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# MODULI OF SYMPLECTIC INSTANTON VECTOR BUNDLES OF HIGHER RANK ON PROJECTIVE SPACE $\mathbb{P}^{3}$ 

U. BRUZZO, D. MARKUSHEVICH, AND A. S. TIKHOMIROV


#### Abstract

Symplectic instanton vector bundles on the projective space $\mathbb{P}^{3}$ constitute a natural generalization of mathematical instantons of rank 2 . We study the moduli space $I_{n, r}$ of rank- $2 r$ symplectic instanton vector bundles on $\mathbb{P}^{3}$ with $r \geq 2$ and second Chern class $n \geq r, n \equiv$ $r(\bmod 2)$. We give an explicit construction of an irreducible component $I_{n, r}^{*}$ of this space for each such value of $n$ and show that $I_{n, r}^{*}$ has the expected dimension $4 n(r+1)-r(2 r+1)$.


## 1. Introduction

By a symplectic instanton vector bundle of rank $2 r$ and charge $n$ (shortly, a symplectic ( $n, r$ )instanton) on the 3 -dimensional projective space $\mathbb{P}^{3}$ we understand an algebraic vector bundle $E=E_{2 r}$ of rank $2 r$ on $\mathbb{P}^{3}$ with Chern classes

$$
\begin{gather*}
c_{1}(E)=0,  \tag{1}\\
c_{2}(E)=n, \quad n \geq 1, \tag{2}
\end{gather*}
$$

supplied with a symplectic structure and satisfying the vanishing conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
h^{0}(E)=h^{1}\left(E \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-2)\right)=0 . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

By a symplectic structure we mean an anti-self-dual isomorphism

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi: E \stackrel{\simeq}{\leftrightharpoons} E^{\vee}, \quad \phi^{\vee}=-\phi, \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

considered modulo proportionality. The vanishing of the first Chern class (1) follows from the existence of a symplectic structure (4), and if $r=1$, then the two conditions are equivalent. We will denote the moduli space of symplectic $(n, r)$-instantons by $I_{n, r}$.

For $r=1$ these bundles relate, via the so-called Atiyah-Ward correspondence, to rank-2 "physical" instantons over the 4 -sphere $S^{4}$, these being anti-self-dual connections with structure group $S U(2)=\mathbf{S p}(1)$ [AW]. Important results on the moduli spaces $I_{n}=I_{n, 1}$ of rank-2 instantons have been obtained recently: smoothness [JV] for all $n$, irreducibility [T] for odd $n$.

Much less is known about the moduli spaces $I_{n, r}$ for $r>1$. In fact the symplectic instantons with $r>1$ are as natural as those with $r=1$, for they are related, via the same AtiyahWard correspondence, to the anti-self-dual connections over $S^{4}$ with structure group $\mathbf{S p}(r)$, see [A]. As far as we know, the present paper is the first one addressing the properties of the corresponding spaces $I_{n, r}$. The tool we use to construct $I_{n, r}$ is the monad method; it originates in the work of Horrocks $[\mathrm{H}]$ and is known as the ADHM construction of instantons since [ADHM]. It was further sharpened in the work of Barth [B], Barth and Hulek [BH] and Tyurin [Tju1], [Tju2]. This method permits to encode the instantons, usual ones or symplectic of higher rank, by hyperwebs of quadrics.

For a sample of the physical literature about symplectic instantons, see e.g. [Mc].

We fix basic terminology and notation in Section 2 and introduce the hyperwebs of quadrics in Section 3. We prove that, for any $r \geq 2$ and for any $n \geq r$ such that $n \equiv r(\bmod 2)$, the moduli space $I_{n, r}$ is nonempty and is realized as a free quotient $M I_{n, r} /(G L(n) / \pm \mathrm{id})$, where $M I_{n, r}$ is a Zariski locally closed subset of an affine space (see Theorem 3.1). Thus $M I_{n, r}$ carries a natural structure of a reduced scheme, and $I_{n, r}$ is an algebraic space. In Section 4 we give an explicit construction of vector bundles from $I_{n, r}$ for the above values of $n$ and $r$ and introduce a component $I_{n, r}^{*}$ of $I_{n, r}$ characterized by a certain open condition $\left(^{*}\right)$, see Definition 4.6. In Section 5 we prove Theorem 5.3 on the irreducibility of $I_{n, r}^{*}$, the main result of this paper.
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## 2. Notation and conventions

In many respects, we follow the exposition of $[\mathrm{T}]$, and we stick to the notation introduced therein. The base field $\mathbf{k}$ is assumed to be algebraically closed of characteristic 0 . We identify vector bundles with locally free sheaves. If $\mathcal{F}$ is a sheaf of $\mathcal{O}_{X}$-modules on an algebraic variety or a scheme $X$, then $n \mathcal{F}$ denotes a direct sum of $n$ copies of $\mathcal{F}, H^{i}(\mathcal{F})$ denotes the $i^{\text {th }}$ cohomology group of $\mathcal{F}, h^{i}(\mathcal{F}):=\operatorname{dim} H^{i}(\mathcal{F})$, and $\mathcal{F}^{\vee}$ denotes the dual of $\mathcal{F}$, that is, $\mathcal{F}^{\vee}:=\mathcal{H}$ om $_{\mathcal{O}_{X}}\left(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{O}_{X}\right)$. If $X=\mathbb{P}^{r}$ and $t$ is an integer, then by $\mathcal{F}(t)$ we denote the sheaf $\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{r}}(t)$. $[\mathcal{F}]$ will denote the isomorphism class of a sheaf $\mathcal{F}$. For any morphism of $\mathcal{O}_{X}$-sheaves $f: \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^{\prime}$ and any $\mathbf{k}$-vector space $U$ (respectively, for any homomorphism $f: U \rightarrow U^{\prime}$ of $\mathbf{k}$-vector spaces) we will denote, for short, by the same letter $f$ the induced morphism of sheaves $i d \otimes f: U \otimes \mathcal{F} \rightarrow U \otimes \mathcal{F}^{\prime}$ (respectively, the induced morphism $f \otimes i d: U \otimes \mathcal{F} \rightarrow U^{\prime} \otimes \mathcal{F}$ ).

We fix an integer $n \geq 1$ and denote by $H_{n}$ a fixed $n$-dimensional vector space over $\mathbf{k}$. Throughout the paper, $V$ will be a fixed vector space of dimension 4 over $\mathbf{k}$, and we set $\mathbb{P}^{3}:=P(V)$. We reserve the letters $u$ and $v$ for denoting the two morphisms in the Euler exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \xrightarrow{u} V^{\vee} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \xrightarrow{v} T_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \rightarrow 0$. For any $\mathbf{k}$-vector spaces $U$ and $W$ and any vector $\phi \in \operatorname{Hom}\left(U, W \otimes \wedge^{2} V^{\vee}\right) \subset \operatorname{Hom}\left(U \otimes V, W \otimes V^{\vee}\right)$ understood as a linear map $\phi: U \otimes V \rightarrow W \otimes V^{\vee}$ or, equivalently, as a map ${ }^{\sharp} \phi: U \rightarrow W \otimes \wedge^{2} V^{\vee}$, we will denote by $\widetilde{\phi}$ the composition $U \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \xrightarrow{\sharp \phi} W \otimes \wedge^{2} V^{\vee} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \xrightarrow{\epsilon} W \otimes \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(2)$, where $\epsilon$ is the induced morphism in the exact triple $0 \rightarrow \wedge^{2} \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(2) \xrightarrow{\wedge^{2} v^{\vee}} \wedge^{2} V^{\vee} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \xrightarrow{\epsilon} \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(2) \rightarrow 0$ obtained by taking the second wedge power of the dual Euler exact sequence.

