

Diphotons from diaxions

Luis Aparicio,^a Aleksandr Azatov,^a Edward Hardy^a and Andrea Romanino^{a,b}

^a*Abdus Salam International Centre for Theoretical Physics,
Strada Costiera 11, 34151 Trieste, Italy*

^b*SISSA/ISAS and INFN,
Via Bonomea 265, 34136 Trieste, Italy*

E-mail: laparici@ictp.it, aazatov@ictp.it, ehardy@ictp.it

ABSTRACT: We study models in which the 750 GeV diphoton excess is due to a scalar decaying to two pseudo-Nambu-Goldstone bosons that subsequently decay into two pairs of highly boosted photons, misidentified as individual photons. Performing a model independent analysis we find that, with axion mass around 200 MeV, this class of theories can naturally explain the observed signal with a large width, without violating monojet constraints. At the same time the requirement of a prompt axion decay can be satisfied only with a relatively large axion-photon coupling, leading to many new charged particles at the TeV scale. However, the required multiplicities of such fields is still moderately reduced relative to models with direct diphoton production.

KEYWORDS: Beyond Standard Model, Technicolor and Composite Models

ARXIV EPRINT: [1602.00949](https://arxiv.org/abs/1602.00949)

Contents

1	Introduction	1
2	Model independent analysis	3
2.1	Production	3
2.2	Axion faking a photon	4
2.3	Other constraints	5
3	Constructing explicit models	6
3.1	Small f models	6
3.2	Large f models	9
3.3	Invisible width from a larger coset	10
4	Comparison with the direct diphoton production	12
5	Conclusion	13
A	Loop functions	14
B	Mistaking axions for photons	14

1 Introduction

Recently both the ATLAS and CMS collaborations observed an excess in diphoton events with an invariant mass in the region of 750 GeV [1, 2], and the particularly interesting feature that it appears to have a large width $\Gamma \sim 40$ GeV.

The excess has attracted an enormous amount of attention from the theoretical community [3–179]. A signal at 13 TeV can be compatible with the previous 8 TeV run if the production of a new scalar resonance is assumed to occur through gluon fusion. This mechanism has a ~ 4.5 difference in parton luminosities for 8 and 13 TeV collisions, allowing both measurements to be reconciled with a tension of $\lesssim 2\sigma$. The simplest way to parameterise such a resonance is

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{c_g \alpha_s}{12\pi} \frac{s}{\Lambda} G_{\mu\nu} G^{\mu\nu} + \frac{2\alpha}{9\pi} c_F \frac{s}{\Lambda} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu}, \quad (1.1)$$

where the couplings are normalised so that $c_g = c_F = 1$ corresponds to the one loop effects of a heavy analogue of the top quark obtaining mass from symmetry breaking at a scale Λ . Fitting the signal we obtain the well known constraints, on c_F and c_g in our case, shown in figure 1. New colour charged states are expected to appear close to Λ , and LHC constraints require that these have a mass \gtrsim TeV, so the number of observed events can be fit with $O(\text{few})$ multiplicities of new coloured and charged fields.




Figure 1. *Left:* 68, 95, 99% contours on the fit of the parameterisation eq. (1.1) to the ATLAS excess without imposing the width constraint. *Right:* 68, 95, 99% contours on the same fit when the total width is fixed to be 40 GeV by the addition of invisible decay channels. Blue lines indicate the corresponding $\sigma(pp \rightarrow \text{invisible})$ cross sections at 8 TeV in pb. Since monojet searches constrain the invisible cross section $\lesssim 0.5$ pb, it is difficult to obtain a large width in this model.

Furthermore, the current measurement hints that the signal might be better described by a resonance with width ~ 40 GeV. Even though the significance of the currently observed width is very small, for the purpose of our present work we will assume that this feature is required. In the effective model of eq. (1.1) the partial decay width into photons and the gluons is given by

$$\Gamma(s \rightarrow \gamma\gamma, gg) \sim 1.6 \times 10^{-3} \left(\frac{c_g \text{TeV}}{\Lambda} \right)^2 + 10^{-5} \left(\frac{c_F \text{TeV}}{\Lambda} \right)^2 \text{ GeV}, \quad (1.2)$$

which means $c_g(\text{TeV}/\Lambda) \gtrsim 160$ or $c_f(\text{TeV}/\Lambda) \gtrsim 2000$ is needed to have $\Gamma(s \rightarrow \gamma\gamma, gg) \sim 40$ GeV. However such large values of the couplings are incompatible with current observations: due to either the additional photon production [182–185] or constraints from dijet searches [183]. Even allowing invisible decay channels, obtaining $\Gamma \sim 40$ GeV is problematic: 8 TeV monojet searches [186] constrain the production cross section to be less than ~ 0.5 pb (this bound is obtained by recasting at the parton level the current experimental limits from [187, 188]). As a result we are forced into the region with large values of $c_F(\text{TeV}/\Lambda) \gtrsim 80$ meaning very large multiplicities of the new charged particles at the TeV scale.

This problem is ameliorated if one instead considers the following process that could mimic a diphoton signal: $gg \rightarrow s \rightarrow aa \rightarrow 4\gamma$, where the field a is a very light scalar so that two photons from its decays are highly collimated and can be misidentified as a single photon.¹ In this construction the interaction between s and a can occur at tree, rather

¹The possibility that a pair of photons from a highly boosted particle could be identified as a single photon has previously been studied in [189–195], and considered in the context of the 750 GeV signal [196–200].

than loop, level so that effectively the model has large values of “ c_F ”. It is natural for the field a to be very light if it is a pseudo Nambu Goldstone boson (PNGB) of a global symmetry, and the PNGB structure does not forbid interactions of the form $aF\tilde{F}$.

In the rest of this paper we study this topology in detail, under the assumption that a is the PNGB (i.e. the axion) associated with the breaking of a global U(1) Peccei-Quinn (PQ) symmetry. Unlike the simple direct production model this allows a large width without problems from monojet or dijet limits. If the scale of PQ symmetry breaking is low, $\lesssim 400$ GeV, the entire signal can be generated with a relatively modest number of additional fields charged under the Standard Model (SM) gauge group, but the theory is very close to strong coupling. At larger f models can remain perturbative up to higher scales $\sim 10^7$ GeV, with the width coming from invisible decays. One natural possibility we consider is that the invisible decays are to other PNGBs in a more complex hidden sector.

The structure of the paper is the following: we first present a model independent study of the mechanism in section 2; in section 3 we construct explicit models fitting the diphoton excess; in section 4 we compare the theories obtained to models of direct production; and in section 5 we discuss some additional possibilities and conclude.

2 Model independent analysis

A beneficial feature of the decay topology of interest is that many of the phenomenological features can be studied in an effective field theory (EFT) approach below the scale of the global symmetry breaking. Assuming that the axion arises from the breaking of a U(1) PQ symmetry by a field ϕ we can expand it as

$$\phi = \frac{f + s}{\sqrt{2}} e^{i\frac{a}{f}}, \quad |D_\mu \phi|^2 \rightarrow \frac{s(\partial_\mu a)^2}{f}. \tag{2.1}$$

Then the generic interactions important for the diphoton resonance are

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{c_g \alpha_s}{12\pi} \frac{s}{f} G_{\mu\nu} G^{\mu\nu} + \frac{3\alpha}{4\pi} c_\gamma \frac{a}{f} \epsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} F_{\alpha\beta} F_{\gamma\delta} + s \frac{(\partial_\mu a)^2}{f}. \tag{2.2}$$

In particular, all the relevant interactions can be parameterised in terms of three variables c_g, c_γ, f . To obtain phenomenologically viable models we also give the axion a small mass m_a , which is generated by a (technically natural) small explicit breaking of the PQ symmetry.

2.1 Production

The scalar s is produced through gluon fusion and, to a good approximation, the cross section for this is

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(gg \rightarrow s) &\approx \frac{v^2}{f^2} \sigma(gg \rightarrow h(m_h = 750)) \times \left| \frac{c_g}{F_\Delta(m_t, m_h = 750) + F_\Delta(m_b, m_h = 750)} \right|^2, \\ \sigma(gg \rightarrow s) &\simeq 31 \text{ fb } c_g^2 \left(\frac{\text{TeV}}{f} \right)^2, \end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

where F_Δ are the usual fermion loop functions defined by eq. (A.1) in appendix A. The partial decays width can be straightforwardly obtained

$$\Gamma_{s \rightarrow aa} = \frac{1}{32\pi} \frac{M_s^3}{f^2}, \quad \Gamma_{a \rightarrow \gamma\gamma} = \left(\frac{3\alpha c_\gamma}{4\pi f} \right)^2 \frac{m_a^3}{\pi}. \quad (2.4)$$

Hence for s to have a width to axions of ~ 40 GeV we need $f \simeq 320$ GeV. Alternatively, higher values of f can give a significant width if s has additional decay channels and an invisible width Γ_{inv} . Assuming that the efficiency of photon identification is $\sim 100\%$ [2], and $\sim 85\%$ of axions successfully fake a photon, we obtain the number of events as a function of the PQ scale, both if the total width is raised with invisible decays, and also if there are no invisible decays (in which case the width is small unless f is small)

$$N_{\text{ev}} \approx \begin{cases} 7.5 c_g^2 \left(\frac{\text{TeV}}{f} \right)^4 \frac{40 \text{ GeV}}{\Gamma_{\text{inv}}}, & \Gamma_{\text{inv}} \gg \Gamma_{s \rightarrow aa} \\ 64 c_g^2 \left(\frac{\text{TeV}}{f} \right)^2, & \Gamma_{s \rightarrow aa} \gg \Gamma_{\text{inv}}. \end{cases} \quad (2.5)$$

Since it is known that, at 2σ , $N_{\text{ev}} \in [4, 22]$ (for the excess reported by ATLAS) this can be translated into a constraint on the parameters of the theory

$$\begin{aligned} c_g \left(\frac{\text{TeV}}{f} \right)^2 &\in [0.73, 1.78] \quad \text{for } \Gamma_{\text{inv}} = 40 \text{ GeV}, \\ c_g \left(\frac{\text{TeV}}{f} \right) &\in [0.23, 0.55] \quad \text{for } \Gamma_{\text{inv}} = 0 \text{ GeV}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

In figure 2 we plot the values of c_g and f compatible with the ATLAS observation. From this we see that in the regime where f is small (that is, the width comes from the decay of s to a) we need quite a small coupling to gluons $c_g \sim 0.12$. In section 3 we show how this may arise from a model with additional heavy vector like quarks. In the large f case the value of c_g needed is fairly large, which can be naturally generated from models with new chiral quarks. Even when the width comes from invisible decays, monojet searches are much less constraining than for the direct production model. In the parameter regions of interest $\sigma_{pp \rightarrow \text{invisible}} \lesssim 0.1$ pb, since typically $\Gamma_{s \rightarrow aa} \gtrsim 1$ GeV.

