, A)$ given on pure elements by

$$\begin{aligned} b_n(m \otimes a_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_n) &= ma_1 \otimes a_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_n \\ &+ \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (-1)^i m \otimes a_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_{i-1} \otimes a_i a_{i+1} \otimes \cdots \otimes a_n \\ &+ (-1)^n a_n m \otimes a_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_{n-1} \end{aligned} \quad (5.1)$$

if $n \geq 1$ and $b_0(m) = 0$, and extended by linearity. It turns out that $(C_\bullet(M, A), b)$ is a chain complex and its homology is the Hochschild homology with coefficients in M . Choosing $M = A$ as an A -bimodule with the usual left and right multiplication, we get the Hochschild chain complex of A .

Let now $(\mathcal{A}, H, D, \chi)$ be an even or odd spectral triple on A , and let J be a real structure of KO-dimension $n \in \mathbb{Z}_8$. For a Hochschild n -chain $c = \sum a_0 \otimes a_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_n \in C_n(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A})$ set

$$\pi_D(c) := \sum \pi(a_0) [D, \pi(a_1)] \cdots [D, \pi(a_n)].$$

Definition 5.1. A spectral triple $(\mathcal{A}, H, D, \chi)$ on A is *strongly orientable* if there exists a Hochschild n -cycle $c \in C_n(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A})$ such that $\pi_D(c) = \chi$.

In noncommutative geometry it is useful to consider also a weaker notion of orientability. Consider the case in which the A -module is $M = A \otimes A^{\text{op}}$, where A^{op} denotes the opposite algebra, with the left and right actions of A given on $m \otimes n \in A \otimes A^{\text{op}}$ by:

$$a(m \otimes n)b = amb \otimes n \quad a, b \in A.$$

For a Hochschild n -chain $c = \sum (a_0 \otimes b_0) \otimes a_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_n$ in $C_n(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{A})$ we define the map

$$\pi_D(c) := \sum \pi(a_0) J \pi(b_0^*) J^{-1} [D, \pi(a_1)] \cdots [D, \pi(a_n)]. \quad (5.2)$$

Definition 5.2. A real spectral triple $(\mathcal{A}, H, D, J, \chi)$ on A is *orientable* if there exists a Hochschild n -cycle $c \in C_n(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{A})$ such that $\pi_D(c) = \chi$.

Note that if \mathcal{A} is unital and $\pi(1_{\mathcal{A}}) = \text{id}_H$, then every strong orientation cycle $c = \sum a_0 \otimes a_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_n$ in $C_n(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A})$ induces a (weak) orientation cycle $c' = \sum (a_0 \otimes 1_A) \otimes a_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_n$ in $C_n(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{A})$ as

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_D(c') &= \sum \pi(a_0) J \pi(1_A) J^{-1} [D, \pi(a_1)] \cdots [D, \pi(a_n)] \\ &= \sum \pi(a_0) [D, \pi(a_1)] \cdots [D, \pi(a_n)] \\ &= \pi_D(c) = \chi. \end{aligned}$$

We now make G act on Hochschild chains.

Definition 5.3. Let (A, G, α) be a C^* -dynamical system. For every Hochschild n -chain $c = \sum (a_0 \otimes b_0) \otimes a_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_n \in C_n(A \otimes A^{\text{op}}, A)$, we define

$$\alpha_g(c) := \sum (\alpha_g(a_0) \otimes b_0) \otimes \alpha_g(a_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes \alpha_g(a_n) \quad (5.3)$$

and say that c is *G -invariant* if $\alpha_g(c) = c$ for every $g \in G$.

Remark 5.4. For any Hochschild n -chain c we have that $b\alpha_g(c) = \alpha_g(bc)$ by the definition of the Hochschild boundary. In particular, if c is a cycle then $\alpha_g(c)$ is also a cycle.

In equation (5.3) the elements b_0 play no essential role. When dealing with the orientation property, this is reflected in the following fact.

