

APPENDIX A THE DISPLACEMENT IN THE SMALL-DEFORMATION REGIME

Since

$$\dot{x}_0 = \sum_{n=1}^N v_n(\epsilon) \dot{\epsilon}_n \quad \text{where} \quad v_n(\epsilon) := -\frac{L}{2} \frac{(1 + \epsilon_n)^{1-p} + 2 \sum_{j=n+1}^N (1 + \epsilon_j)^{1-p}}{\sum_{j=1}^N (1 + \epsilon_j)^{1-p}}, \quad (1)$$

we have

$$\{v_\epsilon(\epsilon)\}_{ij} := \frac{\partial v_i}{\partial \epsilon_j} = \begin{cases} -\frac{L}{2}(1-p) \frac{2 \sum_{n=1}^N (1+\epsilon_n)^{1-p} - \left((1+\epsilon_i)^{1-p} + 2 \sum_{n=i+1}^N (1+\epsilon_n)^{1-p} \right)}{(1+\epsilon_j)^p \left(\sum_{n=1}^N (1+\epsilon_n)^{1-p} \right)^2} & \text{if } i < j \\ -\frac{L}{2}(1-p) \frac{\sum_{n=1}^N (1+\epsilon_n)^{1-p} - \left((1+\epsilon_i)^{1-p} + 2 \sum_{n=i+1}^N (1+\epsilon_n)^{1-p} \right)}{(1+\epsilon_j)^p \left(\sum_{n=1}^N (1+\epsilon_n)^{1-p} \right)^2} & \text{if } i = j \\ \frac{L}{2}(1-p) \frac{(1+\epsilon_i)^{1-p} + 2 \sum_{n=i+1}^N (1+\epsilon_n)^{1-p}}{(1+\epsilon_j)^p \left(\sum_{n=1}^N (1+\epsilon_n)^{1-p} \right)^2} & \text{if } i > j \end{cases}$$

whence

$$\{v_\epsilon(\mathbf{0})\}_{ij} = \begin{cases} L(p-1) \frac{2i-1}{2N^2} & \text{if } i < j \\ L(p-1) \frac{2i-N-1}{2N^2} & \text{if } i = j \\ L(p-1) \frac{2i-2N-1}{2N^2} & \text{if } i > j \end{cases}.$$

Since \mathbb{V} is the skew-symmetric part of $v_\epsilon(\mathbf{0})$, we have

$$\{\mathbb{V}\}_{ij} = \begin{cases} L(p-1) \frac{i-j+N}{2N^2} & \text{if } i < j \\ 0 & \text{if } i = j \\ -L(p-1) \frac{j-i+N}{2N^2} & \text{if } i > j \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

which is a Toeplitz matrix, indeed

$$\{\mathbb{V}\}_{(i+1)(j+1)} = \{\mathbb{V}\}_{ij} \quad \forall i, j \in \{1, \dots, N-1\}$$

i.e., each descending diagonal from left to right is constant. Therefore, the matrix \mathbb{V} turns out to be “skew-centrosymmetric”, i.e., skew-symmetric about its center or, equivalently,

$$\{\mathbb{V}\}_{ij} = -\{\mathbb{V}\}_{(N+1-i)(N+1-j)} \quad \forall i, j = 1, \dots, N.$$

APPENDIX B EULER-LAGRANGE EQUATIONS

The Euler-Lagrange equations associated with the isoperimetric optimization problem (20) in the main text, i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{\epsilon \in \mathcal{S}} \quad & V[\epsilon, \dot{\epsilon}] := \int_0^T \dot{\epsilon} \cdot \mathbb{V} \epsilon \, dt \\ \mathcal{S} = \left\{ \epsilon \in C^2(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^N) \mid \right. & \left. \epsilon(0) = \epsilon(T) \wedge \int_0^T (\mathbb{A} \epsilon \cdot \epsilon + \mathbb{B} \dot{\epsilon} \cdot \dot{\epsilon}) \, dt \equiv c \right\}, \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

led to the system of second order linear ODEs

$$\mathbb{V} \dot{\epsilon} = \lambda (\mathbb{B} \ddot{\epsilon} - \mathbb{A} \epsilon) \quad (4)$$

where \mathbb{V} is Toeplitz and skew-symmetric while \mathbb{A} and \mathbb{B} are supposed to be symmetric and positive definite.

In the following subsections we address problem (3) for two particular cases.

B1. Solutions for $\mathbb{A} \equiv 0$

For $\mathbb{A} \equiv 0$, equation (4) becomes

$$\mathbb{V} \dot{\epsilon} = \lambda \mathbb{B} \ddot{\epsilon}. \quad (5)$$

The strategy is to decompose (5) along the eigen-elements of

$$\mathbb{M} := \mathbb{B}^{-\frac{1}{2}} \mathbb{V} \mathbb{B}^{-\frac{1}{2}}$$

which is supposed to have N distinct eigenvalues for simplicity, in fact it would be sufficient to assume that the eigenspaces associated with the maximum-modulus eigenvalues have dimension 1. In particular, \mathbb{M} is a skew-symmetric matrix and, as such, its eigenvalues are purely imaginary and, a part from 0, they go by pairs since to every purely imaginary eigenvalue there corresponds its conjugate (with the same multiplicity). This implies that 0 is an eigenvalue of \mathbb{M} if and only if N is odd.

For the sake of clarity, let us assume that N is odd (if N is even the same argument can be applied by neglecting the eigenvector associated with 0). Thus, consider

$$\mathbf{v}_j^\pm \quad \text{for } j = 1, \dots, \lfloor \frac{N}{2} \rfloor =: N^*$$