2.2 Axion faking a photon

To reproduce the observed excess the two photons from the decay of each axion must be identified as a single photon. The ATLAS collaboration reports that the photons in the analysis are required to pass the “tight photon” tag which means meeting the selection criteria given in [210]. Although the photon event variables used by ATLAS are sophisticated, we can estimate that this roughly corresponds to requiring both photons hit the same plate of the first layer of ECAL, which has a very fine granularity in the η direction

$$\Delta\eta \lesssim 0.0031. \quad (2.7)$$

In appendix B we show how this can be translated into a bound on the axion mass,

$$m_a \lesssim 0.25 \text{ GeV}, \quad (2.8)$$




Figure 2. $1, 2\sigma$ contours on the parameters of the axion model fit to the ATLAS excess for the cases where *Red*: the invisible decay width is set to zero, and *Blue*: the total decay width is set to 40 GeV (due to invisible decay channels). Black vertical lines indicate the partial decay width into two axions in GeV.

and also show that our simple analysis gives a good approximation to the result obtained from a more complete consideration of the actual cuts and detector properties.

At the same time we need the axion to decay before reaching the calorimeter (otherwise it would lead to displaced vertices, or escape the detector entirely). At ATLAS this is located 1.3 m from the interaction point. Therefore we obtain a constraint on c_γ , m_a , and f , which are related to the decay length of the axion in the lab frame by

$$L_{\text{Lab frame}} \sim \frac{47}{c_\gamma^2} \left(\frac{f}{\text{TeV}} \right)^2 \left(\frac{0.2 \text{ GeV}}{m_a} \right)^4 \text{ m} \lesssim 0.6 \text{ m}, \quad (2.9)$$

where the decay length of 0.6 m corresponds to an approximately 80% probability that both axions decay before the ECAL. This gives

$$c_\gamma \gtrsim 9 \left(\frac{f}{\text{TeV}} \right) \left(\frac{0.2 \text{ GeV}}{m_a} \right)^2. \quad (2.10)$$

The viable parameter space, calculated following the procedure in appendix B, is presented in figure 3, as a function of c_γ and m_a for different values of f . Demanding that 60% of axion pairs fake two individual photons constrains the axion mass to a relatively narrow window of $100 \div 200$ MeV, and c_γ can be smallest when f is small.

2.3 Other constraints

There are also other experimental constraints on light axion like particles. The couplings to photons in the parameter ranges of interest are close to being probed by beam dump experiments (other limits come from astrophysics and $e^+ + e^- \rightarrow \gamma + \text{invisible}$ searches, but these are too weak to have any effect) [201]. However, as argued in the previous section, the axion must have a decay length $l \lesssim 1$ m so cannot be constrained by the current NuCal [203] and future experiments NA62 [204] and SHiP [205, 206].²

²We would like to thank Oleksii Boiarskyi for pointing this out to us.




Figure 3. Probability ($P=0.6$) contours that two axions will fake two photons as a function of the axion coupling to photons and the axion mass, for various values of f . Red, green, blue, black, orange, purple correspond to $f = 350, 550, 750, 950, 1150, 1350$ GeV.

QCD axions with decay constants \sim TeV are strongly excluded by the decay $K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ a$ that looks like the rare SM process $K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \nu \nu$ [207]. In our models this does not automatically apply since, by construction, the axion typically decays inside the detector, resembling the much more common process $K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^0$ albeit with a different pion mass. However, for simplicity and to be sure limits from this and similar processes are avoided, we mostly consider models where the extra SM charged states are such that a has no anomalous coupling to gluons.

3 Constructing explicit models

Having discussed the generic features arising from the topology of interest we now consider models that can generate the required EFTs for f in different ranges.

3.1 Small f models

If f is fairly small, $\lesssim 500$ GeV, production and decay of s requires that the parameters of the effective Lagrangian are roughly in the range

$$\begin{aligned} c_g &\in [0.07, 0.4] \\ c_\gamma &\gtrsim 3. \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

The large value of c_γ relative to c_g immediately points towards the states generating the axion coupling to photons being separate from those responsible for the coupling of s to gluons. Further, stringent collider constraints on new coloured states mean that any coloured states that gain a mass dominantly from the vacuum expectation value (VEV) of ϕ would require a non-perturbatively large Yukawa coupling $\sim 1.5 \text{ TeV} / (250 \text{ GeV})$.

Therefore we introduce two pairs of quarks that are vector like under the SM gauge groups and the PQ symmetry, but which couple to ϕ from cross terms. For example,

$$\mathcal{L} = M_1 Q_1^c Q_1 + M_2 Q_2^c Q_2 + y \phi Q_1^c Q_2 + \bar{y} \phi^\dagger Q_2^c Q_1 + \text{h.c.}, \tag{3.2}$$

with charges under the SM gauge group and $U(1)_{PQ}$ of

$$\begin{array}{cccccc}
 & & \text{SU}(3) \times \text{SU}(2) \times \text{U}(1)_Y & & \text{U}(1)_{PQ} & \\
 Q_1 \sim & 3 & 1 & Y & +1 & \\
 Q_1^c \sim & \bar{3} & 1 & -Y & -1 & \\
 Q_2 \sim & 3 & 1 & Y & 0 & \\
 Q_2^c \sim & \bar{3} & 1 & -Y & 0 &
 \end{array} \tag{3.3}$$

where the electric charge is normalised as

$$Q = T_3 + Y. \tag{3.4}$$

These fermions generate a coupling of s to gluons

$$c_g = -\frac{y\bar{y}f^2}{M_1M_2 - y\bar{y}f^2/2}, \tag{3.5}$$

which, with $\mathcal{O}(1)$ Yukawa couplings and $\mathcal{O}(\text{TeV})$ masses, can produce a c_g of the correct size. By construction such fermions do not lead to a colour anomaly.

To create a sufficiently large coupling to photons we introduce extra chiral fermions uncharged under $SU(3)$. For example, these could be chiral leptons that are singlets under $SU(2)$ and have charge Q_L under $U(1)_Y$

$$\mathcal{L} = y_L \phi(L^c L) + \text{h.c.}, \tag{3.6}$$

where $L (L^c)$ have charge $-1 (0)$ under the PQ symmetry, leading to a coupling

$$c_\gamma = \frac{Q_L^2}{6}. \tag{3.7}$$

In the case of N copies of L, L^c we need

$$\sqrt{N}Q_L \gtrsim 7.3 \sqrt{\frac{f}{\text{TeV}}} \frac{0.2 \text{ MeV}}{m_a}. \tag{3.8}$$

To obtain models without a very large number of new states we need extra leptons with charges of at least $+2$. As they can be produced by the electroweak Drell-Yan processes the LHC has set fairly stringent limits on the masses of such states. In particular, if the new leptons are stable on collider scales and have charge $+2$ the current bound is roughly $\gtrsim 700 \text{ GeV}$ [211]. Since we require a multiplicity of leptons $N \sim 4$ this translates into $M \gtrsim 850 \text{ GeV}$ (by considering PDFs and keeping the number of signal events fixed). In the case of $Q_L = 4, N = 1$ and we need $M_l \gtrsim 800 \text{ GeV}$.

Alternatively the leptons could decay promptly inside the collider. For charge $+2$ leptons this can occur through a dimension six operator, for example

$$(\bar{L}\gamma_\mu e_R)((H^c)^\dagger \overleftrightarrow{D}_\mu H), \tag{3.9}$$

which couples W^+ to the right handed current $(\bar{L}\gamma_\mu e_R)$. This leads to a same sign dilepton final state, which is constrained by searches for doubly charged Higgs bosons [212]. Taking

into account the leptonic branching fraction of W we see that doubly charged leptons with mass $m_L \gtrsim 600\text{--}700\text{ GeV}$ will be consistent with the current limits. Allowing only couplings to τ s will further reduce the bound. We can estimate it by noting that the τ decays leptonically $\sim 40\%$ of the time, so that the constraint on the lepton mass becomes $\sim 600\text{ GeV}$. Searches for charge $5/3$ top partners also lead to similar limits when translated to our models.

Models with small f are typically close to being strongly coupled. First, the new leptons will make the $U(1)_Y$ gauge coupling g_Y run faster than in the SM. Also, these leptons need a large Yukawa coupling to get a sufficiently large mass. Finally, the small value of f relative to 750 GeV means that the quartic coupling of s is big. For the model with N pairs of singlet leptons with charge Q_L , the one loop renormalisation group equations for these couplings are

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dy_L}{dt} &= \frac{1}{16\pi^2} [y_L^3(N+1) - 6g_Y^2 y_L Q_L^2] \\ \frac{d\lambda}{dt} &= \frac{1}{16\pi^2} [10\lambda^2 + 4Ny_L^2\lambda - 4Ny_L^4] \\ \frac{dg_Y}{dt} &= \frac{g_Y^3}{16\pi^2} \left[\frac{41}{6} + \frac{4}{3}NQ_L^2 \right], \end{aligned} \tag{3.10}$$

where $M_s^2 = \lambda f^2$, and $m_l = y_L f / \sqrt{2}$. In figure 4 we show the scale of strong coupling (defined as any coupling $= 4\pi$, or the quartic running negative) as a function of f from a numerical solution of these equations. The charge of the leptons is fixed to be equal to 2 or 4 and the number of species N is taken to be minimal satisfying the inequality eq. (2.10) for a sufficiently prompt axion decay

$$N \gtrsim \frac{0.054}{Q_L^2} \frac{f}{\text{GeV}}. \tag{3.11}$$

We see that models with small PQ breaking generically have a very low cut off scale, and, in the case when the partial decay width into two axion is 40 GeV , our model is already strongly coupled at the TeV scale. However, as mentioned in section 4, in this case we can easily explain the observed width with $N = 4, Q_L = 2$.