Given an integer $m \geq 1$, we denote by $\mathbf{S}_{m}\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{m+1}\right)$ the vector space $S^{2} H_{m}^{\vee} \otimes \wedge^{2} V^{\vee}$ (resp. $\left.\operatorname{Hom}\left(H_{m}, H_{m+1}^{\vee} \otimes \wedge^{2} V^{\vee}\right)\right)$. Abusing notation, we will denote by the same symbol a $\mathbf{k}$-vector space, say $U$, and the associated affine space $\mathbf{V}\left(U^{\vee}\right)=\operatorname{Spec}\left(S y m^{*} U^{\vee}\right)$.

All the schemes considered in the paper are Noetherian. By a general point of an irreducible (but not necessarily reduced) scheme $\mathcal{X}$ we mean any closed point of some dense open subset of $\mathcal{X}$. An irreducible scheme is called generically reduced if it is reduced at a general point.

## 3. Generalities on symplectic instantons and definition of $M I_{n, r}$

In this section we enumerate some facts about symplectic instantons which are completely parallel to those for rank-2 usual instantons, see [T, Section 3].

For a given symplectic ( $n, r$ )-instanton $E$, the first condition (3) yields $h^{0}(E(-i))=0, i \geq 0$, which, together with the exact triple $\left.0 \rightarrow E(-j-1) \rightarrow E(-j) \rightarrow E(-j)\right|_{\mathbb{P}^{2}} \rightarrow 0$ for $j=0$ and (3), implies that $h^{0}\left(\left.E(-1)\right|_{\mathbb{P}^{2}}\right)=0$, hence also $h^{0}\left(\left.E(-i)\right|_{\mathbb{P}^{2}}\right)=0, i \geq 1$. The last equality for $i=2$, together with (3) and the above triple for $j=2$, gives $h^{1}(E(-3))=0$, hence also $h^{1}(E(-4))=0$. Then, from Serre duality and (4), we deduce:

$$
\begin{equation*}
h^{i}(E)=h^{i}(E(-1))=h^{3-i}(E(-3))=h^{3-i}(E(-4))=0, \quad i \neq 1, \quad h^{i}(E(-2))=0, \quad i \geq 0 \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Riemann-Roch and (3), (5), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
h^{1}(E(-1))=h^{2}(E(-3))=n, h^{1}(E)=h^{2}(E(-4))=2 n-2 r . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

By tensoring the dual Euler sequence by $E$ we also obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
h^{1}\left(E \otimes \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}^{1}\right)=h^{2}\left(E \otimes \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}^{2}\right)=2 n+2 r, \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consider a triple $(E, f, \phi)$ where $E$ is a $(n, r)$-instanton, $f: H_{n} \xlongequal{\simeq} H^{2}(E(-3))$ an isomorphism and $\phi: E \xrightarrow{\simeq} E^{\vee}$ a symplectic structure on $E$. Two triples $(E, f, \phi)$ and $\left(E^{\prime} f^{\prime}, \phi^{\prime}\right)$ are called equivalent if there is an isomorphism $g: E \xrightarrow{\simeq} E^{\prime}$ such that $g_{*} \circ f=\lambda f^{\prime}$ with $\lambda \in\{1,-1\}$ and $\phi=g^{\vee} \circ \phi^{\prime} \circ g$, where $g_{*}: H^{2}(E(-3)) \xrightarrow{\simeq} H^{2}\left(E^{\prime}(-3)\right)$ is the induced isomorphism. We denote by $[E, f, \phi]$ the equivalence class of a triple $(E, f, \phi)$. It follows from this definition that the set $F_{[E]}$ of all equivalence classes $[E, f, \phi]$ with given $[E]$ is a homogeneous space of the group $G L\left(H_{n}\right) /\{ \pm \mathrm{id}\}$.

Each class $[E, f, \phi]$ defines a point

$$
\begin{equation*}
A=A([E, f, \phi]) \in S^{2} H_{n}^{\vee} \otimes \wedge^{2} V^{\vee} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

in the following way. Consider the exact sequences

$$
\begin{gather*}
0 \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}^{1} \xrightarrow{i_{1}} V^{\vee} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \rightarrow 0,  \tag{9}\\
0 \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}^{2} \rightarrow \wedge^{2} V^{\vee} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-2) \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}^{1} \rightarrow 0, \\
0 \rightarrow \wedge^{4} V^{\vee} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-4) \rightarrow \Lambda^{3} V^{\vee} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-3) \xrightarrow{i_{2}} \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}^{2} \rightarrow 0,
\end{gather*}
$$

induced by the Koszul complex of $V^{\vee} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \xrightarrow{e v} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}$. Twisting these sequences by $E$ and taking into account (3), (5)-(7), we obtain the vanishing

$$
\begin{equation*}
h^{0}\left(E \otimes \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}\right)=h^{3}\left(E \otimes \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}^{2}\right)=h^{2}\left(E \otimes \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}\right)=0 \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the diagram with exact rows

where $A^{\prime}:=i_{1} \circ \partial^{-1} \circ i_{2}$. The Euler exact sequence (9) yields the canonical isomorphism $\omega_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \xrightarrow{\simeq} \wedge^{4} V^{\vee} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-4)$, and fixing an isomorphism $\tau: \mathbf{k} \xrightarrow{\simeq} \wedge^{4} V^{\vee}$ we have the isomorphisms $\tilde{\tau}: V \xrightarrow{\simeq} \wedge^{3} V^{\vee}$ and $\hat{\tau}: \omega_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \xrightarrow{\simeq} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-4)$. We define $A$ in (8) as the composition

$$
\begin{equation*}
A: H_{n} \otimes V \stackrel{\tilde{\tau}}{\rightarrow} H_{n} \otimes \wedge^{3} V^{\vee} \stackrel{f}{\rightarrow} H^{2}(E(-3)) \otimes \wedge^{3} V^{\vee} \xrightarrow{A^{\prime}} H^{1}(E(-1)) \otimes V^{\vee} \stackrel{\phi}{\rightrightarrows} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\stackrel{\phi}{\rightrightarrows} H^{1}\left(E^{\vee}(-1)\right) \otimes V^{\vee} \stackrel{S D}{\leftrightarrows} H^{2}\left(E(1) \otimes \omega_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}\right)^{\vee} \otimes V^{\vee} \stackrel{\hat{\sim}}{\leftrightarrows} H^{2}(E(-3))^{\vee} \otimes V^{\vee} \stackrel{f^{\vee}}{\leftrightarrows} H_{n}^{\vee} \otimes V^{\vee},
$$

where $S D$ is the Serre duality isomorphism. One can verify that $A$ is a skew symmetric map which depends only on the class $[E, f, \phi]$, but does not depend on the choice of $\tau$, and that $A \in \wedge^{2}\left(H_{n}^{\vee} \otimes V^{\vee}\right)$ lies in the direct summand $\mathbf{S}_{n}=S^{2} H_{n}^{\vee} \otimes \wedge^{2} V^{\vee}$ of the canonical decomposition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\wedge^{2}\left(H_{n}^{\vee} \otimes V^{\vee}\right)=S^{2} H_{n}^{\vee} \otimes \wedge^{2} V^{\vee} \oplus \wedge^{2} H_{n}^{\vee} \otimes S^{2} V^{\vee} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $\mathbf{S}_{n}$ is the space of hyperwebs of quadrics in $H_{n}$. For this reason we call $A$ the $(n, r)$ instanton hyperweb of quadrics corresponding to the data $[E, f, \phi]$.