(complex and orthonormal) eigenvectors associated with the purely imaginary eigenvalue

$$\pm i \mu_j \quad \text{with } \mu_j > 0$$

and \mathbf{v}_0 , eigenvector associated with $\mu_0 = 0$, so that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{M} \mathbf{v}_0 &= \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbb{M} \mathbf{v}_j^\pm &= \pm i \mu_j \mathbf{v}_j^\pm \quad \text{for } j = 1, \dots, N^*. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\mathbb{B}^{\frac{1}{2}} \boldsymbol{\epsilon}(t) = \sum_{j=1}^{N^*} (\psi_j^+(t) \mathbf{v}_j^+ + \psi_j^-(t) \mathbf{v}_j^-) + \psi_0(t) \mathbf{v}_0$$

and from (5) we get

$$\begin{cases} \lambda \ddot{\psi}_j^\pm = \pm i \mu_j \dot{\psi}_j^\pm & \text{for } j = 1, \dots, N^* \\ \lambda \ddot{\psi}_0 = 0 \end{cases}$$

whence

$$\begin{cases} \psi_j^\pm(t) = \frac{\lambda \alpha_j^\pm}{\pm i \mu_j} e^{\pm i \frac{\mu_j}{\lambda} t} + \gamma_j^\pm & \text{for } j = 1, \dots, N^* \\ \psi_0(t) = \alpha_0 t + \gamma_0 \end{cases}$$

where α_j^\pm , γ_j^\pm , α_0 and γ_0 are complex constants; in particular, the constants γ_j^\pm and γ_0 determine the initial condition $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}(0)$ and hence, for simplicity, we can assume that $\gamma_0 = 0$ and $\gamma_j^\pm = 0$ for $j = 1, \dots, N^*$. Therefore, up to a constant, a solution to (5) can be written as

$$\boldsymbol{\epsilon}(t) = \sum_{j=1}^{N^*} \left(\frac{\lambda \alpha_j^+}{i \mu_j} e^{i \frac{\mu_j}{\lambda} t} \mathbb{B}^{-\frac{1}{2}} \mathbf{v}_j^+ - \frac{\lambda \alpha_j^-}{i \mu_j} e^{-i \frac{\mu_j}{\lambda} t} \mathbb{B}^{-\frac{1}{2}} \mathbf{v}_j^- \right) + \alpha_0 t \mathbb{B}^{-\frac{1}{2}} \mathbf{v}_0 \quad \text{for } t \in [0, T].$$

Moreover, since a solution to (3) must be periodic, i.e., $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}(0) = \boldsymbol{\epsilon}(T)$, it turns out that

$$\begin{cases} \alpha_0 = 0 \\ \lambda = \frac{\mu_j T}{2\pi k_j} \quad \text{where } k_j \in \mathbb{N} \quad \text{for } j = 1, \dots, N^* \end{cases}.$$

On the other hand,

$$V[\boldsymbol{\epsilon}, \dot{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}] = - \int_0^T \nabla \dot{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}} \cdot \boldsymbol{\epsilon} dt = - \int_0^T \lambda \mathbb{B} \dot{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}} \cdot \boldsymbol{\epsilon} dt = \lambda \int_0^T \mathbb{B} \dot{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}} \cdot \dot{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}} dt = \lambda E[\boldsymbol{\epsilon}, \dot{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}]$$

where $E[\boldsymbol{\epsilon}, \dot{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}] = c$ is constrained by the optimization problem. Then maximizing the approximated displacement leads to take λ as big as possible i.e.,

$$\lambda = \frac{\mu_M T}{2\pi} \quad \text{where } \mu_M := \max_{j=1, \dots, N^*} \mu_j$$

and, in order to preserve the periodicity,

$$\alpha_j^\pm = 0 \quad \text{for } j \neq M$$

yielding to

$$\boldsymbol{\epsilon}(t) = \frac{\alpha_M^+ e^{\frac{2i\pi t}{T}}}{\frac{2i\pi}{T}} \mathbb{B}^{-\frac{1}{2}} \mathbf{v}_M^+ - \frac{\alpha_M^- e^{-\frac{2i\pi t}{T}}}{\frac{2i\pi}{T}} \mathbb{B}^{-\frac{1}{2}} \mathbf{v}_M^-$$

where \mathbf{v}_M^- is the conjugate of \mathbf{v}_M^+ and, since $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}(t)$ must be in \mathbb{R}^N , α_M^+ is the conjugate of α_M^- and needs to fulfill

$$\|\alpha_M^+\| = \sqrt{\frac{c}{2T}} \quad (6)$$

indeed

$$E[\boldsymbol{\epsilon}, \dot{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}] = T \sum_{j=1}^{N^*} (\|\alpha_j^+\|^2 + \|\alpha_j^-\|^2) = 2T \|\alpha_M^+\|^2.$$

Therefore we can finally conclude that a solution to (5) has the form

$$\boldsymbol{\epsilon}(t) = \frac{\alpha e^{\frac{2i\pi t}{T}}}{\frac{2i\pi}{T}} \mathbb{B}^{-\frac{1}{2}} \mathbf{v} - \frac{\bar{\alpha} e^{-\frac{2i\pi t}{T}}}{\frac{2i\pi}{T}} \mathbb{B}^{-\frac{1}{2}} \bar{\mathbf{v}}$$

where the bar denotes complex conjugation. Finally, this expression can be rewritten as

$$\boldsymbol{\epsilon}(t) = -\frac{T}{\pi} \Re \left(\alpha i e^{\frac{2i\pi t}{T}} \mathbf{e} \right) \quad \text{where } \mathbf{e} := \mathbb{B}^{-\frac{1}{2}} \mathbf{v} \quad \text{and} \quad \|\alpha\| = \sqrt{\frac{c}{2T}}. \quad (7)$$

Notice that for maximizing the displacement in the opposite direction we should consider

$$\lambda = -\frac{\mu_M T}{2\pi} \quad \text{where } \mu_M := \max_{j=1, \dots, N^*} \mu_M$$

so that (7) becomes

$$\boldsymbol{\epsilon}(t) = \frac{T}{\pi} \Re \left(\alpha i e^{-\frac{2i\pi t}{T}} \mathbf{e} \right).$$

B2. Solutions for $\mathbb{B} \equiv 0$

For $\mathbb{B} \equiv 0$, equation (4) becomes

$$\mathbb{V} \dot{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}} = -\lambda \mathbb{A} \boldsymbol{\epsilon}. \quad (8)$$

The strategy is, as before, to decompose (8) along the eigen-elements of

$$\mathbb{M} := \mathbb{A}^{-\frac{1}{2}} \mathbb{V} \mathbb{A}^{-\frac{1}{2}}$$

which is supposed to have N distinct eigenvalues for simplicity, in fact it would be sufficient to assume that the eigenspaces associated with the maximum-modulus eigenvalues have dimension 1. In particular, \mathbb{M} is a skew-symmetric matrix and, as such, its eigenvalues are purely imaginary and, apart from 0, they go by pairs since to every purely imaginary eigenvalue there corresponds its conjugate (with the same multiplicity). This implies that 0 is an eigenvalue of \mathbb{M} if and only if N is odd.