For f slightly larger, $\sim 500\text{ GeV}$, perturbativity can be maintained up to 10^4 GeV , while keeping a width $\Gamma_{s \rightarrow aa}$ of 15 GeV . Given the current uncertainties on the signal this may be regarded as sufficient. Alternatively, the width can be increased to 40 GeV by including hidden sector fermions, uncharged under the SM, with PQ preserving couplings $y_\psi \phi \bar{\psi}_h \psi_h$ that give the fermions masses. These give an invisible width

$$\Gamma_{\text{inv}} = \frac{N}{8\pi} M_s \left(1 - \frac{2y_\psi^2 f^2}{M_s^2} \right)^{3/2} y_\psi^2, \tag{3.12}$$

where N is the number of extra vector-like fermions. With 4 pairs of vector-like hidden sector fermions a total width of 40 GeV can be obtained for $f = 510\text{ GeV}$. The invisible decays mean the production cross-section must be correspondingly increased, however considering eq. (2.6) and eq. (3.5) it can be seen that this is not problematic.




Figure 4. The scale of strong coupling as a function of f , with the number of new leptons N varied to satisfy eq. (2.10), and labeled on the curves. We show results for the two sets of lepton masses and charges compatible with direct LHC searches. For small f , strong coupling occurs due to the scalar quartic coupling, so the scale of this increases with increasing f . For large f strong coupling is due to the U(1) hypercharge gauge coupling, so occurs at lower scales as f is increased and the c_γ required grows. We also show the values of f corresponding to a width to axions $\Gamma_{s \rightarrow aa} = 20, 10$ GeV by black vertical lines.

3.2 Large f models

In the opposite regime when f is large, taking for definiteness, $f \sim 1.2$ TeV and $\Gamma_{\text{inv}} = 40$, we require

$$c_g \in [1.1, 2.6], \quad c_\gamma \gtrsim 11, \quad (3.13)$$

so much larger charges/multiplicities are needed to have the correct value of c_γ . Since larger values of c_g are required for production it is possible for a single set of chiral PQ charged fermions to give both c_γ and c_g simultaneously. The larger VEV also allows the coloured chiral fermions to be safely outside LHC limits without non-perturbatively large Yukawa couplings. Consider, for example, the model

$$\mathcal{L} = \phi Q_1^c Q_1 + \phi^\dagger Q_2^c Q_2 + \text{h.c.}, \quad (3.14)$$

where the quantum numbers of the fields under the SM gauge group and the $U(1)_{PQ}$ are

	SU(3) \times SU(2) \times U(1) _Y			U(1) _{PQ}
$Q_1 \sim$	3	1	Y_1	-1
$Q_1^c \sim$	$\bar{3}$	1	$-Y_1$	0
$Q_2 \sim$	3	1	Y_2	+1
$Q_2^c \sim$	$\bar{3}$	1	$-Y_2$	0

(3.15)

These generate couplings

$$c_g = 2, \quad c_\gamma = \frac{Y_1^2 - Y_2^2}{2}. \quad (3.16)$$

Consequently we need fields with the electric charge larger than 4, or we can again introduce chiral leptons to increase c_γ .

The decay width to axions is too narrow to account for the experimental observations, therefore we need a large invisible width, which requires additional light states coupled strongly to s . Simply introducing extra scalars or fermions to the theory does not automatically help, since fields with large couplings to ϕ are expected to gain a mass $\sim f$ and decays of s to these are kinematically forbidden.

One option is to tune a state light (in the next section we discuss an alternative of a model with multiple PNGBs). For example adding a new scalar η with a bare mass and a coupling to ϕ of $\lambda|\phi|^2\eta^2$, gives an invisible width

$$\Gamma(s \rightarrow \eta\eta) = \frac{1}{8\pi} \frac{\lambda^2 f^2}{M_s} \sqrt{1 - \frac{4m_\eta^2}{M_s^2}}. \quad (3.17)$$

Similarly a fermion can be made light by introducing two fermions $N_{1,2}$ with opposite PQ charges. Then the vector-like mass term $M_{12}(iN_2^T\sigma^2N_1 + \text{h.c.})$ can be tuned against the mass induced by a coupling to ϕ of $y_1\phi(iN_1^T\sigma^2N_1 + \text{h.c.}) + (N_1 \leftrightarrow N_2)$. This gives an invisible width of

$$\Gamma(s \rightarrow n_1n_1) = \frac{1}{8\pi} M_s \left(1 - \frac{4m_{n1}^2}{M_s^2}\right)^{3/2} y_1^2. \quad (3.18)$$

Despite needing more new SM charged matter than if f is small, the large f case can remain perturbative to a higher scale. The quartic coupling of s is small since $M_s < f$, and the Yukawa couplings of the new quarks do not need to be especially large. Instead strong coupling first occurs due to the U(1) hypercharge gauge coupling. Since the change in the beta function is proportional to the sum of the hypercharges of the states $Y_1^2 + Y_2^2$, while c_γ is proportional to $Y_1^2 - Y_2^2$, the best chance to obtain a weakly coupled theory is to have $Y_2 = 0$. Then the change in the U(1)_Y beta function can be related to the anomaly coefficient by $\Delta\beta_Y = 8c_\gamma$. For $f \sim \text{TeV}$, models can remain weakly coupled until $\sim 10^6 \text{ GeV}$ as shown in figure 4.

3.3 Invisible width from a larger coset

A large invisible width can occur without tuning in models with many PNGBs. Suppose that the hidden sector contains n pairs of quarks, coupled to n^2 complex scalar fields, ϕ_{ij} , by a term

$$\lambda\phi_{ij}Q_i^cQ_j + \tilde{\lambda}\phi_{ij}^*\tilde{Q}_i^c\tilde{Q}_j + \text{h.c.} \quad (3.19)$$

Then the theory has a $U(n) \times U(n) = SU(n) \times SU(n) \times U(1)_A \times U(1)_V$ global symmetry, with the axial $U(1)_A$ anomalous. We assume the potential of the theory is such that (after rotating in field space) the diagonal scalars ϕ_{ii} all get approximately equal VEVs. This spontaneously breaks the global symmetry to the $SU(n)_V \times U(1)_V$ subgroup, and there are n^2 PNGBs. The scalar sector of the theory can be expanded as

$$\hat{\phi} = \frac{s + \hat{\rho} + F}{\sqrt{2n}} \mathbb{1} \times e^{i\hat{\Pi}/F} \times e^{ia/F} + \text{h.c.} \quad (3.20)$$

Here $\hat{\Pi}$ and a are the PNCB associated with $SU(n)$ and $U(1)$ breaking, s is the corresponding Higgs field along the diagonal direction, which will be the 750 GeV state, and $\hat{\rho}$ are the remaining $n^2 - 1$ massive scalars. Out of the PNCBs only a will have an anomalous coupling to photons, since

$$\text{Tr}(T^a Y^2) = 0, \quad (3.21)$$

where T^a are the generators of $SU(n)$. Similarly the couplings of the scalars $\hat{\rho}$ to gluons and photons will also vanish. The n^2 PNCBs couple equally to s , so its total width, and branching ratio to axions, is

$$\Gamma_s = \frac{n^2}{32\pi} \frac{M_s^3}{F^2}, \quad \text{Br}(s \rightarrow aa) = \frac{1}{n^2}. \quad (3.22)$$

The couplings of s and a to gluons and photons respectively are given by (analogously to eq. (3.15))

$$\frac{c_g}{f} = \frac{2n}{F}, \quad \frac{c_\gamma}{f} = \frac{n}{F} \left(\frac{Y_1^2 - Y_2^2}{2} \right). \quad (3.23)$$

Therefore, using eq. (2.5), we find that the observed number of events can be explained if $F \sim 4$ TeV. Fitting the width we find $n \sim 12$, and the hypercharge must be $Y_1 \gtrsim 2.5$ if $Y_2 = 0$. Since the other scalars couple only weakly to the Standard Model, they do not violate any existing observations.

Similarly we can extend the small f models (see section 3.1) to the $U(n) \times U(n)$ construction with a Lagrangian

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L} = & M_1 Q_1^{c,i} Q_1^i + M_2 Q_2^{c,i} Q_2^i + y \phi_{ij} Q_1^{c,i} Q_2^j + \bar{y} \phi_{ij}^* Q_2^{c,j} Q_1^i \\ & + y_L \phi_{ij} (\tilde{L}_i^c L_j) + \text{h.c.} . \end{aligned}$$

The couplings to gluons and photons are given by

$$\frac{c_g}{f} = - \frac{y \bar{y} F}{M_1 M_2 - \frac{y \bar{y} F^2}{2n}}, \quad (3.24)$$

$$\frac{c_\gamma}{f} = \frac{n Q_L^2}{6F}. \quad (3.25)$$

Requiring the width is $\Gamma = 40$ GeV, we obtain a constraint $F/n = 320$ GeV. Further, for prompt axion decay $Q_L \gtrsim 4$ is needed, independently of F and n , and the appropriate values of c_g can be obtained for $y \bar{y} \sim 1$. Reasonable points in parameter space are possible, for example $n = 3$ and $F, M_{1,2} \sim$ TeV.

Although in our conventions F can be large, the running of the quartic scalar coupling is enhanced relative to eq. (3.10) by the number of PNCBs. The exact form of the beta function depends on the scalar potential. For example, if the quartic dominantly comes from a term $V \sim \lambda \text{Tr}(\phi^\dagger \phi \phi^\dagger \phi)$, it has a dependence on n of $\beta_\lambda \sim (4n + 6) \lambda^2$. To compare with the $n = 1$ small f case, we redefine the quartic $\lambda' = (4n + 6) \lambda$, so that the running of λ' is independent of n at one loop. The mass of s is related to λ by $M_s^2 = F^2 \lambda / n$, therefore the value of λ' is related to the width by

$$\lambda' = 32\pi \frac{\Gamma_s}{M_s} \left(4 + \frac{6}{n} \right). \quad (3.26)$$

We see that increasing n decreases the required value of λ' by an order one amount. As a result strong coupling can occur at a higher scale, and for $n \sim 3$ the theory could remain weakly coupled up to scales $\sim 10^6$ GeV.

4 Comparison with the direct diphoton production

It is interesting to compare the requirements on UV completions in direct diphoton production and diaxion models. In particular, this allows us to determine if anything has been gained from the extra structure introduced.

Let us start by looking at the axion construction in the small f regime. In the $f \lesssim 400$ GeV limit the setup provides a nice explanation of the width of the diphoton signal without introduction of new invisible decays. In contrast this seems to be almost impossible in the models parametrized by the Lagrangian eq. (1.1). Unfortunately these nice features are accompanied by still moderately large multiplicities of new particles $\sqrt{N}Q_L \gtrsim 4.6$, and strong coupling at the TeV scale.

