



# The DT/PT correspondence for smooth curves

Andrea T. Ricolfi<sup>1</sup>

Received: 5 September 2017 / Accepted: 19 November 2017 / Published online: 11 January 2018  
© The Author(s) 2018. This article is an open access publication

**Abstract** We show a version of the DT/PT correspondence relating local curve counting invariants, encoding the contribution of a fixed smooth curve in a Calabi–Yau threefold. We exploit a local study of the Hilbert–Chow morphism about the cycle of a smooth curve. We compute, via Quot schemes, the global Donaldson–Thomas theory of a general Abel–Jacobi curve of genus 3.

**Keywords** Donaldson–Thomas invariants · Hilbert–Chow morphism

**Mathematics Subject Classification** 14N35 · 14C05

## Contents

1	Introduction	700
1.1	Main result	700
2	The DT/PT correspondence	701
3	Proofs	703
3.1	The fibre of Hilbert–Chow	704
3.2	Local triviality of Hilbert–Chow	706
4	The DT theory of an Abel–Jacobi curve	707
	References	709

---

✉ Andrea T. Ricolfi  
atricolfi@gmail.com

<sup>1</sup> Max-Planck-Institut für Mathematik, Vivatsgasse 7, 53111 Bonn, Germany

# 1 Introduction

Let  $Y$  be a smooth, projective Calabi–Yau threefold. The Donaldson–Thomas (DT, for short) invariants of  $Y$  are enumerative invariants attached to the Hilbert schemes

$$I_m(Y, \beta) = \{Z \subset Y \mid \chi(\mathcal{O}_Z) = m, [Z] = \beta\},$$

viewed as moduli spaces of ideal sheaves [18]. These numbers are insensitive to small deformations of the complex structure of  $Y$ , but they do change when we perturb the stability condition that defines them: the rules that govern these changes are the so called *wall-crossing formulas*. It is in this spirit that one can interpret the “DT/PT correspondence”, an equality of generating functions

$$DT_\beta(q) = DT_0(q) \cdot PT_\beta(q) \tag{1.1}$$

first conjectured in [14] and later proved by Bridgeland [4] and Toda [19]. The left hand side of (1.1) is the Laurent series encoding the Donaldson–Thomas invariants of  $Y$  in the class  $\beta \in H_2(Y, \mathbb{Z})$ , whereas  $PT_\beta$  encodes the Pandharipande–Thomas (PT, for short) invariants of  $Y$ , defined through the moduli space of stable pairs [14, 15],

$$P_m(Y, \beta) = \{(F, s) \mid \chi(F) = m, [\text{Supp } F] = \beta\}.$$

Recall that a pair  $(F, s)$ , consisting of a one-dimensional coherent sheaf  $F \in \text{Coh}(Y)$  and a section  $s \in H^0(Y, F)$ , is said to be *stable* when  $F$  is pure and the cokernel of  $s : \mathcal{O}_Y \rightarrow F$  is zero-dimensional. Finally, the formula

$$DT_0(q) = M(-q)^{\chi(Y)},$$

proven in [2, 9, 10], determines the zero-dimensional DT theory of  $Y$ . Here  $M(q) = \prod_{k>0} (1 - q^k)^{-k}$  is the MacMahon function, the generating function of plane partitions.

## 1.1 Main result

We prove a variant of (1.1) in this note. Let  $C \subset Y$  be a smooth curve of genus  $g$  embedded in class  $\beta$ . For integers  $n \geq 0$ , we define “local” DT invariants

$$DT_{n,C} = \int_{I_n(Y,C)} v_I \, d\chi$$

where  $v_I : I_{1-g+n}(Y, \beta) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  is the Behrend function [1] on the Hilbert scheme and  $I_n(Y, C) \subset I_{1-g+n}(Y, \beta)$  is the closed subset parametrizing subschemes  $Z \subset Y$  containing  $C$  as their maximal purely 1-dimensional subscheme. For instance, a generic point of  $I_n(Y, C)$  represents a subscheme consisting of  $C$  along with  $n$  distinct points in  $Y \setminus C$ . Similarly, we consider  $P_n(Y, C) \subset P_{1-g+n}(Y, C)$ , the closed subset parametrizing stable pairs  $(F, s)$  such that  $\text{Supp } F = C$ . The local invariants on the stable pair side

$$PT_{n,C} = \int_{P_n(Y,C)} v_P \, d\chi$$

have been studied in [15]. By smoothness of  $C$ , the local moduli space  $P_n(Y, C)$  can be identified with the symmetric product  $\text{Sym}^n C$ . Let us form the generating functions

$$DT_C(q) = \sum_{n \geq 0} DT_{n,C} q^{1-g+n}, \quad PT_C(q) = \sum_{n \geq 0} PT_{n,C} q^{1-g+n}.$$

We say that the DT/PT correspondence holds for  $C$  if one has

$$DT_C(q) = DT_0(q) \cdot PT_C(q). \tag{1.2}$$

We can view (1.2) as a wall-crossing formula relating the local curve counting invariants attached to  $C$ .