For the sake of clarity, let us assume that N is odd (if N is even the same argument can be applied by neglecting the eigenvector associated with 0). Thus, consider

$$\mathbf{v}_j^\pm \quad \text{for } j = 1, \dots, \lfloor \frac{N}{2} \rfloor =: N^*$$

(complex and orthonormal) eigenvectors associated with the purely imaginary eigenvalue

$$\pm i \mu_j \quad \text{with } \mu_j > 0$$

and \mathbf{v}_0 , eigenvector associated with $\mu_0 := 0$, so that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{M}\mathbf{v}_0 &= \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbb{M}\mathbf{v}_j^\pm &= \pm i\mu_j\mathbf{v}_j^\pm \quad \text{for } j = 1, \dots, N^*. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\mathbb{A}^{\frac{1}{2}}\boldsymbol{\epsilon}(t) = \sum_{j=1}^{N^*} (\psi_j^+(t)\mathbf{v}_j^+ + \psi_j^-(t)\mathbf{v}_j^-) + \psi_0(t)\mathbf{v}_0$$

and from (8) we get

$$\begin{cases} \pm i\mu_j\psi_j^\pm = -\lambda\psi_j^\pm & \text{for } j = 1, \dots, N^* \\ \lambda\psi_0 = 0 \end{cases}$$

whence

$$\begin{cases} \psi_j^\pm(t) = \alpha_j^\pm e^{\pm i\lambda t} & \text{for } j = 1, \dots, N^* \\ \psi_0(t) \equiv 0 \end{cases}$$

where α_j^\pm are complex constants. Therefore a solution to (8) can be written as

$$\boldsymbol{\epsilon}(t) = \sum_{j=1}^{N^*} \left(\alpha_j^+ e^{i\lambda t} \mathbb{A}^{-\frac{1}{2}} \mathbf{v}_j^+ - \alpha_j^- e^{-i\lambda t} \mathbb{A}^{-\frac{1}{2}} \mathbf{v}_j^- \right) \quad \text{for } t \in [0, T].$$

Moreover, since a solution to (3) must be periodic, i.e., $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}(0) = \boldsymbol{\epsilon}(T)$, it turns out that

$$\lambda = \frac{2\pi k_j \mu_j}{T} \quad \text{where } k_j \in \mathbb{N} \quad \text{for } j = 1, \dots, N^*.$$

On the other hand,

$$V[\boldsymbol{\epsilon}, \dot{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}] = - \int_0^T \nabla \dot{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}} \cdot \boldsymbol{\epsilon} dt = \lambda \int_0^T \mathbb{A}\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \cdot \boldsymbol{\epsilon} dt = \lambda E[\boldsymbol{\epsilon}, \dot{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}]$$

where $E[\boldsymbol{\epsilon}, \dot{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}] = c$ is constrained by the optimization problem. Then maximizing the approximated displacement leads to take λ as big as possible but, since in principle k_j could tend to infinity, in order to have a meaningful problem, we restrict our attention to shape changes with unitary time frequency (one wave per period), that is $k_j = 1$ and hence

$$\lambda = \frac{2\pi\mu_M}{T} \quad \text{where } \mu_M := \max_{j=1, \dots, N^*} \mu_M.$$

In order to preserve the periodicity,

$$\alpha_j^\pm = 0 \quad \text{for } j \neq M$$

yielding to

$$\boldsymbol{\epsilon}(t) = \alpha_M^+ e^{\frac{2i\pi t}{T}} \mathbb{B}^{-\frac{1}{2}} \mathbf{v}_M^+ - \alpha_M^- e^{-\frac{2i\pi t}{T}} \mathbb{B}^{-\frac{1}{2}} \mathbf{v}_M^-$$

where \mathbf{v}_M^- is the conjugate of \mathbf{v}_M^+ and, since $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}(t)$ must be in \mathbb{R}^N , α_M^+ is the conjugate of α_M^- and needs to fulfill

$$\|\alpha_M^+\| = \sqrt{\frac{c}{2T}} \quad (9)$$

indeed

$$E[\boldsymbol{\epsilon}, \dot{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}] = T \sum_{j=1}^{N^*} (\|\alpha_j^+\|^2 + \|\alpha_j^-\|^2) = 2T \|\alpha_M^+\|^2.$$

We conclude that a solution to (8) has the form

$$\boldsymbol{\epsilon}(t) = \alpha e^{\frac{2i\pi t}{T}} \mathbb{B}^{-\frac{1}{2}} \mathbf{v} - \bar{\alpha} e^{-\frac{2i\pi t}{T}} \mathbb{B}^{-\frac{1}{2}} \bar{\mathbf{v}}$$

where the bar denotes complex conjugation. This expression can be rewritten as

$$\boldsymbol{\epsilon}(t) = 2\Re \left(\alpha e^{\frac{2i\pi t}{T}} \mathbf{e} \right) \quad \text{where} \quad \mathbf{e} := \mathbb{B}^{-\frac{1}{2}} \mathbf{v} \quad \text{and} \quad \|\alpha\| = \sqrt{\frac{c}{2T}}. \quad (10)$$

Notice that for maximizing the displacement in the opposite direction we should consider

$$\lambda = -\frac{2\pi\mu_M}{T} \quad \text{where} \quad \mu_M := \max_{j=1, \dots, N^*} \mu_M$$

so that the solution has the form

$$\boldsymbol{\epsilon}(t) = 2\Re \left(\alpha e^{-\frac{2i\pi t}{T}} \mathbf{e} \right).$$

B3. Symmetry properties

Consider

$$E[\boldsymbol{\epsilon}, \dot{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}] := \int_0^T (\mathbb{A} \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \cdot \boldsymbol{\epsilon} + \mathbb{B} \dot{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}} \cdot \dot{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}) dt$$

where $\mathbb{A} \equiv 0$ and B is centrosymmetric (resp. A is centrosymmetric and $\mathbb{B} \equiv 0$).