Both the diaxion in the large f regime and the diphoton construction, require invisible decay channels, as well as large multiplicities of SM charged particles, although for different reasons. In the direct diphoton construction they are needed to fit the observed signal as well as avoid bounds from invisible decay searches, while for diaxions they are needed for prompt axion decays. We can compare the needed multiplicities of new fields for the two setups by assuming the interactions with photons are both generated by N species of charge Q chiral fermions. Then the numerical values of the effective couplings are related by

$$c_\gamma = \frac{NQ^2}{6}, \quad c_F = \frac{3NQ^2}{4}, \tag{4.1}$$

$$\implies c_F = \frac{9}{2}c_\gamma, \tag{4.2}$$

where we have set the cutoff scale of eq. (1.1) to be $\Lambda \equiv f$. From eq. (2.10), for the axion model to work we need a theory that generates couplings

$$c_F \left(\frac{\text{TeV}}{f} \right) = \frac{9}{2}c_\gamma \left(\frac{\text{TeV}}{f} \right) \gtrsim 40 \left(\frac{0.2 \text{ GeV}}{m_a} \right)^2. \tag{4.3}$$

In contrast, for the direct production model to match the signal while satisfying the monojet constraints $c_F (\text{TeV}/f) \gtrsim 80$ is needed. Comparing this estimate with eq. (4.3) we see that the axion allows the multiplicity of new particles to be reduced by roughly a factor of $\sim 2 (m_a/0.2 \text{ GeV})^2$. The improvement seems to be almost marginal, however note that this value depends strongly on the collimated photon condition $m_a \lesssim 0.25$ (see eq. (2.8)). If our estimate turns out to be over-constraining and e.g. $m_a \lesssim 0.4$ GeV can be tolerated, a reduction of ~ 10 in the multiplicity of new particles is possible. If a large width is not confirmed models with $f \gtrsim 700$ GeV (see figure 2) can still provide a viable description of the signal, since the experimental resolution is around 6 GeV. However, unlike models with a direct decay to diphotons where the signal can be fitted with moderate couplings to photons (see figure 1), the prompt axion decay condition still requires a large coupling

to photons (see figure 3),

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{diphoton: } & c_F \left(\frac{\text{TeV}}{f} \right) \sim 4, \\
 \text{diaxion: } & c_F \left(\frac{\text{TeV}}{f} \right) = \frac{9}{2} c_\gamma \left(\frac{\text{TeV}}{f} \right) \gtrsim 30,
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{4.4}$$

reducing the appeal of the diaxion construction.

5 Conclusion

In conclusion let us summarise the main results of our paper. We have considered the possibility that the recent ATLAS results are due to the decay of a 750 GeV resonance into two axions which subsequently decay to two pairs of highly collimated photons. The conditions and constraints on such a scenario have been discussed in a model independent EFT framework, and we have shown that the tentative signal width can be explained. However, for the axions to be sufficiently collimated while also decaying before reaching the calorimeter, we need the axion mass to be in a narrow window $150 \div 200$ MeV.

A drawback of our analysis, which is crucial for identifying the viable parameter space, is the lack of a full detector simulation of the conditions for axion decays to fake individual photons. Although we have made conservative estimates, and reproduce the results of more sophisticated simulations well [192], our results should therefore be taken with a pinch of salt.

There are also some model building questions that we have not considered but need to be addressed in a complete theory. For example, the new chiral matter needs a mechanism to decay. This is not a major obstacle, and can occur via a PQ preserving coupling to SM matter. An explicit source of PQ breaking must also be introduced so that the axion gets an appropriate mass. It is also interesting to consider UV completions, especially since strong coupling is often not far from the TeV scale. It may also be possible to connect the model to the SM flavour structure, suppressing the Yukawa couplings of the light SM fields with the PQ symmetry. Although we have not considered it in the present work, models where the axions have some invisible decay channels, e.g. into light hidden sector gauge bosons, might be possible, and could relax the prompt decay requirement (see figure 5).

A phenomenological feature of our model is that unlike many other explanations of the diphoton anomaly it does not predict any excess in the ZZ and WW final states. Therefore if such a signal is observed the topologies we consider will be strongly disfavoured. On the other hand, if no excesses are seen in these channels (and the diphoton anomaly persists) the motivation for the theories we have considered will improve significantly. Similarly if the diphoton signal remains and the width is indeed large, this will also provide reason to further consider these type of models. Also, the presence of two photons instead of one increases the chance of production of an e^+e^- pair in the detector tracking system, which would be identified as a converted photon. It is an interesting question for future work whether this generic prediction allows collimated photons to be efficiently distinguished from real diphoton signals.




Figure 5. The isocontours of the minimal number of the fields and charges NQ^2 needed to ensure a prompt axion decay $\tau \lesssim 0.6$ m as a function of the axion mass and its branching into two photons for $f = 320$ GeV.

Acknowledgments

We are grateful to Giovanni Villadoro for very useful discussions. The work of A.R. is supported by the ERC Advanced Grant no. 267985 “DaMESyFla”.

A Loop functions

For completeness the loop functions for the production of s used in eq. (2.3) are [208, 209]

$$F_{\Delta}(m_f, M_s) = \frac{3}{2\tau^2} [\tau + (\tau - 1)f(\tau)]$$

$$\tau = \frac{M_s^2}{4m_f^2}, \quad f(\tau) = \begin{cases} \arcsin^2 \sqrt{\tau}, & \tau \leq 1 \\ -\frac{1}{4} \left[\log \frac{1 + \sqrt{1-1/\tau}}{1 - \sqrt{1-1/\tau}} - i\pi \right]^2. \end{cases} \quad (\text{A.1})$$

B Mistaking axions for photons

Considering the decay of an axion with velocity v into two photons, the total angle between the two photons in the lab frame θ_B is related to that in the axion rest frame θ by

$$\cos \theta = \pm \sqrt{\frac{2v^2 - 1 - \cos \theta_B}{v^2(1 - \cos \theta_B)}}. \quad (\text{B.1})$$

Then the probability that the angle between two photons will be less than $\theta < \theta_M$ will be given by the integral

$$\int_{\theta_X}^{\pi/2} \sin \theta d\theta = \cos \theta_X, \tag{B.2}$$

where

$$\cos \theta_X = \sqrt{\frac{2v^2 - 1 - \cos \theta_M}{v^2(1 - \cos \theta_M)}}. \tag{B.3}$$

The distance between the collision point and the calorimeter is of the order of $L_D = O(1 \text{ m})$ and we need the axion to decay before reaching the calorimeter plates. The plates of the liquid argon calorimeter have a minimum angular separation $\delta\eta_{\text{ATLAS}} = 0.0031$ and much larger granularity in the $\Delta\phi$ direction. The reference [192] studied a probability of faking a photon by an axion for the Higgs decay. They found that the complete ATLAS analysis used for the tight photon selection, which utilizes more sophisticated variables, effectively means that the cut on the $\delta\eta$ separation between the photons to be

$$\delta\eta_{\gamma\gamma} < \frac{1}{2}\delta\eta_{\text{ATLAS}}, \quad \delta\eta_{\text{ATLAS}} = 0.0031. \tag{B.4}$$

Instead of a putting a cut only on the $\delta\eta$ direction between the photons we impose the conservative requirement that total angular separation between the photons be less then

$$\delta R_{\gamma\gamma} < \frac{1}{2}\delta\eta_{\text{ATLAS}}. \tag{B.5}$$

Then, for an axion proper decay time τ and boost factor $\gamma = 1/\sqrt{1-v^2}$, the total probability of two photons having a spacial separation less than $\delta d < \delta\eta_{\text{MIN}}L_D$ is

$$\int_0^{L_D} \frac{1}{\gamma\tau} e^{-\frac{x}{\gamma\tau}} \sqrt{\frac{2v^2 - 1 - \cos\left(\frac{\Delta\eta_{\text{MIN}}L_D}{L_D-x}\right)}{v^2\left(1 - \cos\left(\frac{\Delta\eta_{\text{MIN}}L_D}{L_D-x}\right)\right)}} dx. \tag{B.6}$$

To validate our approximations we have compared the results obtained using our technique for the SM Higgs decay to the results of [192] who performed a more complete simulation accounting for the varying granularity over the detector. We find our results match theirs fairly accurately, and we are slightly more conservative on the maximum mass possible to resemble a photon.

Now we proceed to the decay of the 750 resonance. Since the boost of the state s is negligible, the axion boost is $375 \text{ GeV}/m_a$. The results are presented in figure 6 where we show the probability to mistag the four photons from two axions as two photons as a function of the axion mass. In order for a reasonable proportion to be misidentified the decay length in the lab frame should be roughly less than 1 meter and the axion should be in the region of $\sim O(100) \text{ MeV}$.




Figure 6. Probability contours to detect two axions as two photons, the green region indicates the parameter space where the decay length is less than 0.6 m.

Open Access. This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License ([CC-BY 4.0](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)), which permits any use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author(s) and source are credited.

References

- [1] CMS collaboration, *Search for new physics in high mass diphoton events in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV*, [CMS-PAS-EXO-15-004](#).
- [2] ATLAS collaboration, *Search for resonances decaying to photon pairs in 3.2 fb^{-1} of pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV with the ATLAS detector*, [ATLAS-CONF-2015-081](#).
- [3] K. Harigaya and Y. Nomura, *Composite Models for the 750 GeV Diphoton Excess*, *Phys. Lett. B* **754** (2016) 151 [[arXiv:1512.04850](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [4] Y. Mambrini, G. Arcadi and A. Djouadi, *The LHC diphoton resonance and dark matter*, *Phys. Lett. B* **755** (2016) 426 [[arXiv:1512.04913](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [5] M. Backovic, A. Mariotti and D. Redigolo, *Di-photon excess illuminates Dark Matter*, *JHEP* **03** (2016) 157 [[arXiv:1512.04917](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [6] A. Angelescu, A. Djouadi and G. Moreau, *Scenarii for interpretations of the LHC diphoton excess: two Higgs doublets and vector-like quarks and leptons*, *Phys. Lett. B* **756** (2016) 126 [[arXiv:1512.04921](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [7] Y. Nakai, R. Sato and K. Tobioka, *Footprints of New Strong Dynamics via Anomaly and the 750 GeV Diphoton*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **116** (2016) 151802 [[arXiv:1512.04924](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [8] D. Buttazzo, A. Greljo and D. Marzocca, *Knocking on new physics' door with a scalar resonance*, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **76** (2016) 116 [[arXiv:1512.04929](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [9] A. Pilaftsis, *Diphoton Signatures from Heavy Axion Decays at the CERN Large Hadron Collider*, *Phys. Rev. D* **93** (2016) 015017 [[arXiv:1512.04931](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].