Let  $n_{g,C}$  be the BPS number of  $C \subset Y$  [15]. Using the known value of the PT side [15, Section 3],

$$PT_C(q) = n_{g,C} \cdot q^{1-g}(1+q)^{2g-2},$$

we proved that the DT/PT correspondence (1.2) holds for  $C$  smooth and rigid [16, Section 5]. The goal of this note is to extend the result to all smooth curves.

**Theorem 1.1** *Let  $Y$  be a smooth, projective Calabi–Yau threefold,  $C \subset Y$  a smooth curve. Then the DT/PT correspondence (1.2) holds for  $C$ .*

In fact, the conclusion of the theorem holds for all Cohen–Macaulay curves, by recent work of Oberdieck [12]. While he works with motivic Hall algebras, our method involves a local study of the Hilbert–Chow morphism, and builds upon previous calculations [16], especially the weighted Euler characteristic of the Quot scheme  $\text{Quot}_n(\mathcal{I}_C)$ , as we explain in Sect. 2.

The local invariants do not depend on any scheme structure one may put on  $I_n(Y, C)$  and  $P_n(Y, C)$ . However, on the DT side, we will exploit the Hilbert–Chow morphism to endow  $I_n(Y, C)$  with a natural scheme structure, and we will prove that it agrees with the Quot scheme studied in [16]. So, in the local theory, we can think of the moduli spaces

$$\text{Quot}_n(\mathcal{I}_C) \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Sym}^n C$$

as sitting on opposite sides of the wall separating DT and PT theory from one another.

*Conventions.* All schemes are defined over  $\mathbb{C}$ . The Calabi–Yau condition for us is simply the existence of a trivialization of the canonical line bundle. The Hilbert–Chow morphism  $\text{Hilb}_r(X/S) \rightarrow \text{Chow}_r(X/S)$  is the one constructed by Rydh [17].

## 2 The DT/PT correspondence

In this section we outline our strategy to deduce Theorem 1.1.

Let  $Y$  be a smooth projective variety, not necessarily Calabi–Yau. We consider the Hilbert–Chow morphism

$$\text{Hilb}_1(Y) \rightarrow \text{Chow}_1(Y) \tag{2.1}$$

constructed in [17], sending a 1-dimensional subscheme of  $Y$  to its fundamental cycle. We recall its definition in Sect. 3.1. Let  $I_m(Y, \beta) \subset \text{Hilb}_1(Y)$  be the component parametrizing subschemes  $Z \subset Y$  such that

$$\chi(\mathcal{O}_Z) = m \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad [Z] = \beta \in H_2(Y, \mathbb{Z}).$$

Similarly, we let  $\text{Chow}_1(Y, \beta) \subset \text{Chow}_1(Y)$  be the component parametrizing 1-cycles of degree  $\beta$ . Then (2.1) restricts to a morphism

$$h_m : I_m(Y, \beta) \rightarrow \text{Chow}_1(Y, \beta).$$

**Definition 2.1** Fix an integer  $n \geq 0$ . For a Cohen–Macaulay curve  $C \subset Y$  of arithmetic genus  $g$  embedded in class  $\beta$ , we let

$$I_n(Y, C) \subset I_{1-g+n}(Y, \beta)$$

denote the scheme-theoretic fibre of  $h_{1-g+n}$ , over the cycle of  $C$ .

*Remark 2.1* We will use that (2.1) is an isomorphism around normal schemes, at least in characteristic zero [17, Cor. 12.9]. Thus, for a smooth curve  $C \subset Y$ , we will identify Chow with Hilb locally around the cycle  $[C] \in \text{Chow}_1(Y)$  and the ideal sheaf  $\mathcal{I}_C \in \text{Hilb}_1(Y)$ . For this reason, we do not need the representability of the global Chow functor, as around the point  $[C] \in \text{Chow}_1(Y, \beta)$  we can work with the Hilbert scheme  $I_{1-g}(Y, \beta)$  instead.

Consider the Quot scheme

$$\text{Quot}_n(\mathcal{I}_C)$$

parametrizing quotients of length  $n$  of the ideal sheaf  $\mathcal{I}_C \subset \mathcal{O}_Y$ . We proved in [16, Lemma 5.1] that the association  $[\theta : \mathcal{I}_C \rightarrow \mathcal{E}] \mapsto \ker \theta$  defines a closed immersion

$$\text{Quot}_n(\mathcal{I}_C) \hookrightarrow I_{1-g+n}(Y, \beta). \tag{2.2}$$

More precisely, for a scheme  $S$ , an  $S$ -valued point of the Quot scheme is a flat quotient  $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{I}_{C \times S} / \mathcal{I}_Z$ , and in the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_Z \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{C \times S} \rightarrow 0$$

living over  $Y \times S$ , the middle term is  $S$ -flat, so  $Z$  defines an  $S$ -point of  $I_{1-g+n}(Y, \beta)$ . The  $S$ -valued points of the image of (2.2) consist precisely of those flat families  $Z \subset Y \times S \rightarrow S$  such that  $Z$  contains  $C \times S$  as a closed subscheme. This will be used implicitly in the proof of Theorem 2.1.