Lemma 5.5. *Let (A, H, D, χ, u) be a G -invariant real spectral triple on A with a unitarily invariant real structure J and let $c = \sum(a_0 \otimes b_0) \otimes a_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_n$ be a Hochschild cycle in $C_n(A \otimes A^{\text{op}}, A)$. Define*

$$c_g := \sum(a_0 \otimes \alpha_g(b_0)) \otimes a_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_n \quad (5.4)$$

for any $g \in G$. Then $\pi_D(c_g) = \text{Ad}_{u_g} \circ \pi_D(\alpha_g(c))$.

Proof. Using the fact that $[D, u_g] = 0$ for any $g \in G$ and that $Ju_g = u_gJ$ for any $g \in G$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_D(c_g) &= \sum \pi(a_0) J \pi(\alpha_g(b_0)^*) J^{-1} [D, \pi(a_1)] \cdots [D, \pi(a_n)] \\ &= u_g \sum \pi(\alpha_g(a_0)) J \pi(b_0^*) J^{-1} [D, \pi(\alpha_g(a_1))] \cdots [D, \pi(\alpha_g(a_n))] u_g^* \\ &= \text{Ad}_{u_g} \circ \pi_D(\alpha_g(c)). \end{aligned}$$

□

We state now our second main result.

Theorem 5.6. *Let G be a discrete group and $l: G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ a proper homomorphism. Let (A, H, D, u) be an (even or odd) G -invariant spectral triple on a unital C^* -algebra A and J a unitarily invariant real structure. Then:*

- (1) *If (A, H, D) is orientable and the orientation cycle c is G -invariant, then the real spectral triple $(C_c(G, A), \widehat{H}, \widehat{D}, \widehat{\pi}_2 \rtimes \widehat{\Gamma}, \widehat{J})$ on $A \rtimes_{\alpha, r} G$ admits an orientation cycle \widehat{c} .*
- (2) *If c is a strong orientation cycle, then \widehat{c} is also a strong orientation cycle.*

As suggested in [43, Chapter 6], the idea of the proof is to twist the prescription described in [16], where the shuffle product is used to create a cycle on a tensor product spectral triple.

Definition 5.7. For any Hochschild n -chain

$$c = \sum(a_0 \otimes b_0) \otimes a_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_n \in C_n(A \otimes A^{\text{op}}, A)$$

and any 1-chain $\delta = \sum(\delta_g \otimes \delta_h) \otimes \delta_f \in C_1(Q \otimes Q^{\text{op}}, Q)$ for $Q = C_r^*(G)$, we define their *twisted shuffle product* as the Hochschild $(n+1)$ -chain in $C_{n+1}(B \otimes B^{\text{op}}, B)$ for $B = A \otimes C_r^*(G)$:

$$\begin{aligned} c \rtimes_{\alpha} \delta &:= \sum(a_0 \delta_g \otimes b_0 \delta_h) \otimes \delta_f \otimes a_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_n \\ &\quad + \sum_{j=2}^n (-1)^{j-1} \sum(a_0 \delta_g \otimes b_0 \delta_h) \otimes \alpha_f(a_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes \alpha_f(a_{j-1}) \otimes \delta_f \otimes a_j \otimes \cdots \otimes a_n \\ &\quad + (-1)^n \sum(a_0 \delta_g \otimes b_0 \delta_h) \otimes \alpha_f(a_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes \alpha_f(a_n) \otimes \delta_f. \end{aligned}$$

Note that for simplicity we denote by a the element $a\delta_e$ and by δ_f the element $1_A\delta_f$. Under the assumption of covariance, namely that $\delta_g a = \alpha_g(a)\delta_g$ for any $a \in A$ and $g \in G$, the twisted shuffle product also defines a chain over the crossed product $A \rtimes_{\alpha, r} G$. Note also that if $\alpha = \text{id}$ then the twisted shuffle product is really the shuffle product of the two chains as defined in [31, Chapter 4.2] (up to a sign depending on the length of the chain).

Together with the first line of (5.7), these summands are precisely $c \rtimes b\delta$ (see (5.6)). Now we note that the two central lines of every bc_j for $j = 2, \dots, n$ form a telescopic summation that, together with the second line in (5.7) and the third line in (5.8), sum up to zero. What remains is precisely $bc \rtimes_\alpha \delta$. \square

We can now prove our second main theorem.