- [10] S. Di Chiara, L. Marzola and M. Raidal, *First interpretation of the 750 GeV di-photon resonance at the LHC*, [arXiv:1512.04939](#) [INSPIRE].
- [11] J. Ellis, S.A.R. Ellis, J. Quevillon, V. Sanz and T. You, *On the Interpretation of a Possible ~ 750 GeV Particle Decaying into $\gamma\gamma$* , *JHEP* **03** (2016) 176 [[arXiv:1512.05327](#)] [INSPIRE].
- [12] B. Bellazzini, R. Franceschini, F. Sala and J. Serra, *Goldstones in Diphotons*, *JHEP* **04** (2016) 072 [[arXiv:1512.05330](#)] [INSPIRE].
- [13] R.S. Gupta, S. Jäger, Y. Kats, G. Perez and E. Stamou, *Interpreting a 750 GeV Diphoton Resonance*, [arXiv:1512.05332](#) [INSPIRE].
- [14] E. Molinaro, F. Sannino and N. Vignaroli, *Minimal Composite Dynamics versus Axion Origin of the Diphoton excess*, [arXiv:1512.05334](#) [INSPIRE].
- [15] S.D. McDermott, P. Meade and H. Ramani, *Singlet Scalar Resonances and the Diphoton Excess*, *Phys. Lett. B* **755** (2016) 353 [[arXiv:1512.05326](#)] [INSPIRE].
- [16] M. Low, A. Tesi and L.-T. Wang, *A pseudoscalar decaying to photon pairs in the early LHC Run 2 data*, *JHEP* **03** (2016) 108 [[arXiv:1512.05328](#)] [INSPIRE].
- [17] C. Petersson and R. Torre, *750 GeV Diphoton Excess from the Goldstino Superpartner*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **116** (2016) 151804 [[arXiv:1512.05333](#)] [INSPIRE].
- [18] B. Dutta, Y. Gao, T. Ghosh, I. Gogoladze and T. Li, *Interpretation of the diphoton excess at CMS and ATLAS*, *Phys. Rev. D* **93** (2016) 055032 [[arXiv:1512.05439](#)] [INSPIRE].
- [19] Q.-H. Cao, Y. Liu, K.-P. Xie, B. Yan and D.-M. Zhang, *A Boost Test of Anomalous Diphoton Resonance at the LHC*, [arXiv:1512.05542](#) [INSPIRE].
- [20] A. Kobakhidze, F. Wang, L. Wu, J.M. Yang and M. Zhang, *750 GeV diphoton resonance in a top and bottom seesaw model*, *Phys. Lett. B* **757** (2016) 92 [[arXiv:1512.05585](#)] [INSPIRE].
- [21] P. Cox, A.D. Medina, T.S. Ray and A. Spray, *Diphoton Excess at 750 GeV from a Radion in the Bulk-Higgs Scenario*, [arXiv:1512.05618](#) [INSPIRE].
- [22] A. Ahmed, B.M. Dillon, B. Grzadkowski, J.F. Gunion and Y. Jiang, *Higgs-radion interpretation of 750 GeV di-photon excess at the LHC*, [arXiv:1512.05771](#) [INSPIRE].
- [23] D. Bečirević, E. Bertuzzo, O. Sumensari and R. Zukanovich Funchal, *Can the new resonance at LHC be a CP-Odd Higgs boson?*, *Phys. Lett. B* **757** (2016) 261 [[arXiv:1512.05623](#)] [INSPIRE].
- [24] J.M. No, V. Sanz and J. Setford, *See-Saw Composite Higgses at the LHC: Linking Naturalness to the 750 GeV Di-Photon Resonance*, [arXiv:1512.05700](#) [INSPIRE].
- [25] S.V. Demidov and D.S. Gorbunov, *On sgoldstino interpretation of the diphoton excess*, [arXiv:1512.05723](#) [INSPIRE].
- [26] W. Chao, R. Huo and J.-H. Yu, *The Minimal Scalar-Stealth Top Interpretation of the Diphoton Excess*, [arXiv:1512.05738](#) [INSPIRE].
- [27] D. Curtin and C.B. Verhaaren, *Quirky Explanations for the Diphoton Excess*, *Phys. Rev. D* **93** (2016) 055011 [[arXiv:1512.05753](#)] [INSPIRE].
- [28] L. Bian, N. Chen, D. Liu and J. Shu, *A hidden confining world on the 750 GeV diphoton excess*, [arXiv:1512.05759](#) [INSPIRE].
- [29] J. Chakraborty, A. Choudhury, P. Ghosh, S. Mondal and T. Srivastava, *Di-photon resonance around 750 GeV: shedding light on the theory underneath*, [arXiv:1512.05767](#) [INSPIRE].

- [30] T. Higaki, K.S. Jeong, N. Kitajima and F. Takahashi, *The QCD Axion from Aligned Axions and Diphoton Excess*, *Phys. Lett. B* **755** (2016) 13 [[arXiv:1512.05295](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [31] Y. Bai, J. Berger and R. Lu, *A 750 GeV dark pion: Cousin of a dark G-parity-odd WIMP*, *Phys. Rev. D* **93** (2016) 076009 [[arXiv:1512.05779](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [32] J.S. Kim, J. Reuter, K. Rolbiecki and R. Ruiz de Austri, *A resonance without resonance: scrutinizing the diphoton excess at 750 GeV*, *Phys. Lett. B* **755** (2016) 403 [[arXiv:1512.06083](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [33] S. Ghosh, A. Kundu and S. Ray, *On the potential of a singlet scalar enhanced Standard Model*, [arXiv:1512.05786](#) [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [34] E. Gabrielli, K. Kannike, B. Mele, M. Raidal, C. Spethmann and H. Veermäe, *A SUSY Inspired Simplified Model for the 750 GeV Diphoton Excess*, *Phys. Lett. B* **756** (2016) 36 [[arXiv:1512.05961](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [35] A. Alves, A.G. Dias and K. Sinha, *The 750 GeV S-cion: Where else should we look for it?*, *Phys. Lett. B* **757** (2016) 39 [[arXiv:1512.06091](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [36] E. Megias, O. Pujolàs and M. Quirós, *On dilatons and the LHC diphoton excess*, [arXiv:1512.06106](#) [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [37] J. Bernon and C. Smith, *Could the width of the diphoton anomaly signal a three-body decay?*, *Phys. Lett. B* **757** (2016) 148 [[arXiv:1512.06113](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [38] A. Ringwald and K. Saikawa, *Axion dark matter in the post-inflationary Peccei-Quinn symmetry breaking scenario*, *Phys. Rev. D* **93** (2016) 085031 [[arXiv:1512.06436](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [39] M. Dhuria and G. Goswami, *Perturbativity, vacuum stability and inflation in the light of 750 GeV diphoton excess*, [arXiv:1512.06782](#) [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [40] M.T. Arun and P. Saha, *Gravitons in multiply warped scenarios — at 750 GeV and beyond*, [arXiv:1512.06335](#) [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [41] C. Han, H.M. Lee, M. Park and V. Sanz, *The diphoton resonance as a gravity mediator of dark matter*, *Phys. Lett. B* **755** (2016) 371 [[arXiv:1512.06376](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [42] S. Chang, *A Simple U(1) Gauge Theory Explanation of the Diphoton Excess*, *Phys. Rev. D* **93** (2016) 055016 [[arXiv:1512.06426](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [43] H. Han, S. Wang and S. Zheng, *Scalar Explanation of Diphoton Excess at LHC*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **907** (2016) 180 [[arXiv:1512.06562](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [44] M.-x. Luo, K. Wang, T. Xu, L. Zhang and G. Zhu, *Squarkonium, diquarkonium and octetonium at the LHC and their diphoton decays*, *Phys. Rev. D* **93** (2016) 055042 [[arXiv:1512.06670](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [45] D. Bardhan, D. Bhatia, A. Chakraborty, U. Maitra, S. Raychaudhuri and T. Samui, *Radion Candidate for the LHC Diphoton Resonance*, [arXiv:1512.06674](#) [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [46] T.-F. Feng, X.-Q. Li, H.-B. Zhang and S.-M. Zhao, *The LHC 750 GeV diphoton excess in supersymmetry with gauged baryon and lepton numbers*, [arXiv:1512.06696](#) [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [47] D. Barducci, A. Goudelis, S. Kulkarni and D. Sengupta, *One jet to rule them all: monojet constraints and invisible decays of a 750 GeV diphoton resonance*, [arXiv:1512.06842](#) [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [48] W. Chao, *Symmetries Behind the 750 GeV Diphoton Excess*, [arXiv:1512.06297](#) [[INSPIRE](#)].

- [49] I. Chakraborty and A. Kundu, *Diphoton excess at 750 GeV: Singlet scalars confront triviality*, *Phys. Rev. D* **93** (2016) 055003 [[arXiv:1512.06508](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [50] X.-F. Han and L. Wang, *Implication of the 750 GeV diphoton resonance on two-Higgs-doublet model and its extensions with Higgs field*, *Phys. Rev. D* **93** (2016) 055027 [[arXiv:1512.06587](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [51] R. Ding, L. Huang, T. Li and B. Zhu, *Interpreting 750 GeV Diphoton Excess with R-parity Violation Supersymmetry*, [arXiv:1512.06560](#) [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [52] H. Hatanaka, *Oblique corrections from less-Higgsless models in warped space*, [arXiv:1512.06595](#) [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [53] O. Antipin, M. Mojaza and F. Sannino, *A natural Coleman-Weinberg theory explains the diphoton excess*, [arXiv:1512.06708](#) [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [54] F. Wang, L. Wu, J.M. Yang and M. Zhang, *750 GeV Diphoton Resonance, 125 GeV Higgs and Muon $g-2$ Anomaly in Deflected Anomaly Mediation SUSY Breaking Scenario*, [arXiv:1512.06715](#) [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [55] J. Cao, C. Han, L. Shang, W. Su, J.M. Yang and Y. Zhang, *Interpreting the 750 GeV diphoton excess by the singlet extension of the Manohar-Wise model*, *Phys. Lett. B* **755** (2016) 456 [[arXiv:1512.06728](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [56] F.P. Huang, C.S. Li, Z.L. Liu and Y. Wang, *750 GeV Diphoton Excess from Cascade Decay*, [arXiv:1512.06732](#) [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [57] X.-J. Bi, Q.-F. Xiang, P.-F. Yin and Z.-H. Yu, *The 750 GeV diphoton excess at the LHC and dark matter constraints*, [arXiv:1512.06787](#) [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [58] J.S. Kim, K. Rolbiecki and R. Ruiz de Austri, *Model-independent combination of diphoton constraints at 750 GeV*, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **76** (2016) 251 [[arXiv:1512.06797](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [59] L. Berthier, J.M. Cline, W. Shepherd and M. Trott, *Effective interpretations of a diphoton excess*, *JHEP* **04** (2016) 084 [[arXiv:1512.06799](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [60] J.M. Cline and Z. Liu, *LHC diphotons from electroweakly pair-produced composite pseudoscalars*, [arXiv:1512.06827](#) [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [61] K. Kulkarni, *Extension of ν MSM model and possible explanations of recent astronomical and collider observations*, [arXiv:1512.06836](#) [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [62] P.S.B. Dev and D. Teresi, *Asymmetric Dark Matter in the Sun and the Diphoton Excess at the LHC*, [arXiv:1512.07243](#) [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [63] A.E.C. Hernández and I. Nisandzic, *LHC diphoton 750 GeV resonance as an indication of $SU(3)_c \times SU(3)_L \times U(1)_X$ gauge symmetry*, [arXiv:1512.07165](#) [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [64] U.K. Dey, S. Mohanty and G. Tomar, *750 GeV resonance in the dark left-right model*, *Phys. Lett. B* **756** (2016) 384 [[arXiv:1512.07212](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [65] G.M. Pelaggi, A. Strumia and E. Vigiani, *Trinification can explain the di-photon and di-boson LHC anomalies*, *JHEP* **03** (2016) 025 [[arXiv:1512.07225](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [66] J. de Blas, J. Santiago and R. Vega-Morales, *New vector bosons and the diphoton excess*, [arXiv:1512.07229](#) [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [67] Q.-H. Cao, S.-L. Chen and P.-H. Gu, *Strong CP Problem, Neutrino Masses and the 750 GeV Diphoton Resonance*, [arXiv:1512.07541](#) [[INSPIRE](#)].