Denote $W_{A}:=H_{n} \otimes V / \operatorname{ker} A$. Using the above chain of isomorphisms we can rewrite the diagram (11) as


In view of (7), $\operatorname{dim} W_{A}=2 n+2 r$ and $q_{A}: W_{A} \xrightarrow{\simeq} W_{A}^{\vee}$ is a skew-symmetric isomorphism. An important property of $A=A([E, f, \phi])$ is that the induced morphism of sheaves

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{A}^{\vee}: W_{A}^{\vee} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \xrightarrow{c_{A}^{\vee}} H_{n}^{\vee} \otimes V^{\vee} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \xrightarrow{e v} H_{n}^{\vee} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

is surjective and the composition $H_{n} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \xrightarrow{a_{A}} W_{A} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \xrightarrow{q_{A}} W_{A}^{\vee} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \xrightarrow{a_{A}^{\vee}} H_{n}^{\vee} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1)$ is zero. Applying Beilinson spectral sequence [Bei] to $E(-1)$, we see that $E \simeq \operatorname{ker}\left(a_{A}^{\vee} \circ q_{A}\right) / \operatorname{Im} a_{A}$. Thus $A$ defines a monad

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{M}_{A}: \quad 0 \rightarrow H_{n} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \xrightarrow{a_{A}} W_{A} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \xrightarrow{a_{A}^{\vee} \stackrel{q_{A}}{ }} H_{n}^{\vee} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \rightarrow 0 \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

whose cohomology sheaf

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{2 r}(A):=\operatorname{ker}\left(a_{A}^{\vee} \circ q_{A}\right) / \operatorname{Im} a_{A} . \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

is isomorphic to $E$. Twisting $\mathcal{M}_{A}$ by $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-3)$ and using (17), we obtain the isomorphism $f$ : $H_{n} \xrightarrow{\simeq} H^{2}(E(-3))$. Furthermore, the fact that $q_{A}$ is symplectic implies that there is a canonical isomorphism of $\mathcal{M}_{A}$ with its dual which induces the symplectic isomorphism $\phi: E \xlongequal{\leftrightharpoons} E^{\vee}$. Thus, the data $[E, f, \phi]$ are recovered from $A$. This leads to the following description of the moduli space $I_{n, r}$. Consider the set of $(n, r)$-instanton hyperwebs of quadrics

$$
M I_{n, r}:=\left\{\begin{array}{l|l}
A \in \mathbf{S}_{n} \left\lvert\, \begin{array}{l}
\text { (i) } r k\left(A: H_{n} \otimes V \rightarrow H_{n}^{\vee} \otimes V^{\vee}\right)=2 n+2 r \\
\text { (ii) the morphism } a_{A}^{\vee}: W_{A}^{\vee} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \rightarrow H_{n}^{\vee} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \text { defined } \\
\text { by } A \text { in }(15) \text { is surjective, } \\
\text { (iii) } h^{0}\left(E_{2 r}(A)\right)=0, \text { where } E_{2 r}(A)=\operatorname{ker}\left(a_{A}^{\vee} \circ q_{A}\right) / \operatorname{Im} a_{A} \\
\text { and } q_{A}: W_{A} \xlongequal{\leftrightharpoons} W_{A}^{\vee} \text { is a symplectic isomorphism } \\
\text { associated to } A \text { by (14). }
\end{array}\right. \tag{18}
\end{array}\right\}
$$

It is a locally closed subscheme of the affine space $\mathbf{S}_{n}$.
Theorem 3.1. The natural morphism

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi_{n, r}: M I_{n, r} \rightarrow I_{n, r}, \quad A \mapsto\left[E_{2 r}(A)\right], \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a principal $G L\left(H_{n}\right) /\{ \pm \mathrm{id}\}$-bundle in the étale topology. Hence $I_{n, r}$ is a quotient stack $M I_{n, r} /\left(G L\left(H_{n}\right) /\{ \pm \mathrm{id}\}\right)$, making it an algebraic space.

Proof. See [T, Section 3].
Each fibre $F_{[E]}=\pi_{n}^{-1}([E])$ over an arbitrary point $[E] \in I_{n, r}$ is a principal homogeneous space of the group $G L\left(H_{n}\right) /\{ \pm \mathrm{id}\}$. Hence the irreducibility of $\left(I_{n, r}\right)_{r e d}$ is equivalent to the irreducibility of the scheme $\left(M I_{n, r}\right)_{\text {red }}$.

We can also state:
Theorem 3.2. For each $n \geq 1$, the space $M I_{n, r}$ of ( $n, r$ )-instanton hyperwebs of quadrics is a locally closed subscheme of the vector space $\mathbf{S}_{n}$ given locally at any point $A \in M I_{n, r}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\binom{2 n-2 r}{2}=2 n^{2}-n(4 r+1)+r(2 r+1) \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

equations obtained as the rank condition (i) in (18).
Note that from (20) it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{dim}_{[A]} M I_{n, r} \geq \operatorname{dim} \mathbf{S}_{n}-\left(2 n^{2}-n(4 r+1)+r(2 r+1)\right)=n^{2}+4 n(r+1)-r(2 r+1) \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

at any point $A \in M I_{n, r}$. Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{dim}_{[E]} I_{n, r} \geq 4 n(r+1)-r(2 r+1) \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

at any point $[E] \in I_{n, r}$, since $M I_{n, r} \rightarrow I_{n, r}$ is a principal $G L\left(H_{n}\right) /\{ \pm \mathrm{id}\}$-bundle in the étale topology.

## 4. Explicit construction of symplectic instantons

4.1. Example: symplectic $(n, n)$-instantons. In this subsection we recall some known facts about symplectic $(n, n)$-instantons and their relation to usual rank-2 instantons, see [ T , Sections 5-6]. We first show that each invertible hyperweb of quadrics $A \in \mathbf{S}_{n}$ naturally leads to a construction of a symplectic $(n, n)$-instanton $E_{2 n}(A)$ on $\mathbb{P}^{3}$. Given an integer $n \geq 1$, set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{S}_{n}^{0}:=\left\{A \in \mathbf{S}_{n} \mid A: H_{n} \otimes V \rightarrow H_{n}^{\vee} \otimes V^{\vee} \text { is an invertible map }\right\} \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $\mathbf{S}_{n}^{0}$ is a dense open subset of $\mathbf{S}_{n}$, and it is easy to see that for any $A \in \mathbf{S}_{n}^{0}$ the following conditions are satisfied.
(1) The morphism $\widetilde{A}: H_{n} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \rightarrow H_{n}^{\vee} \otimes \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1)$ induced by $A$ is a subbundle embedding, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{2 n}(A):=\operatorname{coker}(\widetilde{A}) \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a symplectic $(n, n)$-instanton, that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[E_{2 n}(A)\right] \in I_{n, n} \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

(2) For all $i \geq 0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
h^{i}\left(E_{2 n}(A)\right)=h^{i}\left(E_{2 n}(A)(-2)\right)=0 \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

This follows from the diagram


Thus $\mathbf{S}_{n}^{0} \subset M I_{n, n}$. In fact, the following result is true.
Proposition 4.1. $\mathbf{S}_{n}^{0}=M I_{n, n}$. In particular, $M I_{n, n}$ is irreducible of dimension $3 n^{2}+3 n$, and hence $I_{n, n}$ is irreducible of dimension $2 n^{2}+3 n$.

Proof. We have to show that $M I_{n, n} \subset \mathbf{S}_{n}^{0}$. Let $A \in M I_{n, n}$. Since $n=r$, by condition (i) from (18) the rank of the hyperweb of quadrics $A: H_{n} \otimes V \rightarrow H_{n}^{\vee} \otimes V^{\vee}$ is $2 n+2 r=4 n=\operatorname{dim} H_{n}^{\vee} \otimes V^{\vee}$, hence $A$ is invertible. By (23), this means that $A \in \mathbf{S}_{n}^{0}$.

Now we proceed to spell out the relation between symplectic ( $n, n$ )-instantons and usual rank-2 instantons with second Chern class $2 n-1$. This relation is given at the level of spaces of hyperwebs of quadrics $M I_{n, n}$ and $M I_{2 n-1,1}$ interpreted as spaces of monads.