The schemes  $I_n(Y, C)$  and  $\text{Quot}_n(\mathcal{I}_C)$  have the same  $\mathbb{C}$ -valued points: they both parametrize subschemes  $Z \subset Y$  consisting of  $C$  together with “ $n$  points”, possibly embedded. The first step towards Theorem 1.1 is the following result, whose proof is postponed to the next section.

**Theorem 2.1** *Let  $Y$  be a smooth projective variety,  $C \subset Y$  a smooth curve of genus  $g$ . Then  $I_n(Y, C) = \text{Quot}_n(\mathcal{I}_C)$  as subschemes of  $I_{1-g+n}(Y, \beta)$ .*

As an application of Theorem 2.1, in Sect. 4 we compute the reduced Donaldson–Thomas theory of a general Abel–Jacobi curve of genus 3.

To proceed towards Theorem 1.1, we need to examine the local structure of the Hilbert scheme around subschemes  $Z \subset Y$  whose maximal purely 1-dimensional subscheme  $C \subset Z$  is smooth. The result, given below, will be proven in the next section.

**Theorem 2.2** *Let  $Y$  be a smooth projective variety,  $C \subset Y$  a smooth curve of genus  $g$ . Then, locally analytically around  $I_n(Y, C)$ , the Hilbert scheme  $I_{1-g+n}(Y, \beta)$  is isomorphic to  $I_n(Y, C) \times \text{Chow}_1(Y, \beta)$ .*

Roughly speaking, this means that the Hilbert–Chow morphism, locally about the cycle

$$[C] \in \text{Chow}_1(Y, \beta),$$

behaves like a fibration with typical fibre  $I_n(Y, C)$ . To obtain this, we first identify Chow with Hilb locally around  $C$ , cf. Remark 2.1. We then need to trivialize the universal curve

$\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \text{Hilb}$ , which can be done since smooth maps are analytically locally trivial (on the source). However, even if we had  $\mathcal{C} = C \times \text{Hilb}$ , we would not be done: the fibre of Hilbert–Chow (which is the Quot scheme by Theorem 2.1) depends on the embedding of the curve into  $Y$ , not just on the abstract curve. So to prove Theorem 2.2 we need to trivialize (locally) the embedding of the universal curve into  $Y \times \text{Hilb}$ . This is taken care of by a local-analytic version of the tubular neighborhood theorem. After this step, Theorem 2.2 follows easily.

Granting Theorems 2.1 and 2.2, we can prove the DT/PT correspondence for smooth curves. So now we assume  $C$  is a smooth curve embedded in class  $\beta$  in a smooth, projective Calabi–Yau threefold  $Y$ .

*Proof of Theorem 1.1* By [17, Cor. 12.9], the Hilbert–Chow morphism

$$h_{1-g} : I_{1-g}(Y, \beta) \rightarrow \text{Chow}_1(Y, \beta)$$

is (in characteristic zero) an isomorphism over the locus of normal schemes. Under this local identification, the cycle  $[C]$  corresponds to the ideal sheaf  $\mathcal{I}_C$ . We let  $v(\mathcal{I}_C)$  be the value of the Behrend function on  $I_{1-g}(Y, \beta)$  at the point corresponding to  $\mathcal{I}_C$ . Since the Behrend function can be computed locally analytically [1, Prop. 4.22], Theorem 2.2 implies the identity

$$v_I|_{I_n(Y,C)} = v(\mathcal{I}_C) \cdot v_{I_n(Y,C)},$$

where  $v_I$  is the Behrend function of  $I = I_{1-g+n}(Y, \beta)$ . After integration, we find

$$\text{DT}_{n,C} = v(\mathcal{I}_C) \cdot \tilde{\chi}(I_n(Y, C)),$$

where  $\tilde{\chi}(I_n(Y, C))$ , by Theorem 2.1, agrees with the weighted Euler characteristic of the Quot scheme  $\text{Quot}_n(\mathcal{I}_C)$ . But we proved in [16, Thm. 5.2] that the relation

$$\text{DT}_{n,C} = v(\mathcal{I}_C) \cdot \tilde{\chi}(\text{Quot}_n(\mathcal{I}_C))$$

is equivalent to the  $C$ -local DT/PT correspondence (1.2), so the theorem follows. □

As observed in [16], the  $C$ -local DT/PT correspondence says that the local invariants are determined by the topological Euler characteristic of the corresponding moduli space, along with the BPS number of the fixed smooth curve  $C \subset Y$ . The latter can be computed as

$$n_{g,C} = v(\mathcal{I}_C).$$

For any integer  $n \geq 0$ , the explicit formulas are

$$\begin{aligned} \text{DT}_{n,C} &= n_{g,C} \cdot (-1)^n \chi(I_n(Y, C)), \\ \text{PT}_{n,C} &= n_{g,C} \cdot (-1)^n \chi(P_n(Y, C)). \end{aligned}$$

In particular, the local invariants differ by the Euler characteristic of the corresponding moduli space by the *same* constant.

### 3 Proofs

It remains to prove Theorems 2.1 and 2.2. For Theorem 2.1, we need to review some definitions and results from [17].