As a well-known result about centrosymmetric and skew-centrosymmetric matrices (e.g., Collar, 1962),

$$\mathbb{K}^T \mathbb{B} \mathbb{K} = \mathbb{B} \quad (\text{resp. } \mathbb{K}^T \mathbb{A} \mathbb{K} = \mathbb{A})$$

and

$$\mathbb{K}^T \mathbb{V} \mathbb{K} = -\mathbb{V},$$

where

$$\mathbb{K} := \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times N}.$$

From the previous subsections, a solution to (3) has the form

$$\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^*(t) = -\frac{T}{\pi} \Re \left(\alpha i e^{\frac{2i\pi t}{T}} \mathbf{e} \right) \quad \left(\text{resp. } \boldsymbol{\epsilon}^*(t) = 2\Re \left(\alpha e^{\frac{2i\pi t}{T}} \mathbf{e} \right) \right) \quad \text{where} \quad \|\alpha\| = \sqrt{\frac{c}{2T}}.$$

Notice that

$$\boldsymbol{\eta}^*(t) := \mathbb{K}\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^*(t) = -\frac{T}{\pi} \Re \left(\alpha i e^{\frac{2i\pi t}{T}} \mathbb{K}\mathbf{e} \right) \quad \left(\text{resp. } \boldsymbol{\eta}^*(t) := \mathbb{K}\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^*(t) = 2\Re \left(\alpha e^{\frac{2i\pi t}{T}} \mathbb{K}\mathbf{e} \right) \right)$$

is a solution to

$$\min_{\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \in \mathcal{S}} -V[\boldsymbol{\epsilon}, \dot{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}] := -\int_0^T \dot{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}} \cdot \nabla \boldsymbol{\epsilon} dt. \quad (11)$$

Indeed

$$E[\boldsymbol{\eta}^*, \boldsymbol{\eta}^*] = E[\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^*, \dot{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}^*] = c$$

and

$$V[\boldsymbol{\eta}^*, \boldsymbol{\eta}^*] = \int_0^T \mathbb{K}\dot{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}^* \cdot \nabla \mathbb{K}\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^* dt = -\int_0^T \dot{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}^* \cdot \nabla \boldsymbol{\epsilon}^* dt = -V[\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^*, \dot{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}^*] = -\max_{\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \in \mathcal{S}} V[\boldsymbol{\epsilon}, \dot{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}] = \min_{\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \in \mathcal{S}} (-V[\boldsymbol{\epsilon}, \dot{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}]).$$

Since $\boldsymbol{\eta}^*(t)$ is a solution to (11), it must be of the form

$$\boldsymbol{\eta}^*(t) = \frac{T}{\pi} \Re \left(\beta i e^{-\frac{2i\pi t}{T}} \mathbf{e} \right) \quad \left(\text{resp. } \boldsymbol{\eta}^*(t) = 2\Re \left(\beta e^{-\frac{2i\pi t}{T}} \mathbf{e} \right) \right) \quad \text{where } \|\beta\| = \sqrt{\frac{c}{2T}}.$$

Therefore

$$-\Re \left(\alpha i e^{\frac{2i\pi t}{T}} \mathbb{K}\mathbf{e} \right) = \Re \left(\beta i e^{-\frac{2i\pi t}{T}} \mathbf{e} \right) \quad \left(\text{resp. } \Re \left(\alpha e^{\frac{2i\pi t}{T}} \mathbb{K}\mathbf{e} \right) = \Re \left(\beta e^{-\frac{2i\pi t}{T}} \mathbf{e} \right) \right) \quad \forall t \in [0, T],$$

namely, for $n = 1, \dots, N$, the real parts of $i\beta e_n$ and $-i\alpha(\mathbb{K}\mathbf{e})_n$ (resp. βe_n and $\alpha(\mathbb{K}\mathbf{e})_n$) coincide for any simultaneous opposite rotation (i.e., multiplication by $e^{-\frac{2i\pi t}{T}}$ and $e^{\frac{2i\pi t}{T}}$ $\forall t \in [0, T]$), whence

$$\mathbb{K}\mathbf{e} = e^{i\vartheta} \bar{\mathbf{e}}$$

for some suitable $\vartheta \in [0, 2\pi)$. In particular,

$$e_{N+1-n} = e^{i\vartheta} \bar{e}_n \quad \forall n = 1, \dots, N$$

and hence

- moduli are symmetric about the center, i.e.,

$$\|e_{N+1-n}\| = \|e_n\| \quad \forall n = 1, \dots, N;$$

- phase differences between adjacent segments are symmetric about the center, i.e.,

$$e_{n+1} e_{N+1-n} = e_{N-n} e_n \quad \forall n = 1, \dots, N.$$

APPENDIX C DISSIPATION

C1. The first term of the dissipation rate: the power

By definition,

$$d_1(t, \epsilon, \dot{\epsilon}) := \int_0^{NL} -\frac{1}{\mu} f(x_0(t) + s(X, t), t) s'(X, t) \dot{\chi}(X, t) dX$$

where, for $X \in [X_{n-1} := (n-1)L, X_n := nL]$ (i.e., n -th segment),

$$\dot{\chi}(X, t) = \dot{x}_0(t) + \dot{s}(X, t) = \dot{x}_0(t) + [X - (n-1)L] \dot{\epsilon}_n(t) + L \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \dot{\epsilon}_i(t),$$

$$f(x_0(t) + s(X, t), t) = (1 + \epsilon(X, t))^{-p} \dot{\chi}(X, t)$$

$$s'(X, t) = 1 + \epsilon(X, t).$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} d_1(t, \epsilon, \dot{\epsilon}) &= \int_0^{NL} (1 + \epsilon(X, t))^{1-p} \dot{\chi}^2(X, t) dX \\ &= \int_0^{NL} (1 + \epsilon(X, t))^{1-p} \left[\dot{x}_0(t) + (X - (n-1)L) \dot{\epsilon}_n(t) + L \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \dot{\epsilon}_i(t) \right]^2 dX = \sum_{n=1}^N D_n \end{aligned}$$

where, for all $n = 1, \dots, N$,

$$\begin{aligned} D_n &:= \int_{(n-1)L}^{nL} (1 + \epsilon_n)^{1-p} \left[\dot{x}_0 + L \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \dot{\epsilon}_i + (X - (n-1)L) \dot{\epsilon}_n \right]^2 dX \\ &= (1 + \epsilon_n)^{1-p} \int_{(n-1)L}^{nL} \left\{ \left[\dot{x}_0 + L \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \dot{\epsilon}_i \right]^2 + 2 \left[\dot{x}_0 + L \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \dot{\epsilon}_i \right] (X - (n-1)L) \dot{\epsilon}_n \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \dot{\epsilon}_n^2 (X - (n-1)L)^2 \right\} dX \\ &= (1 + \epsilon_n)^{1-p} \left\{ \left[\dot{x}_0 + L \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \dot{\epsilon}_i \right]^2 L + \left[\dot{x}_0 + L \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \dot{\epsilon}_i \right] \dot{\epsilon}_n (X - (n-1)L)^2 \Big|_{(n-1)L}^{nL} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \dot{\epsilon}_n^2 \frac{(X - (n-1)L)^3}{3} \Big|_{(n-1)L}^{nL} \right\} \\ &= \frac{L}{3} (1 + \epsilon_n)^{1-p} \left\{ 3 \left[\dot{x}_0 + L \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \dot{\epsilon}_i \right]^2 + 3L \left[\dot{x}_0 + L \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \dot{\epsilon}_i \right] \dot{\epsilon}_n + \dot{\epsilon}_n^2 L^2 \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