We need some more notation. Let $B \in \mathbf{S}_{n}^{0}$. By definition, $B$ is an invertible anti-self-dual map $H_{n} \otimes V \rightarrow H_{n}^{\vee} \otimes V^{\vee}$. Then the inverse

$$
\begin{equation*}
B^{-1}: H_{n}^{\vee} \otimes V^{\vee} \rightarrow H_{n} \otimes V \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

is also anti-self-dual. Consider the vector space $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{n}=H_{n}^{\vee} \otimes H_{n-1}^{\vee} \otimes \wedge^{2} V^{\vee}$. An element $C \in \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{n}$ can be viewed as a linear map $C: H_{n-1} \otimes V \rightarrow H_{n}^{\vee} \otimes V^{\vee}$, and its transpose $C^{\vee}$ as a map $C^{\vee}: H_{n} \otimes V \rightarrow H_{n-1}^{\vee} \otimes V^{\vee}$. As the composition $C^{\vee} \circ B^{-1} \circ C$ is anti-self-dual, we can consider it as an element of $\wedge^{2}\left(H_{n-1}^{\vee} \otimes V^{\vee}\right) \simeq \mathbf{S}_{n-1} \oplus \wedge^{2} H_{n-1}^{\vee} \otimes S^{2} V^{\vee}$ (cf. (13)). Thus the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
C^{\vee} \circ B^{-1} \circ C \in \mathbf{S}_{n-1} \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

makes sense.
Next, consider the upper horizontal triple in (27) with $A=B$. Twisting it by $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1)$ and passing to global sections we obtain the exact triple

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \rightarrow H_{n} \xrightarrow{\sharp} H_{n}^{\vee} \otimes \wedge^{2} V^{\vee} \xrightarrow{\epsilon(B)} H^{0}\left(E_{2 n}(B)(1)\right) \rightarrow 0 \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

Besides, interpreting $C \in \Sigma_{n}$ as a map ${ }^{\sharp} C: H_{n-1} \rightarrow H_{n}^{\vee} \otimes \wedge^{2} V^{\vee}$, we obtain the composition $H_{n-1} \xrightarrow{\sharp} C H_{n}^{\vee} \otimes \wedge^{2} V^{\vee} \xrightarrow{\epsilon(B)} H^{0}\left(E_{2 n}(B)(1)\right)$ which induces the morphism of sheaves

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{B, C}: H_{n-1} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \rightarrow E_{2 n}(B) \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note also that the maps $B: H_{n} \otimes V \rightarrow H_{n}^{\vee} \otimes V^{\vee}$ and $C: H_{n-1} \otimes V \rightarrow H_{n}^{\vee} \otimes V^{\vee}$ provide a map $\left(H_{n} \oplus H_{n-1}\right) \otimes V \rightarrow H_{n}^{\vee} \otimes V^{\vee}$, which induces the morphism of sheaves

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau_{B, C}:\left(H_{n} \oplus H_{n-1}\right) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \rightarrow H_{n}^{\vee} \otimes V^{\vee} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now set

$$
X_{n}:=\left\{(B, C) \in \mathbf{S}_{n}^{0} \times \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{n} \left\lvert\, \begin{array}{l|l}
\text { (i) the condition (29) is satisfied, }  \tag{33}\\
\text { (ii) } \rho_{B, C} \text { in (31) is a subbundle inclusion, } \\
\text { (iii) } \tau_{B, C} \text { in (32) is a subbundle inclusion. }
\end{array}\right.\right\}
$$

By definition, $X_{n}$ is a locally closed subset of $\mathbf{S}_{n}^{0} \times \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{n}$. Hence it is naturally endowed with a structure of a reduced scheme.

Now for any direct sum decomposition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi: H_{2 n-1} \xrightarrow{\simeq} H_{n} \oplus H_{n-1}, \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

we obtain the corresponding decomposition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{\xi}: \mathbf{S}_{2 n-1} \stackrel{\simeq}{\rightarrow} \mathbf{S}_{n} \oplus \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{n} \oplus \mathbf{S}_{n-1}: A \mapsto\left(A_{1}(\xi), A_{2}(\xi), A_{3}(\xi)\right) . \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, considering the set $M I_{2 n-1,1}$ of $(2 n-1)$-instanton hyperwebs of quadrics as a subset of $\mathbf{S}_{2 n-1}$, we obtain a natural projection

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{n}: M I_{2 n-1,1} \rightarrow \mathbf{S}_{n} \oplus \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{n}: A \mapsto\left(A_{1}(\xi), A_{2}(\xi)\right) \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

The following result is proved in [T, Theorems 1.1, 6.1 and Remark 7.6].
Proposition 4.2. For a general decomposition $\xi$ in (34), there exists a dense open subset $M I_{2 n-1,1}(\xi)$ of $M I_{2 n-1,1}$ such that the projection $f_{n}$ in (36) induces an isomorphism or integral schemes

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{n}: M I_{2 n-1,1}(\xi) \stackrel{\simeq}{\rightrightarrows} X_{n}: A \mapsto\left(A_{1}(\xi), A_{2}(\xi)\right) . \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

The inverse isomorphism is given by the formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{n}^{-1}: X_{n} \xrightarrow{\simeq} M I_{2 n-1,1}(\xi):(B, C) \mapsto \tilde{\xi}^{-1}\left(B, C,-C^{\vee} \circ B^{-1} \circ C\right) . \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

Besides, the projection

$$
\begin{equation*}
p r_{1}: X_{n} \rightarrow \mathbf{S}_{n}^{0}: \quad(B, C) \mapsto B \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

is dominant.
It is not hard to check that the morphism $\rho_{B, C}: H_{n-1} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \rightarrow E_{2 n}(B)$ defined in (31) satisfies the condition ${ }^{t} \rho_{B, C} \circ \rho_{B, C}=0$, where ${ }^{t} \rho_{B, C}$ is the composition

$$
{ }^{t} \rho_{B, C}: E_{2 n}(B) \xrightarrow{\underline{\phi}} E_{2 n}(B)^{\vee} \xrightarrow{\rho_{B, C}^{\vee}} H_{n-1}^{\vee} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1)
$$

and $\phi$ is a symplectic structure on $E_{2 n}(B)$ (cf. [T, formulas (71)-(72)]). In other words, we obtain an anti-self-dual monad

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \rightarrow H_{n-1} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \xrightarrow{\rho_{B, C}} E_{2 n}(B) \xrightarrow[\simeq]{\phi} E_{2 n}(B)^{\vee} \xrightarrow{\rho_{B, C}^{\vee}} H_{n-1}^{\vee} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \rightarrow 0 \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

with cohomology sheaf

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{2}(A)=E_{2}(B, C):=\operatorname{ker}^{t} \rho_{B, C} / \operatorname{im} \rho_{B, C}, \quad A=f_{n}^{-1}(B, C) . \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next, by (19) we have the natural projection

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi_{2 n-1,1}: M I_{2 n-1,1} \rightarrow I_{2 n-1,1}: A \mapsto\left[E_{2}(A)\right] \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have the following interpretation of the isomorphism (38) on the level of vector bundles:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[E_{2}(B, C)\right]=\pi_{2 n-1,1}\left(f_{n}^{-1}(B, C)\right) \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 4.3. Note that, according to the definitions (16)-(18) of $M I_{2 n-1,1}$ and $M I_{n, n}$, for any $A \in M I_{2 n-1,1}$, if $B=A_{1}(\xi)$ is defined by the direct sum decomposition (35), one has two other anti-self-dual monads