### 3.1 The fibre of Hilbert–Chow

Rydh has developed a powerful theory of *relative cycles* and has defined a Hilbert–Chow morphism

$$\text{Hilb}_r(X/S) \rightarrow \text{Chow}_r(X/S) \tag{3.1}$$

for every algebraic space  $X$  locally of finite type over an arbitrary scheme  $S$ . For us  $X$  is always a scheme, projective over  $S$ .

We quickly recall the definition of (3.1). First of all, the Hilbert scheme  $\text{Hilb}_r(X/S)$  parametrizes  $S$ -subschemes of  $X$  that are proper and of dimension  $r$  over  $S$ , but not necessarily equidimensional, while  $\text{Chow}_r(X/S)$  parametrizes *equidimensional*, proper relative cycles of dimension  $r$ . We refer to [17, Def. 4.2] for the definition of *relative cycles* on  $X/S$ . Cycles have a (not necessarily equidimensional) support, which is a locally closed subset  $Z \subset X$ . Rydh shows [17, Prop. 4.5] that if  $\alpha$  is a relative cycle on  $f : X \rightarrow S$  with support  $Z$ , then, for every  $r \geq 0$ , on the same family there is a unique *equidimensional* relative cycle  $\alpha_r$  with support

$$Z_r = \{x \in Z \mid \dim_x Z_{f(x)} = r\} \subset Z.$$

Cycles are called equidimensional when their support is equidimensional over the base. The essential tool for the definition of (3.1) is the *norm family*, defined by the following result.

**Theorem 3.1** [17, Thm. 7.14] *Let  $X \rightarrow S$  be a locally finitely presented morphism,  $\mathcal{F}$  a finitely presented  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module which is flat over  $S$ . Then there is a canonical relative cycle  $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{F}}$  on  $X/S$ , with support equal to  $\text{Supp } \mathcal{F}$ . This construction commutes with arbitrary base change. When  $Z \subset X$  is a subscheme which is flat and of finite presentation over  $S$ , we write  $\mathcal{N}_Z = \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{O}_Z}$ .*

The Hilbert–Chow functor (3.1) is defined by  $Z \mapsto (\mathcal{N}_Z)_r$ .

Even though we do not recall here the full definition of relative cycle, the main idea is the following. For a locally closed subset  $Z \subset X$ , Rydh defines a *projection of  $X/S$  adapted to  $Z$*  to be a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} U & \xrightarrow{p} & X \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ B & & Z \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ T & \xrightarrow{g} & S \end{array} \tag{3.2}$$

where  $U \rightarrow X \times_S T$  is étale,  $B \rightarrow T$  is smooth and  $p^{-1}(Z) \rightarrow B$  is finite. A relative cycle  $\alpha$  on  $X/S$  with support  $Z \subset X$  is the datum, for every projection adapted to  $Z$ , of a proper family of *zero-cycles* on  $U/B$ , which Rydh defines as a morphism

$$\alpha_{U/B/T} : B \rightarrow \Gamma^*(U/B)$$

to the scheme of divided powers. We refer to [17, Def. 4.2] for the additional compatibility conditions that these data should satisfy.

Let now  $\mathcal{F}$  be a flat family of coherent sheaves on  $X/S$ . If  $\mathfrak{p} = (U, B, T, p, g)$  denotes a projection of  $X/S$  adapted to  $\text{Supp } \mathcal{F} \subset X$  as in (3.2), then the *zero-cycle* defining the norm family  $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{F}}$  at  $\mathfrak{p}$  is

$$(\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{F}})_{U/B/T} = \mathcal{N}_{p^*\mathcal{F}/B},$$

constructed in [17, Cor. 7.9]. For us  $\mathcal{F}$  will always be a structure sheaf, so it will be easy to compare these zero-cycles.

If  $Z \subset X$  is a subscheme that is smooth over  $S$ , then the norm family  $\mathcal{N}_Z$  is an example of a *smooth* relative cycle, cf. [17, Def. 8.11]. The next result states an equivalence, in characteristic zero, between smooth relative cycles and subschemes smooth over the base.

**Theorem 3.2** [17, Thm. 9.8] *If  $S$  is of characteristic zero, then for every smooth relative cycle  $\alpha$  on  $X/S$  there is a unique subscheme  $Z \subset X$ , smooth over  $S$ , such that  $\alpha = \mathcal{N}_Z$ .*

We can now prove Theorem 2.1. We fix  $Y$  to be a smooth projective variety,  $C \subset Y$  a smooth curve of genus  $g$  in class  $\beta$ , and we denote by  $I_n(Y, C)$  the fibre over  $[C]$  of the Hilbert–Chow morphism

$$I_{1-g+n}(Y, \beta) \rightarrow \text{Chow}_1(Y, \beta),$$

as in Definition 2.1.