Proof of Theorem 5.6. Since the Dirac weight l is proper, there exists $g \in G$ such that $l(g) \neq 0$. Consider then the Hochschild 1-cycle

$$\Delta_g := (\delta_{g^{-1}} \otimes \delta_e) \otimes \delta_g \in C_1(Q \otimes Q^{\text{op}}, Q).$$

If c is the G -invariant orientation cycle of the triple (A, H, D, J, u) , then the twisted shuffle product $c \rtimes_\alpha \Delta_g$ is also a cycle by Proposition 5.8. We will show that the normalised shuffle product

$$\hat{c} = \frac{1}{M} c \rtimes_\alpha \Delta_g \quad (5.9)$$

is an orientation cycle for the triple $(C_c(G, \mathcal{A}), \widehat{H}, \widehat{D}, \widehat{\pi}_2 \rtimes \widehat{\Gamma}, \widehat{J})$ on $A \rtimes_{\alpha, r} G$, where the normalisation factor M is given by

$$M = \begin{cases} -il(g)(n+1) & \text{if } (A, H, D) \text{ is odd} \\ l(g)(n+1) & \text{if } (A, H, D) \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$$

Indeed, since

$$\begin{aligned} c \rtimes_\alpha \Delta_g &= \sum (a_0 \delta_{g^{-1}} \otimes b_0) \otimes \delta_g \otimes a_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_n \\ &\quad + \sum_{j=2}^n (-1)^{j-1} \sum (a_0 \delta_{g^{-1}} \otimes b_0) \otimes \alpha_g(a_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes \alpha_g(a_{j-1}) \otimes \delta_g \otimes a_j \otimes \cdots \otimes a_n \\ &\quad + (-1)^n \sum (a_0 \delta_{g^{-1}} \otimes b_0) \otimes \alpha_g(a_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes \alpha_g(a_n) \otimes \delta_g \end{aligned}$$

we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_{\widehat{D}}(c \rtimes_\alpha \Delta_g) &= \sum \widehat{\pi}(a_0) \widehat{\Gamma}_g^* \widehat{J} \widehat{\pi}(b_0^*) \widehat{J}^{-1} [\widehat{D}, \widehat{\Gamma}_g] [\widehat{D}, \widehat{\pi}(a_1)] \cdots [\widehat{D}, \widehat{\pi}(a_n)] \\ &\quad + \sum_{j=2}^n (-1)^{j-1} \sum \widehat{\pi}(a_0) \widehat{\Gamma}_g^* \widehat{J} \widehat{\pi}(b_0^*) \widehat{J}^{-1} [\widehat{D}, \widehat{\pi}(\alpha_g(a_1))] \cdots \\ &\quad \quad \quad \cdots [\widehat{D}, \widehat{\pi}(\alpha_g(a_{j-1}))] [\widehat{D}, \widehat{\Gamma}_g] [\widehat{D}, \widehat{\pi}(a_j)] \cdots [\widehat{D}, \widehat{\pi}(a_n)] \\ &\quad + (-1)^n \sum \widehat{\pi}(a_0) \widehat{\Gamma}_g^* \widehat{J} \widehat{\pi}(b_0^*) \widehat{J}^{-1} [\widehat{D}, \widehat{\pi}(\alpha_g(a_1))] \cdots [\widehat{D}, \widehat{\pi}(\alpha_g(a_n))] [\widehat{D}, \widehat{\Gamma}_g] \end{aligned}$$

with a slight abuse of notation ($\widehat{\pi}$ denotes two copies of the representation $\widehat{\pi}_2$ and $\widehat{\Gamma}$ two copies of $\widehat{\Gamma}$).