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mathcal{M}_{A}: \quad 0 \rightarrow H_{2 n-1} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \xrightarrow{a_{A}} W_{A} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \xrightarrow{a_{A}^{\vee} \circ q_{A}} H_{2 n-1}^{\vee} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \rightarrow 0  \tag{44}\\
\mathcal{M}_{B}: \quad 0 \rightarrow H_{n} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \xrightarrow{a_{B}} W_{B} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \xrightarrow{a_{B}^{\vee} \circ q_{B}} H_{n}^{\vee} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \rightarrow 0 \tag{45}
\end{gather*}
$$

with cohomology sheaves

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{2}(A)=\operatorname{ker}\left(a_{A}^{\vee} \circ q_{A}\right) / \operatorname{im} a_{A}, E_{2 n}(B)=\operatorname{ker}\left(a_{B}^{\vee} \circ q_{B}\right) / \operatorname{im} a_{B} \tag{46}
\end{equation*}
$$

respectively. Moreover, (40) and (41) provide an isomorphism $w: W_{B}=H^{2}\left(E_{2}(B) \otimes \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}\right) \xrightarrow{\simeq}$ $H^{2}\left(E_{2 n}(A) \otimes \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}\right)=W_{A}$. We thus obtain a commutative anti-self-dual diagram relating these monads:

$$
\begin{align*}
& 0 \longrightarrow H_{n} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \xrightarrow{a_{B}} W_{B} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \xrightarrow{q_{B}} W_{B}^{\vee} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \xrightarrow{a_{B}^{\vee}} H_{n}^{\vee} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \longrightarrow 0  \tag{47}\\
& \int_{i_{\xi}} \quad \cong \downarrow \quad \xlongequal{w^{\vee}} \uparrow \cong a_{a^{\vee}} i_{\xi}^{\vee} \uparrow \\
& 0 \longrightarrow H_{2 n-1} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \xrightarrow{a_{A}} W_{A} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \xrightarrow[\cong]{q_{A}} W_{A}^{\vee} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \xrightarrow{a_{A}^{\vee}} H_{2 n-1}^{\vee} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \longrightarrow 0,
\end{align*}
$$

where $i_{\xi}: H_{n} \hookrightarrow H_{2 n-1}$ is the embedding induced by the decomposition (34). In view of (46) and the canonical isomorphism $H_{2 n-1} / i_{\xi}\left(H_{n}\right) \simeq H_{n-1}$, from this diagram we obtain the monad

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{M}_{A, B}: \quad 0 \rightarrow H_{n-1} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \xrightarrow{a_{A, B}} E_{2 n}(B) \xrightarrow[\simeq]{\phi} E_{2 n}(B)^{\vee} \xrightarrow{a_{A, B}^{\vee}} H_{2 n-1}^{\vee} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \rightarrow 0 \tag{48}
\end{equation*}
$$

with cohomology sheaf

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{2}(A)=\operatorname{ker}\left(a_{A, B}^{\vee} \circ \phi\right) / \operatorname{im} a_{A} . \tag{49}
\end{equation*}
$$

We call (48) the quotient monad of the monads (44) and (45).
Remark 4.4. Note that, by Proposition 4.2, the set of all diagrams (47) is parametrized by the irreducible variety $I_{2 n-1,1}(\xi)$.
4.2. Example: a special family of symplectic $(n, r)$-instantons. Now assume $n \geq 2$ and, for any integer $r, 2 \leq r \leq n-1$, consider an inclusion

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau: H_{2 n-r} \hookrightarrow H_{2 n-1} \tag{50}
\end{equation*}
$$

such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau\left(H_{2 n-r}\right) \supset i_{\xi}\left(H_{n}\right) \tag{51}
\end{equation*}
$$

We obtain a hyperweb of quadrics

$$
A_{\tau} \in S^{2} H_{2 n-r}^{\vee} \otimes \wedge^{2} V^{\vee}
$$

as the image of $A$ under the map $S^{2} H_{2 n-1}^{\vee} \otimes \wedge^{2} V^{\vee} \rightarrow S^{2} H_{2 n-r}^{\vee} \otimes \wedge^{2} V^{\vee}$ induced by $\tau$. The corresponding monad

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{M}_{\tau}: \quad 0 \rightarrow H_{2 n-r} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \xrightarrow{a_{\tau}} W_{A} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \xrightarrow{a_{\tau}^{\vee} \circ q_{A}} H_{2 n-r}^{\vee} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \rightarrow 0 \tag{52}
\end{equation*}
$$

has a rank- $2 r$ cohomology bundle

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{2 r}\left(A_{\tau}\right)=\operatorname{ker}\left(a_{\tau}^{\vee} \circ q_{A}\right) / \operatorname{im} a_{\tau} \tag{53}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a_{\tau}:=a_{A} \circ \tau$. By construction, $E_{2 r}\left(A_{\tau}\right)$ inherits a natural symplectic structure

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{r}: E_{2 r}\left(A_{\tau}\right) \stackrel{\simeq}{\rightarrow} E_{2 r}\left(A_{\tau}\right)^{\vee} . \tag{54}
\end{equation*}
$$

Besides, in view of (51), the monad (52) can be inserted as a midle row into the diagram (47), extending it to a three-row commutative anti-self-dual diagram. Arguing as in Remark 4.3 we obtain, in addition to the quotient monad (48), two more quotient monads:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mathcal{M}_{\tau}^{\prime}: 0 \rightarrow H_{n-r} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \xrightarrow{a_{\tau}^{\prime}} E_{2 n}(B) \xrightarrow{\stackrel{\phi}{\simeq}} E_{2 n}(B)^{\vee} \xrightarrow{a_{\tau}^{\prime \vee}} H_{n-r}^{\vee} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \rightarrow 0,  \tag{55}\\
E_{2 r}\left(A_{\tau}\right)=\operatorname{ker}\left(a_{\tau}^{\prime \vee} \circ \phi\right) / \operatorname{im} a_{\tau}^{\prime}, \\
\mathcal{M}_{\tau}^{\prime \prime}: 0 \rightarrow H_{r-1} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \xrightarrow{a_{\tau}^{\prime \prime}} E_{2 r}(B) \xrightarrow{\phi_{\tau}} E_{2 r}(B)^{\vee} \stackrel{a^{\prime \prime \vee} \tau}{\longrightarrow} H_{r-1}^{\vee} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \rightarrow 0,  \tag{56}\\
E_{2}(A)=\operatorname{ker}\left(a_{\tau}^{\prime \vee} \circ \phi_{\tau}\right) / \operatorname{im} a_{A} .
\end{gather*}
$$

From (26) and (55) we easily deduce:

$$
\begin{equation*}
h^{0}\left(E_{2 r}\left(A_{\tau}\right)\right)=h^{i}\left(E_{2 r}\left(A_{\tau}\right)(-2)\right)=0, \quad i \geq 0, \quad c_{2}\left(E_{2 r}\left(A_{\tau}\right)\right)=2 n-r \tag{57}
\end{equation*}
$$

By definition, this together with (52)-(54) means that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[E_{2 r}\left(A_{\tau}\right)\right] \in I_{2 n-r, r} \tag{58}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 4.5. Observe that, in view of (50), the maps $\tau$ belong to the set

$$
N_{n, r}:=\left\{\tau \in \operatorname{Hom}\left(H_{2 n-r}, H_{2 n-1}\right) \mid \tau \text { is injective and } \operatorname{im} \tau \supset \operatorname{im} i_{\xi}\right\} .
$$

When $A \in M I_{2 n-1,1}(\xi)$ is fixed, $N_{n, r}$ parametrizes some family of hyperwebs $A_{\tau}$ from $M I_{2 n-r, r}$. Since $N_{n, r}$ is a principal $G L\left(H_{2 n-r}\right)$-bundle over an open subset of the Grassmannian $\operatorname{Gr}(n-$ $r, n-1$ ), it it is irreducible. Thus, by Remark 4.4, the family of the three-row extensions of the diagrams (47) can be parametrized by the irreducible variety $M I_{2 n-1,1}(\xi) \times N_{n, r}$. Hence the family $D_{n, r}$ of isomorphism classes of symplectic rank- $2 r$ bundles obtained from these diagrams by formula (53) is an irreducible locally closed subset of $I_{2 n-r, r}$.