In view of (1),

$$\dot{x}_0 + L \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \dot{\epsilon}_i = \sum_{n=1}^N v_n \dot{\epsilon}_n + L \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \dot{\epsilon}_i = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (L + v_i) \dot{\epsilon}_i + \sum_{i=n}^N v_i \dot{\epsilon}_i \quad \forall n = 1, \dots, N$$

whence

$$\begin{aligned} D_n &= \frac{L}{3} (1 + \epsilon_n)^{1-p} \left\{ 3 \left[\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} (L + v_j) \dot{\epsilon}_j + \sum_{i=n}^N v_j \dot{\epsilon}_j \right]^2 + 3L \left[\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} (L + v_j) \dot{\epsilon}_j + \sum_{j=n}^N v_j \dot{\epsilon}_j \right] \dot{\epsilon}_n + \dot{\epsilon}_n^2 L^2 \right\} \\ &= \frac{L}{3} (1 + \epsilon_n)^{1-p} \left\{ 3 \left[\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} (L + v_j)^2 \dot{\epsilon}_j^2 + 2 \sum_{\substack{i,j=1 \\ i < j}}^{n-1} (L + v_j)(L + v_i) \dot{\epsilon}_i \dot{\epsilon}_j + \sum_{j=n}^N v_j^2 \dot{\epsilon}_j^2 + 2 \sum_{\substack{i,j=n \\ i < j}}^N v_i v_j \dot{\epsilon}_i \dot{\epsilon}_j \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. + 2 \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \sum_{j=n}^N (L + v_i) v_j \dot{\epsilon}_i \dot{\epsilon}_j \right] + 3L \left[\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} (L + v_j) \dot{\epsilon}_j + \sum_{j=n}^N v_j \dot{\epsilon}_j \right] \dot{\epsilon}_n + \dot{\epsilon}_n^2 L^2 \right\} \\ &= \frac{L}{3} (1 + \epsilon_n)^{1-p} \left\{ L^2 \dot{\epsilon}_n^2 + 3 \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} (L + v_j)^2 \dot{\epsilon}_j^2 + 3 \sum_{j=n}^N v_j^2 \dot{\epsilon}_j^2 + 3L \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} (L + v_j) \dot{\epsilon}_j \dot{\epsilon}_n + 3L \sum_{j=n}^N v_j \dot{\epsilon}_j \dot{\epsilon}_n \right. \\ &\quad \left. + 2 \sum_{\substack{i,j=1 \\ i < j}}^{n-1} 3(L + v_j)(L + v_i) \dot{\epsilon}_i \dot{\epsilon}_j + 2 \sum_{\substack{i,j=n \\ i < j}}^N 3v_i v_j \dot{\epsilon}_i \dot{\epsilon}_j + 2 \sum_{j=n}^N \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} 3(L + v_i) v_j \dot{\epsilon}_i \dot{\epsilon}_j \right\} \\ &= \frac{L}{3} (1 + \epsilon_n)^{1-p} \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^N a_j^{(n)}(\epsilon) \dot{\epsilon}_j^2 + \dot{\epsilon}_j^2 + 2 \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq n}}^N b_j^{(n)}(\epsilon) \dot{\epsilon}_j \dot{\epsilon}_n + 2 \sum_{\substack{i,j=1 \\ i < j}}^{n-1} c_{ij}(\epsilon) \dot{\epsilon}_i \dot{\epsilon}_j + 2 \sum_{\substack{i,j=n+1 \\ i < j}}^N d_{ij}(\epsilon) \dot{\epsilon}_i \dot{\epsilon}_j \right. \\ &\quad \left. + 2 \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \sum_{j=n+1}^N e_{ij}(\epsilon) \dot{\epsilon}_i \dot{\epsilon}_j \right\} \end{aligned}$$

where

$$a_j^{(n)}(\epsilon) := \begin{cases} 3(L + v_j)^2 & \text{if } j \leq n-1 \\ L^2 + 3Lv_n + 3v_n^2 & \text{if } j = n \\ 3v_j^2 & \text{if } j \geq n+1 \end{cases}, \quad b_j^{(n)}(\epsilon) := \begin{cases} 3(L + v_j)(\frac{L}{2} + v_n) & \text{if } j \leq n-1 \\ 3v_j(\frac{L}{2} + v_n) & \text{if } j \geq n+1 \end{cases}, \\ c_{ij}(\epsilon) := 3(L + v_i)(L + v_j), \quad d_{ij}(\epsilon) := 3L + v_i v_j, \quad \text{and} \quad e_{ij}(\epsilon) := 3(L + v_i) v_j.$$

Then

$$D_n = \frac{L}{3} (1 + \epsilon_n)^{1-p} [\dot{\epsilon} \cdot \mathbb{D}_n(\epsilon) \dot{\epsilon}]$$

where, for $n = 1, \dots, N$,

$$\mathbb{D}_n(\boldsymbol{\epsilon}) := \begin{bmatrix} a_1^{(n)} & c_{12} & \cdots & c_{1,n-1} & b_1^{(n)} & e_{1,n+1} & \cdots & \cdots & e_{1,N} \\ c_{12} & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & c_{n-2,n-1} & \vdots & \vdots & & & \vdots \\ c_{1,n-1} & \cdots & c_{n-2,n-1} & a_{n-1}^{(n)} & b_{n-1}^{(n)} & e_{n-1,n+1} & \cdots & \cdots & e_{n-1,N} \\ b_1^{(n)} & \cdots & \cdots & b_{n-1}^{(n)} & a_n^{(n)} & b_{n+1}^{(n)} & \cdots & \cdots & b_N^{(n)} \\ e_{1,n+1} & \cdots & \cdots & e_{n-1,n+1} & b_{n+1}^{(n)} & a_{n+1}^{(n)} & d_{n+1,n+2} & \cdots & d_{n+1,N} \\ \vdots & & & \vdots & \vdots & d_{n+1,n+2} & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & & & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & d_{N-1,N} \\ e_{1,N} & \cdots & \cdots & e_{n-1,N} & b_N^{(n)} & d_{n+1,N} & \cdots & d_{N-1,N} & a_N^{(n)} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Thus