Consider the case when (A, H, D) is odd and thus $(C_c(G, \mathcal{A}), \widehat{H}, \widehat{D}, \widehat{\pi}_2 \rtimes \widehat{\Gamma}, \widehat{J})$ is even. Then

$$[\widehat{D}, \widehat{\pi}(a)] = [D, \pi(a)] \otimes 1 \otimes \sigma_1, \quad \widehat{\Gamma}_g^* [\widehat{D}, \widehat{\Gamma}_g] = l(g) \otimes 1 \otimes \sigma_2,$$

for any $a \in \mathcal{A}$ and $g \in G$. In particular $\hat{\Gamma}_g^*[\widehat{D}, \hat{\pi}(\alpha_g(a))]\hat{\Gamma}_g = [D, \pi(a)] \otimes 1 \otimes \sigma_1$ and so

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_{\widehat{D}}(c \rtimes_{\alpha} \Delta_g)(\xi \otimes \delta_x \otimes v) &= l(g) \sum \pi(a_0) J \pi(\alpha_x^{-1}(b_0^*)) J^{-1} [D, \pi(a_1)] \cdots [D, \pi(a_n)] \xi \otimes \delta_x \\ &\quad \otimes \left(\sigma_2 \sigma_1^n + \sum_{j=2}^n (-1)^{j-1} \sigma_1^{j-1} \sigma_2 \sigma_1^{n-j+1} + (-1)^n \sigma_1^n \sigma_2 \right) v \end{aligned}$$

by the zeroth order condition of \widehat{J} . The summation in the brackets is just $(n+1)$ times $\sigma_2 \sigma_1^n$ which is $-i(n+1)\sigma_3$ as n is odd, by the properties of the algebra of Pauli matrices. The factor

$$\sum \pi(a_0) J \pi(\alpha_x^{-1}(b_0^*)) J^{-1} [D, \pi(a_1)] \cdots [D, \pi(a_n)] \quad (5.10)$$

is just $\pi_D(c_{x^{-1}})$ (according to the notation of (5.4)). By Lemma 5.5 this is $\text{Adu}_x^* \circ \pi_D(\alpha_x^{-1}(c))$. Since c is G -invariant and $\pi_D(c) = \text{id}_H$ we deduce that (5.10) is trivial. Then since $\sigma_3 = \chi$, the normalisation factor brings the thesis.

Consider now the case when (\mathcal{A}, H, D) is even and thus $(C_c(G, \mathcal{A}), \widehat{H}, \widehat{D}, \hat{\pi}_2 \rtimes \hat{\Gamma}, \widehat{J})$ is odd. Then

$$[\widehat{D}, \hat{\pi}(a)] = [D, \pi(a)] \otimes 1, \quad \hat{\Gamma}_g^*[\widehat{D}, \hat{\Gamma}_g] = l(g)(\chi \otimes 1),$$

for any $a \in \mathcal{A}$ and $g \in G$. In particular, $\hat{\Gamma}_g^*[\widehat{D}, \hat{\pi}(\alpha_g(a))]\hat{\Gamma}_g = [D, \pi(a)] \otimes 1$. Since

$$\chi[D, \pi(a_j)] = -[D, \pi(a_j)]\chi$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_{\widehat{D}}(c \rtimes_{\alpha} \Delta_g) &= l(g) \sum \pi(a_0) \chi J \pi(b_0^*) J^{-1} [D, \pi(a_1)] \cdots [D, \pi(a_n)] \otimes \\ &\quad \otimes \left(1 + \sum_{j=2}^n (-1)^{j-1} (-1)^{j-1} + (-1)^n (-1)^n \right) \\ &= l(g)(n+1) \chi \underbrace{\left(\sum \pi(a_0) J \pi(b_0^*) J^{-1} [D, \pi(a_1)] \cdots [D, \pi(a_n)] \right)}_{\chi} \otimes 1 \end{aligned}$$

by the zeroth order condition for \widehat{J} . Since $\chi^2 = \text{id}_H$ by assumption, the normalisation factor M completes the proof. \square

Remark 5.9. The method of the twisted shuffle product is not suitable for the case of the \star -equivariance: indeed, the shuffle product sums the degree of the Hochschild chains that are multiplied and it is not possible to pass from dimension n to dimension $n-1$ (apart from multiplying by a hypothetical 7-cycle whose existence is not guaranteed).