Note that it is a priori not clear whether the closure of $D_{n, r}$ in $I_{2 n-r, r}$ is an irreducible component of $I_{2 n-r, r}$.

Definition 4.6. Let $2 \leq r \leq n-1$. We say that $A \in M I_{2 n-r, r}$ satisfies property $\left(^{*}\right)$ if there exists a monomorphism $i: H_{n} \hookrightarrow H_{2 n-r}$ such that the image $B$ of $A$ under the surjection $\mathbf{S}_{2 n-r} \rightarrow \mathbf{S}_{n}$ induced by $i$ is invertible as a homomorphism $B: H_{n} \otimes V \rightarrow H_{n}^{\vee} \otimes V^{\vee}$.

The property $\left({ }^{*}\right)$ is clearly an open condition on $A$. Moreover, since $\pi_{2 n-r, r}: M I_{2 n-r, r} \rightarrow$ $I_{2 n-r, r}$ is a principal bundle (Theorem 3.1), if an element $A \in \pi_{2 n-r, r}^{-1}\left(\left[E_{2 r}\right]\right)$ satisfies $\left(^{*}\right)$, then any other point $A^{\prime} \in \pi_{2 n-r, r}^{-1}\left(\left[E_{2 r}\right]\right)$ satisfies $\left({ }^{*}\right)$. We thus say that $\left[E_{2 r}\right] \in I_{2 n-r, r}$ satisfies property $\left(^{*}\right)$ if some (hence any) $A \in \pi_{2 n-r, r}^{-1}\left(\left[E_{2 r}\right]\right)$ satisfies property (*). It is obviously an open condition on $\left[E_{2 r}\right] \in I_{2 n-r, r}$.

Remark 4.7. By Proposition 4.2 and using (51), we see that any $\left[E_{2 r}\right] \in D_{n, r}$, as well as any $A \in f_{n}^{-1}\left(D_{n, r}\right)$ satisfies property $\left(^{*}\right)$. We define

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{2 n-r, r}^{*}:=I_{(1)} \cup \ldots \cup I_{(k)} \tag{59}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $I_{(1)}, \ldots, I_{(k)}$ are all the irreducible components of $I_{2 n-r, r}$ whose general points satisfy property $\left(^{*}\right)$. By definition, $D_{n, r} \subset I_{2 n-r, r}^{*}$, hence $I_{2 n-r, r}^{*}$ is nonempty. We also set $M I_{2 n-r, r}^{*}=$ $\pi_{2 n-r, r}^{-1}\left(I_{2 n-r, r}^{*}\right)$, so that the map $\pi_{2 n-r, r}: M I_{2 n-r, r}^{*} \rightarrow I_{2 n-r, r}^{*}$ is a principal bundle with structure group $G L\left(H_{2 n-r}\right) /\{ \pm 1\}$.

## 5. Irreducibility of $I_{2 n-r, r}^{*}$

5.1. A dense open subset $X_{n, r}$ of $M I_{2 n-r, r}^{*}$. Reduction of the irreducibility of $I_{n, r}^{*}$ to that of $X_{n, r}$. In this section we prove the irreducibility of the component $I_{2 n-r, r}^{*}$ of $I_{2 n-r, r}$ defined in (59), see Theorem 5.3. The explicit construction of symplectic instantons in Section 4 gives us a hint to the proof. We proceed along the lines of Subsection 4.1.
Take any $B \in \mathbf{S}_{n}^{0}$ and consider it as an invertible anti-self-dual linear map $H_{n} \otimes V \rightarrow H_{n}^{\vee} \otimes V^{\vee}$. Then $B^{-1}$ is also anti-self-dual. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{n, r}:=H_{n-r}^{\vee} \otimes H_{n}^{\vee} \otimes \wedge^{2} V^{\vee} \tag{60}
\end{equation*}
$$

An element $C \in \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{n}$ can be understood as a map $C: H_{n-r} \otimes V \rightarrow H_{n}^{\vee} \otimes V^{\vee}$, and its transpose $C^{\vee}$ is a map $H_{n} \otimes V \rightarrow H_{n-r}^{\vee} \otimes V^{\vee}$. The composition $C^{\vee} \circ B^{-1} \circ C$ is anti-self-dual, i.e., it is an element of $\wedge^{2}\left(H_{n-r}^{\vee} \otimes V^{\vee}\right) \simeq \mathbf{S}_{n-r} \oplus \wedge^{2} H_{n-r}^{\vee} \otimes S^{2} V^{\vee}$ (cf. (13)). We will later impose the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
C^{\vee} \circ B^{-1} \circ C \in \mathbf{S}_{n-r} . \tag{61}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next, as in (30), we have a well defined epimorphism $\epsilon(B): H_{n}^{\vee} \otimes \wedge^{2} V^{\vee} \rightarrow H^{0}\left(E_{2 n}(B)(1)\right)$. Besides, interpreting the above element $C \in \Sigma_{n, r}$ as a map ${ }^{\sharp} C: H_{n-r} \rightarrow H_{n}^{\vee} \otimes \wedge^{2} V^{\vee}$, we obtain the composition $H_{n-r} \xrightarrow{\sharp C} H_{n}^{\vee} \otimes \wedge^{2} V^{\vee} \xrightarrow{\epsilon(B)} H^{0}\left(E_{2 n}(B)(1)\right)$ which induces the morphism of sheaves

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{B, C}: H_{n-r} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \rightarrow E_{2 n}(B) . \tag{62}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note also that $B: H_{n} \otimes V \rightarrow H_{n}^{\vee} \otimes V^{\vee}$ and $C: H_{n-r} \otimes V \rightarrow H_{n}^{\vee} \otimes V^{\vee}$ define a map $\left(H_{n} \oplus H_{n-r}\right) \otimes V \rightarrow H_{n}^{\vee} \otimes V^{\vee}$ which induces the morphism of sheaves

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau_{B, C}:\left(H_{n} \oplus H_{n-r}\right) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \rightarrow H_{n}^{\vee} \otimes V^{\vee} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} . \tag{63}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now set

$$
X_{n, r}:=\left\{(B, C) \in \mathbf{S}_{n}^{0} \times \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{n, r} \left\lvert\, \begin{array}{l|l}
\text { (i) the condition (61) is satisfied, }  \tag{64}\\
\text { (ii) } \rho_{B, C} \text { in (62) is a subbundle inclusion, } \\
\text { (iii) } \tau_{B, C} \text { in (63) is a subbundle inclusion. }
\end{array}\right.\right\}
$$

By definition, $X_{n, r}$ is a locally closed subset of $\mathbf{S}_{n}^{0} \times \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{n, r}$. Hence it has a natural structure of reduced scheme.

Now for an arbitrary direct sum decomposition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi: H_{2 n-r} \xrightarrow{\simeq} H_{n} \oplus H_{n-r} \tag{65}
\end{equation*}
$$

we obtain the corresponding decomposition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{\xi}: \mathbf{S}_{2 n-r} \stackrel{\sim}{\leftrightharpoons} \mathbf{S}_{n} \oplus \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{n, r} \oplus \mathbf{S}_{n-r}: A \mapsto\left(A_{1}(\xi), A_{2}(\xi), A_{3}(\xi)\right) . \tag{66}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, considering the set $M I_{2 n-r, r}$ of symplectic ( $2 n-r, r$ )-instanton hyperwebs of quadrics as a subset of $\mathbf{S}_{2 n-r}$, we obtain a natural projection

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{n, r}: M I_{2 n-r, r} \rightarrow \mathbf{S}_{n} \oplus \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{n, r}: A \mapsto\left(A_{1}(\xi), A_{2}(\xi)\right) . \tag{67}
\end{equation*}
$$

We now prove the following result parallel to Proposition 4.2.