$$d_1(t, \boldsymbol{\epsilon}, \dot{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}) = \sum_{n=1}^N D_n = \sum_{n=1}^N \frac{L}{3} (1 + \epsilon_n)^{1-p} [\dot{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}} \cdot \mathbb{D}_n(\boldsymbol{\epsilon}) \dot{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}] = \dot{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}} \cdot \mathbb{D}(\boldsymbol{\epsilon}) \dot{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}$$

where

$$\mathbb{D}(\boldsymbol{\epsilon}) := \frac{L}{3} \sum_{n=1}^N (1 + \epsilon_n)^{1-p} \mathbb{D}_n(\boldsymbol{\epsilon}). \quad (12)$$

C2. Operator \mathbb{G}

We recall that, by definition,

$$\mathbb{G} := \mathbb{D}(\mathbf{0}) + w\mathbb{I}_N = \frac{L}{3} \sum_{n=1}^N \mathbb{D}_n(\mathbf{0}) + w\mathbb{I}_N.$$

From (1)

$$v_n(\mathbf{0}) = -\frac{L}{2} \frac{2(N-n)+1}{N} \quad \text{for } n = 1, \dots, N,$$

and hence

$$a_j^{(n)}(\mathbf{0}) = \begin{cases} \frac{3L^2}{4N^2} (2j-1)^2 & \text{if } j < n \\ \frac{3L^2}{4N^2} \left[\frac{4}{3}N^2 + 2N(1-2n) + 4n(n-1) + 1 \right] & \text{if } j = n \\ \frac{3L^2}{4N^2} [2(N-j)+1]^2 & \text{if } j > n \end{cases},$$

$$b_j^{(n)}(\mathbf{0}) = \begin{cases} \frac{3L^2}{4N^2} (2j-1)(2n-N-1) & \text{if } j < n \\ \frac{3L^2}{4N^2} (2N-2j+1)(N-2n+1) & \text{if } j > n \end{cases},$$

$$c_{ij}(\mathbf{0}) = \frac{3L^2}{4N^2} (2j-1)(2i-1),$$

$$d_{ij}(\mathbf{0}) = \frac{3L^2}{4N^2} [2(N-i)+1] [2(N-j)+1],$$

$$e_{ij}(\mathbf{0}) = \frac{3L^2}{4N^2} (2i-1) [2(j-N)-1].$$

Since

$$\mathbb{D}(\mathbf{0}) := \frac{L}{3} \sum_{n=1}^N \mathbb{D}_n(\mathbf{0}) = \frac{L^3}{4N^2} \sum_{n=1}^N \frac{4N^2}{3L^2} \mathbb{D}_n(\mathbf{0})$$

we get

- for $i < j$,

$$\begin{aligned} \{\mathbb{D}(\mathbf{0})\}_{ij} &= \frac{L^3}{4N^2} \left[\sum_{n=1}^{i-1} (2N - 2i + 1)(2N - 2j + 1) + (2j - 2N - 1)(2i - N - 1) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sum_{n=i+1}^{j-1} (2i - 1)(2j - 2N - 1) + (2i - 1)(2j - N - 1) + \sum_{n=j+1}^N (2i - 1)(2j - 1) \right] \\ &= \frac{L^3}{4N} (2i - 1) [2(N - j) + 1], \end{aligned}$$

- for $i = j$,

$$\begin{aligned} \{\mathbb{D}(\mathbf{0})\}_{ii} &= \frac{L^3}{4N^2} \left[\sum_{n=1}^{i-1} (2N - 2i + 1)^2 + \left(\frac{4}{3}N^2 + 2N(1 - 2i) + 4i(i - 1) + 1 \right) + \sum_{n=i+1}^N (2i - 1)^2 \right] \\ &= \frac{L^3}{12N} [4N(3i - 2) - 3(2i - 1)^2], \end{aligned}$$

- for $i > j$, by symmetry,

$$\{\mathbb{D}(\mathbf{0})\}_{ij} = \{\mathbb{D}(\mathbf{0})\}_{ji} = \frac{L^3}{4N} (2j - 1) [2(N - i) + 1].$$

Therefore,

$$\{\mathbb{G}\}_{ij} = \begin{cases} \frac{L^3}{4N} (2i - 1) (2(N - j) + 1) & \text{if } i < j \\ \frac{L^3}{12N} [4N(3i - 2) - 3(2i - 1)^2] + w & \text{if } i = j \\ \frac{L^3}{4N} (2j - 1) (2(N - i) + 1) & \text{if } i > j \end{cases}. \quad (13)$$

Notice that \mathbb{G} is symmetric both about the main diagonal (by construction) and about the secondary diagonal indeed

- for $i < j$,

$$\begin{aligned} \{\mathbb{G}\}_{ij} &= \frac{L^3}{4N} (2i - 1) (2(N - j) + 1) = \frac{L^3}{4N} (2(N + 1 - j) - 1) (2(N - (N + 1 - i)) + 1) \\ &= \{\mathbb{G}\}_{(N+1-j)(N+1-i)} \end{aligned}$$

- for $i = j$,

$$\begin{aligned} \{\mathbb{G}\}_{ii} &= \frac{L^3}{12N} \left[4N(3i - 2) - 3(2i - 1)^2 \right] + w \\ &= \frac{L^3}{12N} \left[4N(3(N + 1 - i) - 2) - 3(2(N + 1 - i) - 1)^2 \right] + w \\ &= \{\mathbb{G}\}_{(N+1-i)(N+1-i)} \end{aligned}$$

- for $i > j$, by symmetry,

$$\{\mathbb{G}\}_{ij} = \{\mathbb{G}\}_{ji} = \{\mathbb{G}\}_{(N+1-i)(N+1-j)} = \{\mathbb{G}\}_{(N+1-j)(N+1-i)} .$$

Such a property is usually referred to as “bisymmetry” and it implies “centrosymmetry”, i.e., symmetry about the center or, in other terms,

$$\{\mathbb{G}\}_{ij} = \{\mathbb{G}\}_{(N+1-i)(N+1-j)} \quad \forall i, j = 1, \dots, N .$$