Remark 5.10. Applying Theorem 5.6 and formula (5.9) to the triple in Example 3.10, one recovers (up to a multiplicative constant) the standard orientation cycle on the noncommutative 2-torus as described in [21, Chapter 12.3]. Indeed, if we regard $C(S^1)$ as the C^* -algebra generated by $U = e^{2\pi i \varphi_1}$ with $\varphi_1 \in S^1$, then $c = U^* \otimes U$ is a Hochschild orientation 1-cycle for the spectral triple over $C(S^1)$ as defined in Example 3.10. Doing the shuffle product with the 1-cocycle $\delta = V^* \otimes V$ (where V is the generator of the action of \mathbb{Z}) we have by definition

$$\begin{aligned} c \rtimes \delta &= U^* V^* \otimes V \otimes U - U^* V^* \otimes \alpha(U) \otimes V \\ &= U^* V^* \otimes V \otimes U - e^{2\pi i \theta} U^* V^* \otimes U \otimes V \\ &= U^* V^* \otimes V \otimes U - V^* U^* \otimes U \otimes V \end{aligned}$$

\square

After having constructed an orientation cycle \hat{c} on the equivariant triple $(C_c(G, \mathcal{A}), \widehat{H}, \widehat{D})$, we now examine its equivariance for the coaction of G in a suitable sense.

Definition 5.11. Let $\delta: B \rightarrow B \otimes C_r^*(G)$ be a coaction of G on a unital C^* -algebra B . For any Hochschild chain $c = \sum(b_0 \otimes p) \otimes b_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes b_n \in C_n(B \otimes B^{\text{op}}, B)$, we define

$$\delta(c) := \sum(b_{0(-1)} \otimes p) \otimes b_{1(-1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes b_{n(-1)} \otimes (b_{0(0)} \cdots b_{n(0)}) \in C_n(B \otimes B^{\text{op}}, B) \otimes C_r^*(G) \quad (5.11)$$

using the Sweedler notation (3.18). We say that c is δ -invariant if $\delta(c) = c \otimes 1$.

Proposition 5.12. Let G be a discrete group and $l: G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ a proper homomorphism. Let (\mathcal{A}, H, D, u) be an (even or odd) G -invariant spectral triple on a unital C^* -algebra \mathcal{A} , J a unitarily invariant real structure and c a G -invariant orientation cycle. The orientation cycle \hat{c} of $(C_c(G, \mathcal{A}), \widehat{H}, \widehat{D})$ given in (5.9) is invariant for the dual coaction $\hat{\alpha}$.

Proof. Let us write $c \rtimes_{\alpha} \Delta_g = \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} c_j$ as a shorthand notation and recall that by definition $\hat{\alpha}(a\delta_g) = a\delta_g \otimes \delta_g$ for any $a\delta_g \in C_c(G, \mathcal{A})$. Concerning $c_1 = \sum(a_0\delta_{g^{-1}} \otimes b_0) \otimes \delta_g \otimes a_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_n$ we have by definition

$$\hat{\alpha}(c_1) = \sum((a_0\delta_{g^{-1}} \otimes b_0) \otimes \delta_g \otimes a_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_n) \otimes (\delta_{g^{-1}}\delta_g\delta_e \cdots \delta_e) = c_1 \otimes \delta_e.$$

The other cases are similar; any factor $a\delta_e \in C_c(G, \mathcal{A})$ of c_j brings a trivial contribution to the piece in $C_r^*(G)$. Since any c_j contains precisely one term δ_g and one term $\delta_{g^{-1}}$, the total contribution is trivial. \square

Remark 5.13. If G is abelian, then the orientation cycle \hat{c} given in (5.9) is indeed invariant under the dual action $\tilde{\alpha}$ of the Pontryagin group \widehat{G} as in Definition 5.3.

APPENDIX A. EQUIVARIANT KK-THEORY AND THE KASPAROV DESCENT

In this appendix we describe the KK-theory of algebras which carry an action of a locally compact topological group G and give a survey of the most important properties. The theory is contained in [26, 27]; we refer also to [8, §20] and [17] for more details.

A.1. Equivariant KK-Theory.

Let (B, G, β) be a C^* -dynamical system and E a right Hilbert B -module. If the action β is norm-continuous, we say that B is a G - C^* -algebra. An action of G on E is a homomorphism from G into the space of bounded linear transformation on E (not necessarily the space of module homomorphisms $\mathcal{L}_B(E)$) such that:

- (1) it is continuous in the strong operator topology, that is the map $g \mapsto \|\langle g \cdot x, g \cdot x \rangle_B\|$ is continuous for every $x \in E$.
- (2) the G -action is compatible with the B -action in the sense that $g \cdot (xb) = (g \cdot x)\beta_g(b)$ for any $g \in G$, $x \in E$ and $b \in B$.