- [68] J. Gu and Z. Liu, *Physics implications of the diphoton excess from the perspective of renormalization group flow*, *Phys. Rev. D* **93** (2016) 075006 [[arXiv:1512.07624](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [69] W.-C. Huang, Y.-L.S. Tsai and T.-C. Yuan, *Gauged Two Higgs Doublet Model confronts the LHC 750 GeV di-photon anomaly*, [arXiv:1512.07268](#) [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [70] M. Chabab, M. Capdequi-Peyranère and L. Rahili, *Naturalness in Type II seesaw model and implications for physical scalars*, [arXiv:1512.07280](#) [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [71] S. Moretti and K. Yagyu, *The 750 GeV diphoton excess and its explanation in two-Higgs-doublet models with a real inert scalar multiplet*, *Phys. Rev. D* **93** (2016) 055043 [[arXiv:1512.07462](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [72] K.M. Patel and P. Sharma, *Interpreting 750 GeV diphoton excess in SU(5) grand unified theory*, *Phys. Lett. B* **757** (2016) 282 [[arXiv:1512.07468](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [73] M. Badziak, *Interpreting the 750 GeV diphoton excess in minimal extensions of Two-Higgs-Doublet models*, [arXiv:1512.07497](#) [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [74] S. Chakraborty, A. Chakraborty and S. Raychaudhuri, *Diphoton resonance at 750 GeV in the broken MRSSM*, [arXiv:1512.07527](#) [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [75] M. Cvetič, J. Halverson and P. Langacker, *String Consistency, Heavy Exotics and the 750 GeV Diphoton Excess at the LHC*, [arXiv:1512.07622](#) [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [76] B.C. Allanach, P.S.B. Dev, S.A. Renner and K. Sakurai, *Di-photon Excess Explained by a Resonant Sneutrino in R-parity Violating Supersymmetry*, [arXiv:1512.07645](#) [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [77] K. Das and S.K. Rai, *The 750 GeV Diphoton excess in a U(1) hidden symmetry model*, [arXiv:1512.07789](#) [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [78] K. Cheung, P. Ko, J.S. Lee, J. Park and P.-Y. Tseng, *A Higgcision study on the 750 GeV Di-photon Resonance and 125 GeV SM Higgs boson with the Higgs-Singlet Mixing*, [arXiv:1512.07853](#) [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [79] H. Davoudiasl and C. Zhang, *A 750 GeV messenger of dark conformal symmetry breaking*, *Phys. Rev. D* **93** (2016) 055006 [[arXiv:1512.07672](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [80] J. Liu, X.-P. Wang and W. Xue, *LHC diphoton excess from colorful resonances*, [arXiv:1512.07885](#) [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [81] J. Zhang and S. Zhou, *Electroweak Vacuum Stability and Diphoton Excess at 750 GeV*, *Chin. Phys. C* **40** (2016) 081001 [[arXiv:1512.07889](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [82] J.A. Casas, J.R. Espinosa and J.M. Moreno, *The 750 GeV Diphoton Excess as a First Light on Supersymmetry Breaking*, [arXiv:1512.07895](#) [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [83] L.J. Hall, K. Harigaya and Y. Nomura, *750 GeV Diphotons: Implications for Supersymmetric Unification*, *JHEP* **03** (2016) 017 [[arXiv:1512.07904](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [84] H. Han, S. Wang and S. Zheng, *Dark Matter Theories in the Light of Diphoton Excess*, [arXiv:1512.07992](#) [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [85] J.-C. Park and S.C. Park, *Indirect signature of dark matter with the diphoton resonance at 750 GeV*, [arXiv:1512.08117](#) [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [86] D. Chway, R. Dermíšek, T.H. Jung and H.D. Kim, *Glue to light signal of a new particle*, [arXiv:1512.08221](#) [[INSPIRE](#)].

- [87] H. An, C. Cheung and Y. Zhang, *Broad Diphotons from Narrow States*, [arXiv:1512.08378](#) [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [88] F. Wang, W. Wang, L. Wu, J.M. Yang and M. Zhang, *Interpreting 750 GeV diphoton resonance as degenerate Higgs bosons in NMSSM with vector-like particles*, [arXiv:1512.08434](#) [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [89] Q.-H. Cao, Y. Liu, K.-P. Xie, B. Yan and D.-M. Zhang, *Diphoton excess, low energy theorem and the 331 model*, *Phys. Rev. D* **93** (2016) 075030 [[arXiv:1512.08441](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [90] J. Gao, H. Zhang and H.X. Zhu, *Diphoton excess at 750 GeV: gluon-gluon fusion or quark-antiquark annihilation?*, [arXiv:1512.08478](#) [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [91] P.S.B. Dev, R.N. Mohapatra and Y. Zhang, *Quark Seesaw, Vectorlike Fermions and Diphoton Excess*, *JHEP* **02** (2016) 186 [[arXiv:1512.08507](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [92] L. Del Debbio, B. Lucini, A. Patella, C. Pica and A. Rago, *Large volumes and spectroscopy of walking theories*, *Phys. Rev. D* **93** (2016) 054505 [[arXiv:1512.08242](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [93] A. Salvio and A. Mazumdar, *Higgs Stability and the 750 GeV Diphoton Excess*, *Phys. Lett. B* **755** (2016) 469 [[arXiv:1512.08184](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [94] G. Li, Y.-n. Mao, Y.-L. Tang, C. Zhang, Y. Zhou and S.-h. Zhu, *Pseudoscalar Decaying Only via Loops as an Explanation for the 750 GeV Diphoton Excess*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **116** (2016) 151803 [[arXiv:1512.08255](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [95] M. Son and A. Urbano, *A new scalar resonance at 750 GeV: Towards a proof of concept in favor of strongly interacting theories*, [arXiv:1512.08307](#) [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [96] Y.-L. Tang and S.-h. Zhu, *NMSSM extended with vector-like particles and the diphoton excess on the LHC*, [arXiv:1512.08323](#) [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [97] J. Cao, L. Shang, W. Su, F. Wang and Y. Zhang, *Interpreting The 750 GeV Diphoton Excess Within Topflavor Seesaw Model*, [arXiv:1512.08392](#) [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [98] C. Cai, Z.-H. Yu and H.-H. Zhang, *The 750 GeV diphoton resonance as a singlet scalar in an extra dimensional model*, *Phys. Rev. D* **93** (2016) 075033 [[arXiv:1512.08440](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [99] J.E. Kim, *Is an axizilla possible for di-photon resonance?*, *Phys. Lett. B* **755** (2016) 190 [[arXiv:1512.08467](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [100] W. Chao, *Neutrino Catalyzed Diphoton Excess*, [arXiv:1512.08484](#) [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [101] F. Goertz, J.F. Kamenik, A. Katz and M. Nardecchia, *Indirect Constraints on the Scalar Di-Photon Resonance at the LHC*, [arXiv:1512.08500](#) [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [102] L.A. Anchordoqui, I. Antoniadis, H. Goldberg, X. Huang, D. Lüst and T.R. Taylor, *750 GeV diphotons from closed string states*, *Phys. Lett. B* **755** (2016) 312 [[arXiv:1512.08502](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [103] N. Bizot, S. Davidson, M. Frigerio and J.L. Kneur, *Two Higgs doublets to explain the excesses $pp \rightarrow \gamma\gamma(750 \text{ GeV})$ and $h \rightarrow \tau^\pm \mu^\mp$* , *JHEP* **03** (2016) 073 [[arXiv:1512.08508](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [104] Y. Hamada, T. Noumi, S. Sun and G. Shiu, *An O(750) GeV Resonance and Inflation*, [arXiv:1512.08984](#) [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [105] X.-J. Huang, W.-H. Zhang and Y.-F. Zhou, *A 750 GeV dark matter messenger at the Galactic Center*, [arXiv:1512.08992](#) [[INSPIRE](#)].