Theorem 5.1. Let $n \geq 3$ and $2 \leq r \leq n-1$.
(i) For a general decomposition $\xi$ in (65) there is an open dense subset $M I_{2 n-r, r}^{*}(\xi)$ of $M I_{2 n-r, r}^{*}$ and an isomorphism of reduced schemes

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{n, r}: M I_{2 n-r, r}^{*}(\xi) \stackrel{( }{\leftrightharpoons} X_{n, r}: A \mapsto\left(A_{1}(\xi), A_{2}(\xi)\right), \tag{68}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A_{1}(\xi)$ and $A_{2}(\xi)$ are defined by (66).
(ii) The inverse isomorphism is given by the formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{n, r}^{-1}: X_{n, r} \stackrel{\simeq}{\rightrightarrows} M I_{2 n-r, r}^{*}(\xi):(B, C) \mapsto \widetilde{\xi}^{-1}\left(B, C,-C^{\vee} \circ B^{-1} \circ C\right), \tag{69}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\widetilde{\xi}$ is defined by (66).
Proof. Set $M I_{2 n-r, r}^{*}(\xi):=\left\{A \in M I_{2 n-r, r}^{*} \mid A\right.$ satisfies property $(*)$ for the monomorphism $i: H_{n} \hookrightarrow H_{2 n-r}$ defined by $\left.\xi\right\}$. It follows from Definition 4.6and Remark 4.7 that, for a general decomposition $\xi$ in (65), $M I_{2 n-r, r}^{*}(\xi)$ is a dense open subset of $M I_{2 n-r, r}^{*}$. Then, for this choice of $\xi$, the proof of this Theorem essentially mimics the proof of [T, Proposition 6.1] in which we make the substitution $m+1 \mapsto n, m \mapsto n-r$ and change the notation accordingly.

The proof of the following theorem will be given in Subsection 5.2.
Theorem 5.2. $X_{n, r}$ is irreducible of dimension $(2 n-r)^{2}+4(2 n-r)(r+1)-r(2 r+1)$.
From Theorems 5.1 and 5.2 it follows that $M I_{2 n-r, r}^{*}$ is irreducible of dimension $(2 n-r)^{2}+$ $4(2 n-r)(r+1)-r(2 r+1)$ for any $n \leq 3$ and $2 \leq r \leq n-1$. Hence $I_{2 n-r, r}^{*}$ is irreducible of dimension $4(2 n-r)(r+1)-r(2 r+1)$ for these values of $n$ and $r$. Note that the irreducibility of $I_{2 n-r, r}^{*}$ is also true when $r=n$, and in this case $I_{n, n}^{*}$ coincides with $I_{n, n}$. Substituting $2 n-1 \mapsto n$, we obtain the following main result of the paper.

Theorem 5.3. For any integer $r \geq 2$ and for any integer $n \geq r$ such that $n \equiv r(\bmod 2)$, $I_{n, r}^{*}$ is an irreducible component of $I_{n, r}$ of dimension $4 n(r+1)-r(2 r+1)$.
5.2. Proof of the irreducibility of $X_{n, r}$. In this subsection we give the proof of Theorem 5.2. Define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{X}_{n, r}:=\left\{(D, C) \in\left(\mathbf{S}_{n}^{\vee}\right)^{0} \times \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{n, r} \mid\left(C^{\vee} \circ D \circ C: H_{n-r} \otimes V \rightarrow H_{n-r}^{\vee} \otimes V^{\vee}\right) \in \mathbf{S}_{n-r}\right\} \tag{70}
\end{equation*}
$$

a closed subscheme of $\left(\mathbf{S}_{m}^{\vee}\right)^{0} \times \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{n, r}$ defined by the equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
C^{\vee} \circ D \circ C \in \mathbf{S}_{n-r} . \tag{71}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the conditions (ii) and (iii) in the definition (33) of $X_{n, r}$ are open and $X_{n, r}$ is nonempty (see Theorem 5.1), the isomorphism

$$
\mathbf{S}_{n}^{0} \xrightarrow{\simeq}\left(\mathbf{S}_{n}^{\vee}\right)^{0}: B \mapsto B^{-1}
$$

implies that $X_{n, r}$ is a nonempty open subset of $\left(\widetilde{X}_{n, r}\right)_{\text {red }}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varnothing \neq X_{n, r} \xrightarrow{\text { open }}\left(\widetilde{X}_{n, r}\right)_{r e d} . \tag{72}
\end{equation*}
$$

Fix a direct sum decomposition

$$
H_{n} \stackrel{\simeq}{\rightrightarrows} H_{n-r} \oplus H_{r} .
$$

Then any linear map

$$
\begin{equation*}
C \in \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{n, r}=\operatorname{Hom}\left(H_{n-r}, H_{n}^{\vee} \otimes \wedge^{2} V^{\vee}\right), \quad C: H_{n-r} \otimes V \rightarrow H_{n}^{\vee} \otimes V^{\vee} \tag{73}
\end{equation*}
$$

can be represented as a map

$$
\begin{equation*}
C: H_{n-r} \otimes V \rightarrow H_{n-r}^{\vee} \otimes V^{\vee} \oplus H_{r}^{\vee} \otimes V^{\vee} \tag{74}
\end{equation*}
$$

or else as a block matrix

$$
\begin{equation*}
C=\binom{\phi}{\psi} \tag{75}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi \in \operatorname{Hom}\left(H_{n-r}, H_{n-r}^{\vee}\right) \otimes \wedge^{2} V^{\vee}=\mathbf{\Phi}_{n-r}, \quad \psi \in \mathbf{\Psi}_{n, r}:=\operatorname{Hom}\left(H_{n-r}, H_{r}^{\vee}\right) \otimes \wedge^{2} V^{\vee} \tag{76}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly, any $D \in\left(\mathbf{S}_{n}^{\vee}\right)^{0} \subset \mathbf{S}_{n}^{\vee}=S^{2} H_{n} \otimes \wedge^{2} V \subset \operatorname{Hom}\left(H_{n}^{\vee} \otimes V^{\vee}, H_{n} \otimes V\right)$ can be represented in the form

$$
D=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
D_{1} & \lambda  \tag{77}\\
-\lambda^{\vee} & \mu
\end{array}\right)
$$

where

$$
\begin{gather*}
D_{1} \in \mathbf{S}_{n-r}^{\vee} \subset \operatorname{Hom}\left(H_{n-r}^{\vee} \otimes V^{\vee}, H_{n-r} \otimes V\right),  \tag{78}\\
\lambda \in \mathbf{L}_{n, r}:=\operatorname{Hom}\left(H_{r}^{\vee}, H_{n-r}\right) \otimes \wedge^{2} V, \quad \mu \in \mathbf{M}_{r}:=S^{2} H_{r} \otimes \wedge^{2} V .
\end{gather*}
$$