A Hilbert B -module with a (continuous) action γ of G is called an *equivariant Hilbert B -module*. If E_1 and E_2 are Hilbert B -modules with a G -action, there is a natural induced action of G on $\mathcal{L}(E_1, E_2)$ given by conjugation. In general, this action $g \mapsto g \cdot T$ for $T \in \mathcal{L}(E_1, E_2)$ is not norm-continuous: we say that T is G -continuous if it is. Obviously every G -equivariant map is G -continuous. In the case of a graded C^* -algebras and graded Hilbert modules, we require that the action of the group G preserves the subspaces of homogeneous elements.

Definition A.1. Let A and B graded G -algebras. A G -equivariant Kasparov $A - B$ -module is a triple (E, ϕ, F) where E is a countably generated Hilbert B -module with an action of G , $\phi: A \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_B(E)$ is an equivariant graded $*$ -homomorphism and F is an even G -continuous operator in $\mathcal{L}_B(E)$ such that

$$[F, \phi(a)], (F^2 - 1)\phi(a), (F - F^*)\phi(a) \text{ and } (g \cdot F - F)\phi(a) \quad (\text{A.1})$$

are compact operators on E for all $a \in A$ and $g \in G$.

$KK^G(A, B)$ is the space of G -equivariant Kasparov $A - B$ -module up to homotopy (as defined in the non equivariant case) and we define $KK_G^n(A, B) := KK_G(A, B \hat{\otimes} Cl_n)$ where Cl_n is the complex Clifford algebra.

Also in the equivariant case there exists an intersection product: given the G -algebras A_1, A_2, B_1, B_2 and D , there exists a bilinear pairing

$$\hat{\otimes}_D: KK_m^G(A_1, B_1 \hat{\otimes} D) \times KK_n^G(D \hat{\otimes} A_2, B_2) \longrightarrow KK_{n+m}^G(A_1 \hat{\otimes} A_2, B_1 \hat{\otimes} B_2)$$

which is associative and functorial in all possible senses.

A.2. The Kasparov Descent.

The Kasparov descent map allows to relate the equivariant KK-theory of two algebras with the equivariant KK-theory of their crossed products. Let (B, G, β) a C^* -dynamical system and E a right Hilbert B -module. The algebra $C_c(G, B)$ acts on $C_c(G, E)$ by

$$(x \cdot f)(t) = \int_G x(s) \beta_s(f(s^{-1}t)) ds \quad (\text{A.2})$$

for $f \in C_c(G, B)$ and $x \in C_c(G, E)$. We define the crossed product $E \rtimes_\beta G$ as the right Hilbert $B \rtimes_\beta G$ -module obtained by completing the right $C_c(G, B)$ -module $C_c(G, E)$ with respect to the $C_c(G, B)$ -valued scalar product

$$\langle x, y \rangle(t) = \int_G \beta_{s^{-1}}(\langle x(s), y(st) \rangle_B) ds, \quad x, y \in C_c(G, E). \quad (\text{A.3})$$

Suppose now to have a covariant Kasparov module $(E, \phi, F) \in \mathbb{E}_G(A, B)$. Obviously, the action $\phi: A \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_B(E)$ induces a left action $\psi: A \rtimes_\alpha G \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(E \rtimes_\beta G)$ by

$$(\psi(a)x)(t) = \int_G \phi(a(s))[s \cdot x(s^{-1}t)] ds \quad (\text{A.4})$$

for $a \in C_c(G, A)$ and $x \in C_c(G, E)$. Endowed with this action and the operator $\tilde{F} \in \mathcal{L}(E \rtimes_\beta G)$ defined by

$$(\tilde{F}x)(t) = F(x(t)) \quad x \in C_c(G, E),$$

one can show that $(E \rtimes_\beta G, \psi, \tilde{F})$ becomes a $A \rtimes_\alpha G - B \rtimes_\beta G$ Kasparov module.