- [106] A. Pich, *Electroweak Symmetry Breaking and the Higgs Boson*, *Acta Phys. Polon.* **B 47** (2016) 151 [[arXiv:1512.08749](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [107] L.E. Ibáñez and V. Martín-Lozano, *A Megaxion at 750 GeV as a First Hint of Low Scale String Theory*, [arXiv:1512.08777](#) [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [108] C.-W. Chiang, M. Ibe and T.T. Yanagida, *Revisiting Scalar Quark Hidden Sector in Light of 750-GeV Diphoton Resonance*, [arXiv:1512.08895](#) [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [109] S.K. Kang and J. Song, *Top-phobic heavy Higgs boson as the 750 GeV diphoton resonance*, [arXiv:1512.08963](#) [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [110] S. Kanemura, K. Nishiwaki, H. Okada, Y. Orikasa, S.C. Park and R. Watanabe, *LHC 750 GeV Diphoton excess in a radiative seesaw model*, [arXiv:1512.09048](#) [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [111] S. Kanemura, N. Machida, S. Odori and T. Shindou, *Diphoton excess at 750 GeV in an extended scalar sector*, [arXiv:1512.09053](#) [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [112] P.V. Dong and N.T.K. Ngan, *Phenomenology of the simple 3-3-1 model with inert scalars*, [arXiv:1512.09073](#) [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [113] I. Low and J. Lykken, *Implications of Gauge Invariance on a Heavy Diphoton Resonance*, [arXiv:1512.09089](#) [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [114] A.E.C. Hernández, *The 750 GeV diphoton resonance can cause the SM fermion mass and mixing pattern*, [arXiv:1512.09092](#) [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [115] Y. Jiang, Y.-Y. Li and T. Liu, *750 GeV Resonance in the Gauged U(1)'-Extended MSSM*, [arXiv:1512.09127](#) [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [116] K. Kaneta, S. Kang and H.-S. Lee, *Diphoton excess at the LHC Run 2 and its implications for a new heavy gauge boson*, [arXiv:1512.09129](#) [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [117] L. Marzola, A. Racioppi, M. Raidal, F.R. Urban and H. Veermäe, *Non-minimal CW inflation, electroweak symmetry breaking and the 750 GeV anomaly*, *JHEP* **03** (2016) 190 [[arXiv:1512.09136](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [118] A. Dasgupta, M. Mitra and D. Borah, *Minimal Left-Right Symmetry Confronted with the 750 GeV Di-photon Excess at LHC*, [arXiv:1512.09202](#) [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [119] S. Jung, J. Song and Y.W. Yoon, *How Resonance-Continuum Interference Changes 750 GeV Diphoton Excess: Signal Enhancement and Peak Shift*, *JHEP* **05** (2016) 009 [[arXiv:1601.00006](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [120] T. Nomura and H. Okada, *Four-loop Neutrino Model Inspired by Diphoton Excess at 750 GeV*, *Phys. Lett.* **B 755** (2016) 306 [[arXiv:1601.00386](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [121] P. Ko, Y. Omura and C. Yu, *Diphoton Excess at 750 GeV in leptophobic U(1)' model inspired by E₆ GUT*, *JHEP* **04** (2016) 098 [[arXiv:1601.00586](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [122] C.T. Potter, *Pseudoscalar Gluonia to Diphotons at the LHC*, [arXiv:1601.00240](#) [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [123] E. Palti, *Vector-Like Exotics in F-theory and 750 GeV Diphotons*, *Nucl. Phys.* **B 907** (2016) 597 [[arXiv:1601.00285](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [124] K. Ghorbani and H. Ghorbani, *The 750 GeV Diphoton Excess from a Pseudoscalar in Fermionic Dark Matter Scenario*, [arXiv:1601.00602](#) [[INSPIRE](#)].

- [125] U. Danielsson, R. Enberg, G. Ingelman and T. Mandal, *The force awakens — the 750 GeV diphoton excess at the LHC from a varying electromagnetic coupling*, [arXiv:1601.00624](#) [INSPIRE].
- [126] W. Chao, *The Diphoton Excess from an Exceptional Supersymmetric Standard Model*, [arXiv:1601.00633](#) [INSPIRE].
- [127] T. Modak, S. Sadhukhan and R. Srivastava, *750 GeV diphoton excess from gauged $B - L$ symmetry*, *Phys. Lett. B* **756** (2016) 405 [[arXiv:1601.00836](#)] [INSPIRE].
- [128] B. Dutta et al., *Diphoton Excess in Consistent Supersymmetric SU(5) Models with Vector-like Particles*, [arXiv:1601.00866](#) [INSPIRE].
- [129] H. Ito, T. Moroi and Y. Takaesu, *Studying 750 GeV di-photon resonance at photon-photon collider*, *Phys. Lett. B* **756** (2016) 147 [[arXiv:1601.01144](#)] [INSPIRE].
- [130] F. D’Eramo, J. de Vries and P. Panci, *A 750 GeV Portal: LHC Phenomenology and Dark Matter Candidates*, [arXiv:1601.01571](#) [INSPIRE].
- [131] H. Zhang, *The 750 GeV Diphoton Excess: Who Introduces It?*, [arXiv:1601.01355](#) [INSPIRE].
- [132] A. Berlin, *Diphoton and diboson excesses in a left-right symmetric theory of dark matter*, *Phys. Rev. D* **93** (2016) 055015 [[arXiv:1601.01381](#)] [INSPIRE].
- [133] S. Bhattacharya, S. Patra, N. Sahoo and N. Sahu, *750 GeV Di-Photon Excess at CERN LHC from a Dark Sector Assisted Scalar Decay*, [arXiv:1601.01569](#) [INSPIRE].
- [134] D. Borah, S. Patra and S. Sahoo, *Subdominant Left-Right Scalar Dark Matter as Origin of the 750 GeV Di-photon Excess at LHC*, [arXiv:1601.01828](#) [INSPIRE].
- [135] I. Sahin, *Semi-elastic cross section for a scalar resonance of mass 750 GeV*, [arXiv:1601.01676](#) [INSPIRE].
- [136] S. Fichet, G. von Gersdorff and C. Royon, *Measuring the diphoton coupling of a 750 GeV resonance*, [arXiv:1601.01712](#) [INSPIRE].
- [137] N. Sonmez, *Charged Higgs Pair Production in THDM Through Photon-photon Collisions at the ILC*, [arXiv:1601.01837](#) [INSPIRE].
- [138] L.-G. Xia, *A mass reconstruction technique for a heavy resonance decaying to $\tau^+\tau^-$* , [arXiv:1601.02454](#) [INSPIRE].
- [139] P. Ko and T. Nomura, *Dark sector shining through 750 GeV dark Higgs boson at the LHC*, [arXiv:1601.02490](#) [INSPIRE].
- [140] J. Cao, L. Shang, W. Su, Y. Zhang and J. Zhu, *Interpreting the 750 GeV diphoton excess in the Minimal Dilaton Model*, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **76** (2016) 239 [[arXiv:1601.02570](#)] [INSPIRE].
- [141] M.T. Arun and D. Choudhury, *Bulk gauge and matter fields in nested warping: II. Symmetry Breaking and phenomenological consequences*, *JHEP* **04** (2016) 133 [[arXiv:1601.02321](#)] [INSPIRE].
- [142] M. Fabbrichesi and A. Urbano, *The breaking of the $SU(2)_L \times U(1)_Y$ symmetry: The 750 GeV resonance at the LHC and perturbative unitarity*, [arXiv:1601.02447](#) [INSPIRE].
- [143] C. Hati, *Explaining the diphoton excess in Alternative Left-Right Symmetric Model*, *Phys. Rev. D* **93** (2016) 075002 [[arXiv:1601.02457](#)] [INSPIRE].

- [144] J.-H. Yu, *Hidden Gauged U(1) Model: Unifying Scotogenic Neutrino and Flavor Dark Matter*, [arXiv:1601.02609](#) [INSPIRE].
- [145] R. Ding, Z.-L. Han, Y. Liao and X.-D. Ma, *Interpretation of 750 GeV Diphoton Excess at LHC in Singlet Extension of Color-octet Neutrino Mass Model*, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **76** (2016) 204 [[arXiv:1601.02714](#)] [INSPIRE].
- [146] Z. Fodor, K. Holland, J. Kuti, S. Mondal, D. Nogradi and C.H. Wong, *Electroweak interactions and dark baryons in the sextet BSM model with a composite Higgs particle*, [arXiv:1601.03302](#) [INSPIRE].
- [147] J.H. Davis, M. Fairbairn, J. Heal and P. Tunney, *The Significance of the 750 GeV Fluctuation in the ATLAS Run 2 Diphoton Data*, [arXiv:1601.03153](#) [INSPIRE].
- [148] L.V. Laperashvili, H.B. Nielsen and C.R. Das, *New results at LHC confirming the vacuum stability and Multiple Point Principle*, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* **31** (2016) 1650029 [[arXiv:1601.03231](#)] [INSPIRE].
- [149] I. Dorsner, S. Fajfer and N. Kosnik, *Is symmetry breaking of SU(5) theory responsible for the diphoton excess?*, [arXiv:1601.03267](#) [INSPIRE].
- [150] A. Djouadi, J. Ellis, R. Godbole and J. Quevillon, *Future Collider Signatures of the Possible 750 GeV State*, *JHEP* **03** (2016) 205 [[arXiv:1601.03696](#)] [INSPIRE].
- [151] A.E. Faraggi and J. Rizos, *The 750 GeV di-photon LHC excess and extra Z's in heterotic-string derived models*, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **76** (2016) 170 [[arXiv:1601.03604](#)] [INSPIRE].
- [152] T. Appelquist et al., *Strongly interacting dynamics and the search for new physics at the LHC*, [arXiv:1601.04027](#) [INSPIRE].
- [153] L.A. Harland-Lang, V.A. Khoze and M.G. Ryskin, *The photon PDF in events with rapidity gaps*, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **76** (2016) 255 [[arXiv:1601.03772](#)] [INSPIRE].
- [154] D. Bardhan, G. Bhattacharyya, D. Ghosh, M. Patra and S. Raychaudhuri, *A Detailed Analysis of Flavour-changing Decays of Top Quarks as a Probe of New Physics at the LHC*, [arXiv:1601.04165](#) [INSPIRE].
- [155] A. Ghoshal, *On Electroweak Phase Transition and Di-photon Excess with a 750 GeV Scalar Resonance*, [arXiv:1601.04291](#) [INSPIRE].
- [156] T. Nomura and H. Okada, *Four-loop Radiative Seesaw Model with 750 GeV Diphoton Resonance*, [arXiv:1601.04516](#) [INSPIRE].
- [157] W. Chao, *The Diphoton Excess Inspired Electroweak Baryogenesis*, [arXiv:1601.04678](#) [INSPIRE].
- [158] M.R. Buckley, *Wide or Narrow? The Phenomenology of 750 GeV Diphotons*, [arXiv:1601.04751](#) [INSPIRE].
- [159] H. Okada and K. Yagyu, *Renormalizable model for neutrino mass, dark matter, muon $g - 2$ and 750 GeV diphoton excess*, *Phys. Lett. B* **756** (2016) 337 [[arXiv:1601.05038](#)] [INSPIRE].
- [160] D.B. Franzosi and M.T. Frandsen, *Symmetries and composite dynamics for the 750 GeV diphoton excess*, [arXiv:1601.05357](#) [INSPIRE].
- [161] A. Martini, K. Mawatari and D. Sengupta, *Diphoton excess in phenomenological spin-2 resonance scenarios*, *Phys. Rev. D* **93** (2016) 075011 [[arXiv:1601.05729](#)] [INSPIRE].