*Proof of Theorem 2.1* We need to show that

$$I_n(Y, C) = \text{Quot}_n(\mathcal{I}_C)$$

as subschemes of  $I_{1-g+n}(Y, \beta)$ . Let  $S$  be a scheme over  $\mathbb{C}$ , and set  $X = Y \times_{\mathbb{C}} S$ . Then a family

$$Z \subset X \rightarrow S$$

in the Hilbert scheme is an  $S$ -valued point of  $I_n(Y, C)$  when  $(\mathcal{N}_Z)_1 = \mathcal{N}_{C \times S}$ . The closed immersion (2.2) from the Quot scheme to the Hilbert scheme factors through  $I_n(Y, C)$ . Indeed, any  $S$ -point  $\mathcal{I}_{C \times S} \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_{C \times S} / \mathcal{I}_Z$  of the Quot scheme gives a closed immersion  $C \times S \hookrightarrow Z$  whose relative ideal is zero-dimensional over  $S$ , thus we have  $(\mathcal{N}_Z)_1 = (\mathcal{N}_{C \times S})_1 = \mathcal{N}_{C \times S}$ , where in the second equality we used that  $\mathcal{N}_{C \times S}$  is equidimensional of dimension one over  $S$ . So we obtain a closed immersion

$$\iota : \text{Quot}_n(\mathcal{I}_C) \hookrightarrow I_n(Y, C).$$

For every scheme  $S$ , we therefore have an injective map of sets

$$\iota(S) : \text{Quot}_n(\mathcal{I}_C)(S) \hookrightarrow I_n(Y, C)(S),$$

and since  $\iota(\text{Spec } \mathbb{C})$  is a bijection, so far  $\iota$  is just a bijective closed immersion. We need to show  $\iota(S)$  is onto, and for the moment we deal with the case where  $S$  is a fat point. In other words, assume  $S$  is the spectrum of a local artinian  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra with residue field  $\mathbb{C}$ . Let  $Z \subset X \rightarrow S$  be an  $S$ -valued point of  $I_n(Y, C)$ . Consider the finite subscheme  $F \subset Y \subset X$  given by the support of  $\mathcal{I}_C / \mathcal{I}_{Z_0}$ , where  $Z_0$  is the closed fibre of  $Z \rightarrow S$ . Form the open set  $V = X \setminus F \subset X$ . Then we have, as relative cycles on  $V/S$ ,

$$(\mathcal{N}_Z)_1|_V = \mathcal{N}_{C \times S}|_V = \mathcal{N}_{(C \times S) \cap V}.$$

We claim the left hand side equals the relative cycle  $\mathcal{N}_{Z \cap V}$ . For sure, these two cycles have the same support, as  $Z \cap V = Z_1 \cap V$ , and they are determined by the same set of projections; indeed, being equidimensional of dimension one, they are determined by (compatible data of) relative zero-cycles for every projection  $\mathfrak{p}_{V/S} = (U, B, T, p, g)$  such that  $B/T$  is smooth of relative dimension one. Let us focus on  $(\mathcal{N}_Z)_1$  first. Here  $r = 1$  is the maximal relative dimension of a point in  $Z$ , so the zero-cycle corresponding to a projection  $\mathfrak{p}_{X/S}$  as in (3.2), and adapted to  $Z_1$ , is the same as the one defined by the norm family of  $Z$  (cf. the proof

of [17, Prop. 4.5]), namely  $\mathcal{N}_{p^* \mathcal{O}_{Z/B}}$ . Now we restrict to the open subset  $i : V \rightarrow X$ . By definition of pullback, the zero-cycle attached to a projection  $p_{V/S}$  (adapted to  $Z_1 \cap V$ ) is the cycle corresponding to the projection  $(U, B, T, i \circ p, g)$  for the full family  $Z/S$ , namely

$$\mathcal{N}_{(i \circ p)^* \mathcal{O}_{Z/B}} = \mathcal{N}_{p^* \mathcal{O}_{Z \cap V/B}}$$

The latter is precisely the zero-cycle defining the norm family of  $Z \cap V/S$  at the same projection  $p_{V/S}$ , so the claim is proved,

$$\mathcal{N}_{Z \cap V} = (\mathcal{N}_Z)_1|_V.$$

By the equivalence between smooth cycles and smooth subschemes stated in Theorem 3.2, we conclude that  $Z \cap V$  and  $(C \times S) \cap V$  are the same (smooth) family over  $S$ . Moreover, the closure

$$\overline{(C \times S) \cap V} \subset Z$$

equals  $C \times S$ , because the open subscheme  $(C \times S) \cap V \subset C \times S$  is fibrewise dense (intersecting with  $V$  is only deleting a finite number of points in the special fibre). We have thus reconstructed a closed immersion  $C \times S \hookrightarrow Z$ , giving a well-defined  $S$ -valued point of  $\text{Quot}_n(\mathcal{S}_C)$ . So  $\iota(S)$  is onto, and thus a bijection, whenever  $S$  is a fat point. This implies  $\iota$  is étale, by a simple application of the formal criterion for étale maps. The theorem follows because we already know  $\iota$  is a bijective closed immersion. □

### 3.2 Local triviality of Hilbert–Chow

In this section we prove Theorem 2.2. The main tool used in the proof is the following local analytic version of the tubular neighborhood theorem.