C3. Optimal control problem for the periodic version

Consider the optimal control problem

$$\mathcal{S}_u^* := \left\{ \mathbf{u} \in C^3(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^N) \mid \mathbf{u}(0) = \mathbf{u}(T) \quad \wedge \quad E[\mathbf{u}, \dot{\mathbf{u}}] := \int_0^T \dot{\mathbf{u}} \cdot \mathbb{G}_u^* \dot{\mathbf{u}} dt = c \right\} \quad (14)$$

where

$$\mathbb{V}_u^* := J_{per}^T \mathbb{V} J_{per}, \quad \mathbb{G}_u^* := J_{per}^T \mathbb{G} J_{per}, \quad \epsilon = \mathbb{J}_{per} \mathbf{u}$$

and

$$\mathbb{J}_{per} := \frac{1}{L} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & & -1 \\ -1 & 1 & & \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

In view of (2) and (13), some calculations lead to

$$\{\mathbb{V}_u^*\}_{ij} = \begin{cases} \frac{p-1}{2NL} & \text{if } i = j - 1 \text{ or } (i, j) = (N, 1) \\ -\frac{p-1}{2NL} & \text{if } i = j + 1 \text{ or } (i, j) = (1, N) \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases} \quad (15)$$

and

$$\{\mathbb{G}_u^*\}_{ij} = \begin{cases} \frac{2N-3}{3N} L + \frac{2w}{L^2} & \text{if } i = j - 1 \text{ or } (i, j) = (N, 1) \\ \frac{N-6}{6N} L - \frac{w}{L^2} & \text{if } i = j + 1 \text{ or } (i, j) = (1, N) \\ -\frac{L}{N} & \text{else} \end{cases} . \quad (16)$$

Hence, Euler-Lagrange equations associated with (14), are given by

$$\mathbb{V}_u^* \dot{\mathbf{u}} = \lambda \mathbb{G}_u^* \ddot{\mathbf{u}} \quad (17)$$

where \mathbb{V}_u^* and \mathbb{G}_u^* are circulant and, for this reason, diagonalizable on a common orthonormal basis, which is called *Fourier basis*. Indeed,

$$\mathbb{V}_u^* = \{\mathbb{V}_u^*\}_{1,1} \mathbb{I}_N + \{\mathbb{V}_u^*\}_{1,2} \mathbb{E} + \dots + \{\mathbb{V}_u^*\}_{1,N} \mathbb{E}^{N-1}$$

$$\mathbb{G}_u^* = \{\mathbb{G}_u^*\}_{1,1} \mathbb{I}_N + \{\mathbb{G}_u^*\}_{1,2} \mathbb{E} + \dots + \{\mathbb{G}_u^*\}_{1,N} \mathbb{E}^{N-1}$$

where

$$\mathbb{E} := \begin{bmatrix} & & & & 1 \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ 1 & & & & \end{bmatrix} \quad (\mathbb{E}^N = \mathbb{I}_N),$$

whose eigenvectors are

$$\mathbf{e}_j = \frac{1}{N} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ e^{i\frac{2\pi}{N}(j-1)} \\ \vdots \\ e^{i\frac{2\pi}{N}(j-1)(N-1)} \end{bmatrix} \quad \left(\text{corresponding to the eigenvalue } \mu_j = e^{i\frac{2\pi}{N}(j-1)} \right) \text{ for } j = 1, \dots, N.$$

Therefore

$$\mathbb{G}_u^* \mathbf{e}_j = g_j \mathbf{e}_j \quad \text{where} \quad g_j := \left(\sum_{k=1}^N \{\mathbb{G}_u^*\}_{1,k} \mu_j^{k-1} \right) \quad (18)$$

and

$$\mathbb{V}_u^* \mathbf{e}_j = v_j \mathbf{e}_j \quad \text{where} \quad v_j := \left(\sum_{k=1}^N \{\mathbb{V}_u^*\}_{1,k} \mu_j^{k-1} \right). \quad (19)$$

Writing

$$\mathbf{u}(t) = \sum_{j=1}^N u_j(t) \mathbf{e}_j$$

we can project equation (17) along the eigenvectors, i.e.,

$$\lambda g_j \ddot{u}_j(t) = v_j \dot{u}_j(t) \quad \forall j.$$

Thus, up to a constant,

$$u_j(t) = \begin{cases} \frac{\alpha_j \lambda \sqrt{g_j}}{v_j} e^{\frac{v_j}{\lambda g_j} t} & \text{for } j = 1, \dots, N \text{ s.t. } g_j, v_j \neq 0 \\ \alpha_j t & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

where α_j are complex constants; furthermore, periodicity yields

$$\begin{cases} \lambda = \frac{T}{2\pi k_j} \frac{v_j}{ig_j} & \text{for } j = 1, \dots, N \text{ s.t. } g_j, v_j \neq 0 \\ \alpha_j = 0 & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

where $k_j \in \mathbb{N} \forall j$.

On the other hand,

$$V[\mathbf{u}, \dot{\mathbf{u}}] = - \int_0^T \mathbb{V}_u^* \dot{\mathbf{u}} \cdot \mathbf{u} dt = - \int_0^T \lambda \mathbb{G}_u^* \ddot{\mathbf{u}} \cdot \mathbf{u} dt = \lambda \int_0^T \mathbb{G}_u^* \dot{\mathbf{u}} \cdot \dot{\mathbf{u}} dt = \lambda E[\mathbf{u}, \dot{\mathbf{u}}]$$

where $E[\mathbf{u}, \dot{\mathbf{u}}] = c$ is constrained by the optimization problem. Then maximizing the approximated displacement leads to take λ as big as possible i.e.,

$$\lambda = \frac{T}{2\pi} \frac{v_M}{ig_M} \quad \text{where} \quad \frac{v_M}{ig_M} = \max_{\substack{j=1, \dots, N \\ g_j, v_j \neq 0}} \frac{v_j}{ig_j}$$

and, in order to preserve the periodicity,

$$\alpha_j = 0 \quad \text{for } j \notin \left\{ k \in \{1, \dots, N\} : \frac{v_k}{ig_k} = \max_{\substack{j=1, \dots, N \\ g_j, v_j \neq 0}} \left| \frac{v_j}{ig_j} \right| \right\}.$$