- [162] Q.-H. Cao, Y.-Q. Gong, X. Wang, B. Yan and L.L. Yang, *One bump or two peaks: The 750 GeV diphoton excess and dark matter with a complex mediator*, *Phys. Rev. D* **93** (2016) 075034 [[arXiv:1601.06374](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [163] C.-W. Chiang and A.-L. Kuo, *Can the 750-GeV diphoton resonance be the singlet Higgs boson of custodial Higgs triplet model?*, [arXiv:1601.06394](#) [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [164] U. Aydemir and T. Mandal, *Interpretation of the 750 GeV diphoton excess with colored scalars in SO(10) grand unification*, [arXiv:1601.06761](#) [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [165] V. Branchina, E. Messina and D. Zappala, *Impact of Gravity on Vacuum Stability*, [arXiv:1601.06963](#) [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [166] S. Abel and V.V. Khoze, *Photo-production of a 750 GeV di-photon resonance mediated by Kaluza-Klein leptons in the loop*, [arXiv:1601.07167](#) [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [167] J. Kawamura and Y. Omura, *Diphoton excess at 750 GeV and LHC constraints in models with vector-like particles*, [arXiv:1601.07396](#) [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [168] L.A. Harland-Lang, V.A. Khoze and M.G. Ryskin, *The production of a diphoton resonance via photon-photon fusion*, *JHEP* **03** (2016) 182 [[arXiv:1601.07187](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [169] M.J. Dolan, J.L. Hewett, M. Krämer and T.G. Rizzo, *Simplified Models for Higgs Physics: Singlet Scalar and Vector-like Quark Phenomenology*, [arXiv:1601.07208](#) [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [170] T. Nomura and H. Okada, *Generalized Zee-Babu model with 750 GeV diphoton resonance*, *Phys. Lett. B* **756** (2016) 295 [[arXiv:1601.07339](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [171] C.-Q. Geng and D. Huang, *Note on Spin-2 Particle Interpretation of the 750 GeV Diphoton Excess*, [arXiv:1601.07385](#) [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [172] I. Ben-Dayan and R. Brustein, *Hypercharge Axion and the Diphoton 750 GeV Resonance*, [arXiv:1601.07564](#) [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [173] P. Anastopoulos and M. Bianchi, *Revisiting light stringy states in view of the 750 GeV diphoton excess*, [arXiv:1601.07584](#) [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [174] T. Robens and T. Stefaniak, *LHC Benchmark Scenarios for the Real Higgs Singlet Extension of the Standard Model*, [arXiv:1601.07880](#) [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [175] A.D. Martin and M.G. Ryskin, *Advantages of exclusive $\gamma\gamma$ production to probe high mass systems*, *J. Phys. G* **43** (2016) 04LT02 [[arXiv:1601.07774](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [176] F. del Aguila, Z. Kunszt and J. Santiago, *One-loop effective lagrangians after matching*, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **76** (2016) 244 [[arXiv:1602.00126](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [177] N.D. Barrie, A. Kobakhidze, M. Talia and L. Wu, *750 GeV Composite Axion as the LHC Diphoton Resonance*, *Phys. Lett. B* **755** (2016) 343 [[arXiv:1602.00475](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [178] X.-F. Han, L. Wang, L. Wu, J.M. Yang and M. Zhang, *Explaining 750 GeV diphoton excess from top/bottom partner cascade decay in two-Higgs-doublet model extension*, *Phys. Lett. B* **756** (2016) 309 [[arXiv:1601.00534](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [179] X.-F. Han, L. Wang and J.M. Yang, *An extension of two-Higgs-doublet model and the excesses of 750 GeV diphoton, muon $g-2$ and $h \rightarrow \mu\tau$* , *Phys. Lett. B* **757** (2016) 537 [[arXiv:1601.04954](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [180] ATLAS collaboration, *Search for high-mass diphoton resonances in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV with the ATLAS detector*, *Phys. Rev. D* **92** (2015) 032004 [[arXiv:1504.05511](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].

- [181] CMS collaboration, *Search for new resonances in the diphoton final state in the range between 150 and 850 GeV in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV*, [CMS-PAS-HIG-14-006](#).
- [182] S. Fichet, G. von Gersdorff and C. Royon, *Scattering light by light at 750 GeV at the LHC*, [Phys. Rev. D **93** \(2016\) 075031](#) [[arXiv:1512.05751](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [183] R. Franceschini et al., *What is the $\gamma\gamma$ resonance at 750 GeV?*, [JHEP **03** \(2016\) 144](#) [[arXiv:1512.04933](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [184] C. Csáki, J. Hubisz and J. Terning, *Minimal model of a diphoton resonance: Production without gluon couplings*, [Phys. Rev. D **93** \(2016\) 035002](#) [[arXiv:1512.05776](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [185] C. Csáki, J. Hubisz, S. Lombardo and J. Terning, *Gluon vs. Photon Production of a 750 GeV Diphoton Resonance*, [arXiv:1601.00638](#) [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [186] A. Falkowski, O. Slone and T. Volansky, *Phenomenology of a 750 GeV Singlet*, [JHEP **02** \(2016\) 152](#) [[arXiv:1512.05777](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [187] CMS collaboration, *Search for dark matter, extra dimensions and unparticles in monojet events in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV*, [Eur. Phys. J. C **75** \(2015\) 235](#) [[arXiv:1408.3583](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [188] ATLAS collaboration, *Search for new phenomena in final states with an energetic jet and large missing transverse momentum in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV with the ATLAS detector*, [Eur. Phys. J. C **75** \(2015\) 299](#) [[arXiv:1502.01518](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [189] B.A. Dobrescu, G.L. Landsberg and K.T. Matchev, *Higgs boson decays to CP odd scalars at the Tevatron and beyond*, [Phys. Rev. D **63** \(2001\) 075003](#) [[hep-ph/0005308](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [190] S. Chang, P.J. Fox and N. Weiner, *Visible Cascade Higgs Decays to Four Photons at Hadron Colliders*, [Phys. Rev. Lett. **98** \(2007\) 111802](#) [[hep-ph/0608310](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [191] N. Toro and I. Yavin, *Multiphotons and photon jets from new heavy vector bosons*, [Phys. Rev. D **86** \(2012\) 055005](#) [[arXiv:1202.6377](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [192] P. Draper and D. McKeen, *Diphotons from Tetrachotons in the Decay of a 125 GeV Higgs at the LHC*, [Phys. Rev. D **85** \(2012\) 115023](#) [[arXiv:1204.1061](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [193] S.D. Ellis, T.S. Roy and J. Scholtz, *Phenomenology of Photon-Jets*, [Phys. Rev. D **87** \(2013\) 014015](#) [[arXiv:1210.3657](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [194] S.D. Ellis, T.S. Roy and J. Scholtz, *Jets and Photons*, [Phys. Rev. Lett. **110** \(2013\) 122003](#) [[arXiv:1210.1855](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [195] D. Curtin et al., *Exotic decays of the 125 GeV Higgs boson*, [Phys. Rev. D **90** \(2014\) 075004](#) [[arXiv:1312.4992](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [196] S. Knapen, T. Melia, M. Papucci and K. Zurek, *Rays of light from the LHC*, [Phys. Rev. D **93** \(2016\) 075020](#) [[arXiv:1512.04928](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [197] P. Agrawal, J. Fan, B. Heidenreich, M. Reece and M. Strassler, *Experimental Considerations Motivated by the Diphoton Excess at the LHC*, [arXiv:1512.05775](#) [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [198] J. Chang, K. Cheung and C.-T. Lu, *Interpreting the 750 GeV diphoton resonance using photon jets in hidden-valley-like models*, [Phys. Rev. D **93** \(2016\) 075013](#) [[arXiv:1512.06671](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [199] X.-J. Bi et al., *A Promising Interpretation of Diphoton Resonance at 750 GeV*, [arXiv:1512.08497](#) [[INSPIRE](#)].

- [200] M. Chala, M. Duerr, F. Kahlhoefer and K. Schmidt-Hoberg, *Tricking Landau-Yang: How to obtain the diphoton excess from a vector resonance*, *Phys. Lett. B* **755** (2016) 145 [[arXiv:1512.06833](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [201] J. Jaeckel and A. Ringwald, *The Low-Energy Frontier of Particle Physics*, *Ann. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci.* **60** (2010) 405 [[arXiv:1002.0329](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [202] B. Döbrich, J. Jaeckel, F. Kahlhoefer, A. Ringwald and K. Schmidt-Hoberg, *ALPtraum: ALP production in proton beam dump experiments*, *JHEP* **02** (2016) 018 [[arXiv:1512.03069](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [203] J. Blumlein et al., *Limits on neutral light scalar and pseudoscalar particles in a proton beam dump experiment*, *Z. Phys. C* **51** (1991) 341 [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [204] NA62 collaboration, M. Krivda, *The NA62 trigger system*, *Nucl. Instrum. Meth. A* **718** (2013) 164 [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [205] SHiP collaboration, M. Anelli et al., *A facility to Search for Hidden Particles (SHiP) at the CERN SPS*, [arXiv:1504.04956](#) [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [206] S. Alekhin et al., *A facility to Search for Hidden Particles at the CERN SPS: the SHiP physics case*, [arXiv:1504.04855](#) [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [207] E949 collaboration, V.V. Anisimovsky et al., *Improved measurement of the $K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \nu \bar{\nu}$ branching ratio*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **93** (2004) 031801 [[hep-ex/0403036](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [208] J.R. Ellis, M.K. Gaillard and D.V. Nanopoulos, *A Phenomenological Profile of the Higgs Boson*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **106** (1976) 292 [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [209] M.A. Shifman, A.I. Vainshtein, M.B. Voloshin and V.I. Zakharov, *Low-Energy Theorems for Higgs Boson Couplings to Photons*, *Sov. J. Nucl. Phys.* **30** (1979) 711 [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [210] ATLAS collaboration, *Measurement of the inclusive isolated prompt photon cross section in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV with the ATLAS detector*, *Phys. Rev. D* **83** (2011) 052005 [[arXiv:1012.4389](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [211] CMS collaboration, *Searches for long-lived charged particles in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ and 8 TeV*, *JHEP* **07** (2013) 122 [[arXiv:1305.0491](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [212] ATLAS collaboration, *Search for anomalous production of prompt same-sign lepton pairs and pair-produced doubly charged Higgs bosons with $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV pp collisions using the ATLAS detector*, *JHEP* **03** (2015) 041 [[arXiv:1412.0237](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].