**Lemma 3.1** *Let  $S$  be a scheme,  $j : X \rightarrow Y$  a closed immersion over  $S$ . Assume  $X$  and  $Y$  are both smooth over  $S$ , of relative dimension  $d$  and  $n$  respectively. Then  $j$  is locally analytically isomorphic to the standard linear embedding  $\mathbb{C}^d \times S \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n \times S$ .*

*Proof* Let  $x \in X$  and  $y = j(x) \in Y$ . Let  $\mathcal{I} \subset \mathcal{O}_Y$  be the ideal sheaf of  $X$  in  $Y$ . The relative smoothness of  $X$ , given that of  $Y$ , is characterized by the Jacobian criterion [3, Section 8.5], asserting that the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{I}/\mathcal{I}^2 \rightarrow j^* \Omega_{Y/S} \rightarrow \Omega_{X/S} \rightarrow 0$$

is split locally around  $x \in X$ . According to *loc. cit.* this is also equivalent to the following: whenever we choose local sections  $t_1, \dots, t_n$  and  $g_1, \dots, g_n$  of  $\mathcal{O}_{Y,y}$  such that  $d t_1, \dots, d t_n$  constitute a free generating system for  $\Omega_{Y/S,y}$  and  $g_1, \dots, g_n$  generate  $\mathcal{I}_y$ , after a suitable relabeling we may assume  $g_{d+1}, \dots, g_n$  generate  $\mathcal{I}$  about  $y$  and

$$d t_1, \dots, d t_d, d g_{d+1}, \dots, d g_n$$

generate  $\Omega_{Y/S}$  locally around  $y$ . In particular,  $f_i = t_i \circ j$ , for  $i = 1, \dots, d$ , define a local system of parameters at  $x$ . By this choice of local basis for  $\Omega_{Y/S}$  around  $y$ , we can find open neighborhoods  $x \in U \subset X$  and  $y \in V \subset Y$  fitting in a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} U & \xrightarrow{j} & V \\ \text{ét} \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{ét} \\ \mathbb{A}_S^d & \hookrightarrow & \mathbb{A}_S^n \end{array}$$



where the vertical maps are defined by the local systems of parameters  $(f_1, \dots, f_d)$  and  $(t_1, \dots, t_d, g_{d+1}, \dots, g_n)$  respectively, and the lower immersion is defined by sending  $t_i \mapsto f_i$  for  $i = 1, \dots, d$  and  $g_k \mapsto 0$ . Using the analytic topology, the inverse function theorem allows us to translate the étale maps into local analytic isomorphisms, and the statement follows.  $\square$

Note that Lemma 3.1 does not hold globally. For a closed immersion  $X \subset Y$  of smooth complex projective varieties, it is not true in general that one can find a global tubular neighborhood. The obstruction lies in  $\text{Ext}^1(N_{X/Y}, T_X)$ .

Before the proof of Theorem 2.2, we introduce the following notation. If  $Z \subset Y$  is a 1-dimensional subscheme corresponding to a point in the fibre  $I_n(Y, C)$  of Hilbert–Chow, we can attach to  $Z$  its “finite part”, the finite subset  $F_Z \subset Z$  which is the support of the maximal zero-dimensional subsheaf of  $\mathcal{O}_Z$ , namely the quotient  $\mathcal{I}_C/\mathcal{I}_Z$ .

*Proof of Theorem 2.2* By [17, Cor. 12.9] the Hilbert–Chow map is a local isomorphism around normal schemes, so we may identify an open neighborhood of the cycle of  $C$  in the Chow scheme with an open neighborhood  $U$  of  $[C]$  in the Hilbert scheme  $I_{1-g}(Y, \beta)$ . We then consider the Hilbert–Chow map

$$h = h_{1-g+n} : I_{1-g+n}(Y, \beta) \rightarrow \text{Chow}_1(Y, \beta)$$

and we fix a point in the fibre  $[Z_0] \in I_n(Y, C)$ . It is easy to reduce to the case where the finite part  $F_0 = F_{Z_0} \subset Z_0$  is confined on  $C$ , that is,  $Z_0$  has only embedded points. We need to show that the Hilbert scheme is locally analytically isomorphic to  $U \times I_n(Y, C)$  about  $[Z_0]$ . By Lemma 3.1, the universal embedding  $\mathcal{C} \subset Y \times U$ , locally around the finite set of points  $F_0 \subset C \subset \mathcal{C}$ , is locally analytically isomorphic to the embedding of the zero section  $C \times U \subset C \times U \times \mathbb{C}^2$  of the trivial rank 2 bundle. In particular we can find, in  $C \times U \times \mathbb{C}^2$  and in  $Y \times U$ , analytic open neighborhoods  $V$  and  $V'$  of  $F_0$ , fitting in a commutative diagram

$$\begin{CD} (C \times U) \cap V @<<< V @>>>^{\text{open}} C \times U \times \mathbb{C}^2 \\ @VV\wr V @VV\wr V \\ \mathcal{C} \cap V' @<<< V' @>>>^{\text{open}} Y \times U \end{CD}$$

where the vertical maps are analytic isomorphisms. Now consider the open subset

$$A = \{(Z, u) \in I_n(Y, C) \times U \mid F_Z \subset V_u\} \subset I_n(Y, C) \times U.$$