By (75) and (77) the composition

$$
C^{\vee} \circ D \circ C: H_{n-r} \otimes V \rightarrow H_{n-r}^{\vee} \otimes V^{\vee}\left(C^{\vee} \circ D \circ C \in \wedge^{2}\left(H_{n-r}^{\vee} \otimes V^{\vee}\right)\right)
$$

can be written in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
C^{\vee} \circ D \circ C=\phi^{\vee} \circ D_{1} \circ \phi+\phi^{\vee} \circ \lambda \circ \psi-\psi^{\vee} \circ \lambda^{\vee} \circ \phi+\psi^{\vee} \circ \mu \circ \psi \tag{79}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (75)-(78) we have

$$
\mathbf{S}_{n}^{\vee} \times \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{n, r}=\mathbf{S}_{n-r}^{\vee} \times \boldsymbol{\Phi}_{n-r} \times \mathbf{\Psi}_{n, r} \times \mathbf{L}_{n, r} \times \mathbf{M}_{r}
$$

and there are well defined morphisms

$$
\tilde{p}: \widetilde{X}_{n, r} \rightarrow \mathbf{L}_{n, r} \times \mathbf{M}_{r}:\left(D_{1}, \phi, \psi, \lambda, \mu\right) \mapsto(\lambda, \mu)
$$

and

$$
p:=\tilde{p} \mid \bar{X}_{n, r}: \bar{X}_{n, r} \rightarrow \mathbf{L}_{n, r} \oplus \mathbf{M}_{r}
$$

where $\bar{X}_{n, r}$ is the closure of $X_{n, r}$ in $\left(\mathbf{S}_{n}^{\vee}\right)^{0} \times \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{n, r}$. We now invoke the following result from $[\mathrm{T}]$ :
Proposition 5.4. Let $n \geq 2$. Then for any $D \in\left(\mathbf{S}_{n}^{\vee}\right)^{0}$ and for a general choice of the decomposition $H_{n} \xrightarrow{\sim} H_{n-r} \oplus H_{r}$, the block $D_{1}$ of $D$ in (77) is nondegenerate.

Proof. See [T, Proposition 7.3]. By repeatedly applying this proposition $r$ times, we can find a decomposition $H_{n} \xrightarrow{\sim} H_{n-r} \oplus H_{r}$ such that $D_{1}: H_{n-r}^{\vee} \otimes V^{\vee} \rightarrow H_{n-r} \otimes V$ in (77) is nondegenerate, i.e., $D_{1} \in\left(\mathbf{S}_{n-r}^{\vee}\right)^{0}$.

Let $\mathcal{X}$ be any irreducible component of $X_{n, r}$ and let $\overline{\mathcal{X}}$ be its closure in $\bar{X}_{n, r}$. Fix a point $z=\left(D_{1}, \phi, \psi, \lambda, \mu\right) \in \mathcal{X}$ not lying in the components of $X_{n, r}$ different from $\mathcal{X}$. Consider the morphism

$$
\begin{equation*}
f: \mathbb{A}^{1} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{X}}: t \mapsto\left(D_{1}, t^{2} \phi, t \psi, t \lambda, t^{2} \mu\right), \quad f(1)=z \tag{80}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is well defined by (79). By definition, the point $f(0)=\left(D_{1}, 0,0,0,0\right)$ lies in the fibre $p^{-1}(0,0)$. Hence, $p^{-1}(0,0) \cap \overline{\mathcal{X}} \neq \varnothing$. In other words,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho^{-1}(0,0) \neq \varnothing, \quad \text { where } \quad \rho:=p \mid \overline{\mathcal{X}} \tag{81}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, it follows from (79) and the definition of $\widetilde{X}_{n, r}$ that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{p}^{-1}(0,0)=\left\{\left(D_{1}, \phi, \psi\right) \in\left(\mathbf{S}_{n-r}^{\vee}\right)^{0} \times \boldsymbol{\Phi}_{n-r} \times \boldsymbol{\Psi}_{n, r} \mid \phi^{\vee} \circ D_{1} \circ \phi \in \mathbf{S}_{n-r}\right\} . \tag{82}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consider the set

$$
Z_{n-r}=\left\{(D, \phi) \in\left(\mathbf{S}_{n-r}^{\vee}\right)^{0} \times \mathbf{\Phi}_{n-r} \mid \phi^{\vee} \circ D \circ \phi \in \mathbf{S}_{n-r}\right\} .
$$

It carries a natural scheme structure, where it is a closed subscheme of $\left(\mathbf{S}_{n-r}^{\vee}\right)^{0} \times \boldsymbol{\Phi}_{n-r}$. Comparing the definition of $Z_{n-r}$ with (82) we see that there are scheme-theoretic inclusions of schemes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho^{-1}(0,0) \subset p^{-1}(0,0) \subset \tilde{p}^{-1}(0,0)=Z_{n-r} \times \mathbf{\Psi}_{n, r} . \tag{83}
\end{equation*}
$$

By [T, Theorem 7.2], $Z_{n-r}$ is an integral scheme of dimension $4(n-r)(n-r+2)$. This together with (83) implies that
(84) $\operatorname{dim} \rho^{-1}(0,0) \leq \operatorname{dim} p^{-1}(0,0) \leq \operatorname{dim} Z_{n-r}+\operatorname{dim} \boldsymbol{\Psi}_{n, r}=4(n-r)(n-r+2)+6 r(n-r)=$

$$
=(n-r)(4 n+2 r+8) .
$$

Hence in view of (81)
(85) $\operatorname{dim} \overline{\mathcal{X}} \leq \operatorname{dim} \rho^{-1}(0,0)+\operatorname{dim} \mathbf{L}_{n, r}+\operatorname{dim} \mathbf{M}_{r} \leq(n-r)(4 n+2 r+8)+6 r(n-r)+3 r(r+1)=$

$$
=(2 n-r)^{2}+4(2 n-r)(r+1)-r(2 r+1) .
$$

On the other hand, formula (21), with $2 n-r$ substituted for $n$, and Theorem 5.1(ii) show that, for any point $x \in \mathcal{X}$ such that $A:=f_{n, r}^{-1}(x) \in M I_{2 n-r, r}^{0}(\xi)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
(2 n-r)^{2}+4(2 n-r)(r+1)-r(2 r+1) \leq \operatorname{dim}_{A} M I_{2 n-r, r}^{0}(\xi)=\operatorname{dim} \overline{\mathcal{X}} \tag{86}
\end{equation*}
$$

Comparing (85) with (86), we see that all the inequalities in (84)-(86) are equalities. In particular,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{dim} \rho^{-1}(0,0)=\operatorname{dim}\left(Z_{n-r} \times \mathbf{\Psi}_{n, r}\right)=\operatorname{dim} \overline{\mathcal{X}}-\operatorname{dim}\left(\mathbf{L}_{n, r} \times \mathbf{M}_{r}\right) \tag{87}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since by Theorem [T, Theorem 7.2] the scheme $Z_{n-r}$ is integral and so $Z_{n-r} \times \mathbf{\Psi}_{n, r}$ is integral as well, (83) and (87) yield the equalities of integral schemes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho^{-1}(0,0)=p^{-1}(0,0)=\tilde{p}^{-1}(0,0)=Z_{n-r} \times \boldsymbol{\Psi}_{n, r} \tag{88}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we invoke one auxiliary result from [T].
Lemma 5.5. Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a morphism of reduced schemes, where $Y$ is a smooth integral scheme. Assume that there exists a closed point $y \in Y$ such that for any irreducible component $X^{\prime}$ of $X$ the following conditions are satisfied:
(a) $\operatorname{dim} f^{-1}(y)=\operatorname{dim} X^{\prime}-\operatorname{dim} Y$,
(b) the scheme-theoretic inclusion of fibres $\left(\left.f\right|_{X^{\prime}}\right)^{-1}(y) \subset f^{-1}(y)$ is an isomorphism of integral schemes.
Then
(i) there exists an open subset $U$ of $Y$ containing the point $y$ such that the morphism $\left.f\right|_{f^{-1}(U)}$ : $f^{-1}(U) \rightarrow U$ is flat, and
(ii) $X$ is integral.

Proof. See [T, Lemma 7.4].
Applying assertions (i)-(ii) of this lemma to $X=X_{n, r}, X^{\prime}=\mathcal{X}, Y=\mathbf{L}_{n, r} \times \mathbf{M}_{r}, y=$ $(0,0), f=p$, and using (87) and (88), we obtain that $X_{n, r}$ is integral of dimension $(2 n-r)^{2}+$ $4(2 n-r)(r+1)-r(2 r+1)$. Theorem 5.2 is proved.
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