Theorem A.2 (Kasparov Descent [27]). *Let (B, G, β) a C^* -dynamical system and $(E, \phi, F) \in \mathbb{E}_G(A, B)$. The map J_G sending the equivariant Kasparov module (E, ϕ, F) to $(E \rtimes_\beta G, \psi, \tilde{F})$ induces a homomorphism of groups*

$$J_G: KK^G(A, B) \longrightarrow KK(A \rtimes_\alpha G, B \rtimes_\beta G)$$

which is functorial in A and B and is compatible with the intersection product. Furthermore, when $A = B$, the map J_G is unital in the sense that $J_G(\mathbf{1}_A) = \mathbf{1}_{A \rtimes_\alpha G}$.

An analogous statement holds true also for reduced crossed products. Note that this construction works also for unbounded modules as it leaves the operator essentially untouched: an easy computation shows indeed that the Kasparov descent construction commutes with the bounded transform.

REFERENCES

- [1] Paolo Antonini, Sara Azzali, and Georges Skandalis. Bivariant K-theory with \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} -coefficients and rho classes of unitary representations. *Journal of Functional Analysis*, 270(1):447–481, 2016.
- [2] Are Austad and Franz Luef. Modulation spaces as a smooth structure in noncommutative geometry. *Banach J. Math. Anal.*, 15(2):Paper No. 35, 30, 2021.
- [3] Saad Baaj and Pierre Julg. Théorie bivariante de Kasparov et opérateurs non bornés dans les C^* -modules hilbertiens. *CR Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math*, 296(21):875–878, 1983.
- [4] Saad Baaj and Georges Skandalis. C^* -algèbres de Hopf et théorie de Kasparov équivariante. *K-theory*, 2(6):683–721, 1989.
- [5] Jean V. Bellissard, Matilde Marcolli, and Kamran Reihani. Dynamical systems on spectral metric spaces. *preprint arXiv:1008.4617*, 2010.
- [6] Paolo Bertozzini, Roberto Conti, and Wicharn Lewkeeratiyutkul. A category of spectral triples and discrete groups with length function. *Osaka Journal of Mathematics*, 43(2):327–350, 2006.
- [7] Jyotishman Bhowmick, Francesco D’Andrea, and Ludwik Dąbrowski. Quantum isometries of the finite noncommutative geometry of the standard model. *Commun. Math. Phys.*, 307(1):101, 2011.
- [8] Bruce Blackadar. *K-theory for Operator Algebras*. Cambridge University Press, 1998. Second Edition.
- [9] Latham Boyle and Shane Farnsworth. Non-commutative geometry, non-associative geometry and the standard model of particle physics. *New Journal of Physics*, 16(12):123027, 2014.
- [10] Alain Connes. Compact metric spaces, Fredholm modules, and hyperfiniteness. *Ergodic Theory and Dynamical Systems*, 9(2):207–220, 1989.
- [11] Alain Connes. *Noncommutative Geometry*. San Diego, 1994.
- [12] Alain Connes. Noncommutative geometry and reality. *J. Math. Phys.*, 36(11):6194–6231, 1995.
- [13] Alain Connes. Gravity coupled with matter and the foundation of non-commutative geometry. *Commun. Math. Phys.*, 182(1):155–176, 1996.
- [14] Alain Connes. On the spectral characterization of manifolds. *J. Noncommut. Geom.*, 7(1):1–82, 2013.
- [15] Alain Connes and Matilde Marcolli. *Noncommutative geometry, quantum fields and motives*. AMS, 2008.
- [16] Ludwik Dąbrowski and Giacomo Dossena. Product of real spectral triples. *International Journal of Geometric Methods in Modern Physics*, 8(08):1833–1848, 2011.
- [17] Siegfried Echterhoff. Bivariant KK-theory and the Baum–Connes conjecture. In *K-Theory for Group C^* -Algebras and Semigroup C^* -Algebras*, pages 81–147. Springer, 2017.
- [18] Olivier Gabriel and Martin Gřensing. Ergodic actions and spectral triples. *Journal of Operator Theory*, 76:307–335, 02 2016.
- [19] Magnus Goffeng and Bram Mesland. Spectral triples and finite summability on Cuntz-Krieger algebras. *Doc. Math.*, 20:89–170, 2015.
- [20] Magnus Goffeng, Bram Mesland, and Adam Rennie. Untwisting twisted spectral triples. *Internat. J. Math.*, 30(14):1950076, 48, 2019.
- [21] José M Gracia-Bondía, Joseph C Várilly, and Héctor Figueroa. *Elements of Noncommutative Geometry*. Birkhäuser, Boston, 2001.
- [22] Andrew Hawkins, Adam Skalski, Stuart White, and Joachim Zacharias. On spectral triples on crossed products arising from equicontinuous actions. *Mathematica Scandinavica*, 113:262–291, 2 2013.
- [23] Nigel Higson and John Roe. *Analytic K-Homology*. OUP Oxford, 2000.
- [24] Pierre Julg. K-théorie équivariante et produits croisés. *CR Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math*, 292(13):629–632, 1981.
- [25] Jens Kaad and Matthias Lesch. Spectral flow and the unbounded Kasparov product. *Adv. Math.*, 248:495–530, 2013.
- [26] Gennadi Kasparov. Equivariant KK-theory and the Novikov conjecture. *Invent. Math.*, 91:147–201, 1988.