Letting  $\varphi$  denote the isomorphism  $V \xrightarrow{\sim} V'$ , given a pair  $(Z, u) \in A$  we can look at  $Z' = \mathcal{C}_u \cup \varphi(F_Z)$ , which is a new subscheme of  $Y$ , mapping to  $u$  under Hilbert–Chow. The association  $(Z, u) \mapsto Z'$  defines an isomorphism between  $A$  and the open subset  $B \subset h^{-1}(U)$  parametrizing subschemes  $Z' \subset Y$  such that  $F_{Z'}$  is contained in  $V'_u$ , where  $u$  is the image of  $[Z']$  under Hilbert–Chow. Note that  $[Z_0] \in B$  corresponds to  $(Z_0, C) \in A$  under this isomorphism. The theorem is proved.  $\square$

### 4 The DT theory of an Abel–Jacobi curve

In this section we fix a non-hyperelliptic curve  $C$  of genus 3, embedded in its Jacobian

$$Y = (\text{Jac } C, \Theta)$$

via an Abel–Jacobi map. We let  $\beta = [C] \in H_2(Y, \mathbb{Z})$  be the corresponding curve class. For  $n \geq 0$ , we let

$$\mathcal{H}_C^n \subset I_{n-2}(Y, \beta)$$

be the component of the Hilbert scheme parametrizing subschemes  $Z \subset Y$  whose fundamental cycle is algebraically equivalent to  $[C]$ .

Let  $-1 : Y \rightarrow Y$  be the automorphism  $y \mapsto -y$ , and let  $-C$  denote the image of  $C$ . As  $C$  is non-hyperelliptic, the cycle of  $C$  is not algebraically equivalent to the cycle of  $-C$  [6]. The Hilbert scheme  $I_{n-2}(Y, \beta)$  consists of two connected components, which are interchanged by  $-1$ . Moreover, the Abel–Jacobi embedding  $C \subset Y$  has unobstructed deformations, and there is an isomorphism  $Y \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{H}_C^0$  given by translations [8].

*Example 4.1* As remarked in [7, Example 2.3], the morphism

$$\mathcal{H}_C^1 \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_C^0 \times Y$$

sending  $T_x(C) \cup y \mapsto (T_x(C), y)$ , where  $T_x$  denotes translation by  $x$ , is the Albanese map. It can be easily checked that  $\mathcal{H}_C^1$  is isomorphic to the blow-up

$$\text{Bl}_{\mathcal{U}}(\mathcal{H}_C^0 \times Y),$$

where  $\mathcal{U}$  is the universal family. In particular,  $\mathcal{H}_C^1$  is smooth of dimension 6.

The quotient of the Hilbert scheme by the translation action of  $Y$  gives a Deligne–Mumford stack  $I_m(Y, \beta)/Y$ . In fact, since the  $Y$ -action is free, this is an algebraic space. The *reduced* Donaldson–Thomas invariants

$$\text{DT}_{m,\beta}^Y = \int_{I_m(Y,\beta)/Y} v \, d\chi \in \mathbb{Q}$$

were introduced in [5] for arbitrary abelian threefold. We consider their generating function

$$\text{DT}_{\beta}(p) = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \text{DT}_{m,\beta}^Y p^m.$$

We state the following result as a corollary of Theorem 2.1.

**Corollary 4.1** *Let  $C \subset Y$  be non-hyperelliptic, embedded in class  $\beta$ . Then*

$$\text{DT}_{\beta}(p) = 2p^{-2}(1 + p)^4.$$

*Proof* As the Hilbert–Chow morphism is an isomorphism around normal schemes, we have an isomorphism

$$I_{-2}(Y, \beta) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Chow}_1(Y, \beta).$$

On the other hand, the Hilbert scheme is the disjoint union of two copies of  $\mathcal{H}_C^0$ , where  $\mathcal{H}_C^0 \cong Y$  because  $C$  is not hyperelliptic. Focusing on the component parametrizing translates of  $C$ , the Hilbert–Chow morphism  $\mathcal{H}_C^n \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_C^0$  induces an isomorphism

$$Y \times \text{Quot}_n(\mathcal{I}_C) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{H}_C^n$$

by Theorem 2.1. This shows that the quotient space  $\mathcal{H}_C^n/Y$  is isomorphic to the Quot *scheme*  $\text{Quot}_n(\mathcal{I}_C)$ . Keeping into account the second component of  $I_{n-2}(Y, \beta)$ , still isomorphic to  $\mathcal{H}_C^n$ , we find

$$\text{DT}_{n-2,\beta}^Y = 2 \cdot \tilde{\chi}(\text{Quot}_n(\mathcal{I}_C)),$$

where  $\tilde{\chi}$  denotes the Behrend weighted Euler characteristic. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \text{DT}_\beta(p) &= \sum_{n \geq 0} \text{DT}_{n-2, \beta}^Y p^{n-2} = 2p^{-2} \sum_{n \geq 0} \tilde{\chi}(\text{Quot}_n(\mathcal{I}_C)) p^n \\ &= 2p^{-2}(1 + p)^4, \end{aligned}$$

where the last equality follows from [16, Prop. 5.1]. □

If one considers homology classes of type  $(1, 1, d)$  for all  $d \geq 0$ , on an arbitrary abelian threefold  $Y$ , one has the formula

$$\sum_{d \geq 0} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \text{DT}_{m, (1,1,d)}^Y (-p)^m q^d = -K(p, q)^2, \tag{4.1}$$

where  $K$  is the Jacobi theta function

$$K(p, q) = (p^{1/2} - p^{-1/2}) \prod_{m \geq 1} \frac{(1 - pq^m)(1 - p^{-1}q^m)}{(1 - q^m)^2}.$$

Relation (4.1) was conjectured in [5] and proved in [11, 13]. Corollary 4.1 confirms the coefficient of  $q$  via Quot schemes, when  $Y$  is the Jacobian of a general curve. Indeed, in this case the Abel–Jacobi class is of type  $(1, 1, 1)$ .