- [27] Gennadii G. Kasparov. The operator K-functor and extensions of C^* -algebras. *Izvestiya Rossiiskoi Akademii Nauk. Seriya Matematicheskaya*, 44(3):571–636, 1980.
- [28] Masoud Khalkhali. *Basic Noncommutative Geometry*. European Mathematical Society, 2009.
- [29] Thomas Krajewski. Classification of finite spectral triples. *J. Geom. Phys.*, 28(1-2):1–30, 1998.
- [30] Dan Kucerovsky. The kk -product of unbounded modules. *K-theory*, 11(1):17–34, 1997.
- [31] Jean-Louis Loday. *Cyclic Homology*. Springer, Berlin Heidelberg, 2013.
- [32] Bram Mesland. Groupoid cocycles and K-theory. *Münster J. Math.*, 4:227–249, 2011.
- [33] Bram Mesland and Mehmet Haluk Şengün. Hecke operators in KK -theory and the K -homology of Bianchi groups. *J. Noncommut. Geom.*, 14(1):125–189, 2020.
- [34] Mario Paschke and Andrzej Sitarz. Discrete spectral triples and their symmetries. *J. Math. Phys.*, 39(11):6191–6205, 1998.
- [35] François Pierrot. Bimodules de kasparov non bornés équivariants pour les groupoïdes topologiques localement compacts. *Comptes Rendus Mathématique*, 342(9):661–663, 2006.
- [36] Mihai Pimsner and Dan Voiculescu. Exact sequences for K-groups and Ext-groups of certain cross-product C^* -algebras. *Journal of Operator Theory*, 4:93–118, 1980.
- [37] Adam Rennie, David Robertson, and Aidan Sims. The extension class and KMS states for Cuntz-Pimsner algebras of some bi-Hilbertian bimodules. *J. Topol. Anal.*, 9(2):297–327, 2017.
- [38] Marc Rieffel. C^* -algebras associated with irrational rotations. *Pacific Journal of Mathematics*, 93(2):415–429, 1981.
- [39] Marc Rieffel. Group C^* -Algebras as Compact Quantum Metric Spaces. *Doc. Math.*, 7:605–651, 06 2002.
- [40] Marc A Rieffel. Compact quantum metric spaces. *Contemporary Mathematics*, 365:315–330, 2004.
- [41] Andrzej Sitarz. Equivariant Spectral Triples. *Banach Center Publications*, 61:231–263, 2003.
- [42] Thomas Timmermann. *An Invitation to Quantum Groups and Duality: From Hopf Algebras to Multiplicative Unitaries and Beyond*. European Mathematical Society, 2008.
- [43] Alessandro Zucca. Dirac Operators on Quantum Principal G-Bundles. *PhD Thesis*, 2013.

Email address: alrubin@sissa.it, dabrowski@sissa.it

SCUOLA INTERNAZIONALE SUPERIORE DI STUDI AVANZATI (SISSA), VIA BONOMEA 265, I-34136 TRIESTE