The local DT theory of a general Abel–Jacobi curve  $C$  of genus 3 is determined as follows. Using again the isomorphism  $Y \cong \mathcal{H}_C^0$ , we can compute the BPS number

$$n_{3,C} = v(\mathcal{I}_C) = -1,$$

thus the DT/PT correspondence at  $C$  (Theorem 1.1) yields

$$\text{DT}_C(q) = \text{PT}_C(q) = -q^{-2}(1 + q)^4.$$

In other words, the global theory is related to the local one by

$$\text{DT}_\beta(q) = -2 \cdot \text{DT}_C(q).$$

**Acknowledgements** Open access funding provided by Max Planck Society. I would like to thank my advisors Martin G. Gulbrandsen and Lars H. Halle, and Letterio Gatto, for many valuable discussions. Thanks to David Rydh for sharing many useful comments and a key example that was useful to understand the norm family. Finally, I wish to thank Richard Thomas for patiently sharing his insights and commenting on a previous draft of this work.

**Open Access** This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made.

## References

1. Behrend, K.: Donaldson–Thomas type invariants via microlocal geometry. *Ann. Math.* **2**(170), 1307–1338 (2009)
2. Behrend, K., Fantechi, B.: Symmetric obstruction theories and Hilbert schemes of points on threefolds. *Algebra Number Theory* **2**, 313–345 (2008)
3. Bosch, S.: *Algebraic Geometry and Commutative Algebra*. Springer, London (2013)
4. Bridgeland, T.: Hall algebras and curve counting invariants. *J. Am. Math. Soc.* **24**(4), 969–998 (2011)
5. Bryan, J., Oberdieck, G., Pandharipande, R., Yin, Q.: Curve counting on Abelian surfaces and threefolds. *Algebraic. Geom.* (2016). [arXiv:1506.00841v3](https://arxiv.org/abs/1506.00841v3) (to appear)

6. Ceresa, G.:  $C$  is not algebraically equivalent to  $C^-$  in its Jacobian. *Ann. Math. (2)* **117**(2), 285–291 (1983)
7. Gulbrandsen, M.G.: Counting sheaves on Calabi–Yau and abelian threefolds. In: Laza, R., Schütt, M., Yui, N. (eds.) *Arithmetic and geometry of K3 surfaces and Calabi–Yau threefolds*. Fields Inst. Commun., vol. 67, pp. 535–548. Springer, New York (2013)
8. Lange, H., Sernesi, E.: On the Hilbert scheme of a Prym variety. *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. (4)* **183**(3), 375–386 (2004)
9. Levine, M., Pandharipande, R.: Algebraic cobordism revisited. *Invent. Math.* **176**(1), 63–130 (2009)
10. Li, J.: Zero dimensional Donaldson–Thomas invariants of threefolds. *Geom. Topol.* **10**, 2117–2171 (2006)
11. Oberdieck, G.: Gromov–Witten theory of  $K3 \times \mathbb{P}^1$  and quasi-Jacobi forms. *Int. Math. Res. Not.* [arXiv:1605.05238v1](https://arxiv.org/abs/1605.05238v1) (2016) (to appear)
12. Oberdieck, G.: On reduced stable pair invariants. *Math. Z.* [arXiv:1605.04631](https://arxiv.org/abs/1605.04631) (2016) (to appear)
13. Oberdieck, G., Shen, J.: Curve counting on elliptic Calabi–Yau threefolds via derived categories. *J. Eur. Math. Soc.* (2016). [arXiv:1608.07073v2](https://arxiv.org/abs/1608.07073v2) (to appear)
14. Pandharipande, R., Thomas, R.P.: Curve counting via stable pairs in the derived category. *Invent. Math.* **178**(2), 407–447 (2009)
15. Pandharipande, R., Thomas, R.P.: Stable pairs and BPS invariants. *J. Am. Math. Soc.* **23**(1), 267–297 (2010)
16. Ricolfi, A.: Local contributions to Donaldson–Thomas invariants. *Int. Math. Res. Not.* <https://doi.org/10.1093/imrn/rnx046> (to appear)
17. Rydh, D.: Families of cycles and the Chow scheme. Ph.D. thesis, KTH, Stockholm (2008)
18. Thomas, R.P.: A holomorphic Casson invariant for Calabi–Yau 3-folds, and bundles on K3 fibrations. *J. Differ. Geom.* **54**(2), 367–438 (2000)
19. Toda, Y.: Curve counting theories via stable objects. I: DT/PT correspondence. *J. Am. Math. Soc.* **23**(4), 1119–1157 (2010)