In particular, in view of (18) and (19), we have

$$v_j = \sum_{k=1}^N \{\mathbb{V}_u^*\}_{1,k} e^{i \frac{2\pi}{N} (k-1)(j-1)} = \frac{(p-1)}{2NL} \left[e^{i \frac{2\pi}{N} (j-1)} - e^{-i \frac{2\pi}{N} (j-1)} \right] = i \frac{(p-1)}{NL} \sin\left(\frac{2\pi(j-1)}{N}\right)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} g_j &= \sum_{k=1}^N \{\mathbb{G}_u^*\}_{1,k} e^{i \frac{2\pi}{N} (k-1)(j-1)} \\ &= \{\mathbb{G}_u^*\}_{1,1} + \{\mathbb{G}_u^*\}_{1,2} \left[e^{i \frac{2\pi}{N} (j-1)} - e^{i \frac{2\pi}{N} (j-1)(N-1)} \right] + \{\mathbb{G}_u^*\}_{1,3} \sum_{k=3}^{N-1} e^{i \frac{2\pi}{N} (j-1)(k-1)} \\ &= \begin{cases} 2 \left[\frac{L}{3} + \frac{w}{L^2} + \left(\frac{L}{6} - \frac{w}{L^2} \right) \cos\left(\frac{2\pi(j-1)}{N}\right) \right] & \text{for } j \neq 1 \\ 0 & \text{for } j = 1 \end{cases}. \end{aligned}$$

Notice that

$$\frac{v_j}{g_j} = - \frac{v_{N-j+2}}{g_{N-j+2}} \quad \text{for } j = 2, \dots, N$$

and hence, a (real) solution has the form (up to a constant)

$$\mathbf{u}(t) = \frac{\alpha T}{2\pi i \sqrt{g_M}} e^{\frac{2\pi i}{T} t} \mathbf{e}_M - \frac{\bar{\alpha} T}{2\pi i \sqrt{g_M}} e^{-\frac{2\pi i}{T} t} \mathbf{e}_M = - \frac{T}{\pi \sqrt{g_M}} \Re \left(\alpha i e^{\frac{2\pi i}{T} t} \mathbf{e}_M \right)$$

where $\alpha \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ fulfills the constraint

$$\|\alpha\| = \sqrt{\frac{c}{2T}} \quad \left(\text{since } \int_0^T \mathbb{G}_u^* \dot{\mathbf{u}} \cdot \dot{\mathbf{u}} dt = 2T\|\alpha\|^2 \right)$$

and

$$\mathbf{e}_M := \frac{1}{N} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ e^{i\frac{2\pi}{N}(M-1)} \\ \vdots \\ e^{i\frac{2\pi}{N}(M-1)(N-1)} \end{bmatrix}.$$

In terms of strains,

$$\begin{cases} \epsilon_1 = \frac{u_1 - u_N}{L} = -\frac{T}{\pi N L \sqrt{g_M}} \Re \left(\alpha i e^{\frac{2\pi i}{T} t} \left[1 - e^{-i\frac{2\pi}{N}(M-1)} \right] \right) \\ \epsilon_j = \frac{u_j - u_{j-1}}{L} = -\frac{T}{\pi N L \sqrt{g_M}} \Re \left(\alpha i e^{\frac{2\pi i}{T} t} \left[1 - e^{-i\frac{2\pi}{N}(M-1)} \right] e^{i\frac{2\pi}{N}(M-1)(j-1)} \right) \end{cases} \quad \text{for } j = 2, \dots, N$$

whence the exact peristalsis

$$\boldsymbol{\epsilon}(t) = -\frac{T}{\pi \sqrt{g_M}} \Re \left(\alpha i e^{\frac{2\pi i}{T} t} \mathbf{e} \right) \quad \text{where } \mathbf{e} := \begin{bmatrix} e_1 \\ e^{i\frac{2\pi(M-1)}{N}} e_1 \\ \vdots \\ e^{i\frac{2\pi(M-1)}{N}(n-1)} e_1 \\ \vdots \\ e^{i\frac{2\pi(M-1)}{N}(N-1)} e_1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad e_1 := \frac{1}{NL} \left[1 - e^{-i\frac{2\pi}{N}(M-1)} \right].$$

Finally, observe that the wavenumber (i.e., the frequency in space) of the peristalsis is $k = M - 1$ and it is the result of

$$\max_{k=1, \dots, N-1} \frac{v_{k+1}}{ig_{k+1}} = \max_{k=1, \dots, N-1} \frac{\frac{(p-1)}{NL} \sin\left(\frac{2\pi k}{N}\right)}{\left[\frac{L}{3} + \frac{w}{L^2} + \left(\frac{L}{6} - \frac{w}{L^2}\right) \cos\left(\frac{2\pi k}{N}\right)\right]}$$

i.e.,

$$k \sim \frac{N}{2\pi} \arccos\left(\frac{\frac{1}{2}6w-L^3}{3w+L^3}\right).$$

Notice that

- for $w \rightarrow +\infty$, the wavenumber k tends to 1;
- for $w = 0$, the wavenumber k gets close to $\frac{N}{3}$.

APPENDIX D PROOF OF REFLECTIONAL SYMMETRY

Consider the optimization problem

$$\max_{\boldsymbol{\eta} \in [0, 2\pi]^N} u_s(\boldsymbol{\eta}) := \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \mathbf{v}(\boldsymbol{\epsilon}) \cdot \dot{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}} dt \quad (20)$$

where, for $n = 1, \dots, N$,

$$\epsilon_n(t) := a \sin\left(\frac{2\pi}{T}t + \eta_n\right) \quad \text{and} \quad v_n(t) := -\frac{L}{2} \frac{(1 + \epsilon_n)^{1-p} + 2 \sum_{i=n+1}^N (1 + \epsilon_i)^{1-p}}{\sum_{j=1}^N (1 + \epsilon_j)^{1-p}}.$$

Assume that (20) has a unique solution and denote it by

$$\boldsymbol{\epsilon}(\boldsymbol{\eta})(t) = \left\{ \epsilon_n(t) = a \sin\left(\frac{2\pi}{T}t + \eta_n\right) \right\}_{n=1, \dots, N}.$$

Consider

$$\tilde{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}(t) := \tilde{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\eta}})(t) \quad \text{where} \quad \tilde{\boldsymbol{\eta}} = -\mathbb{K}\boldsymbol{\eta} + 2\pi, \quad \mathbb{K} := \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times N}.$$

Notice that for $n = 1, \dots, N$,

$$\tilde{\epsilon}_n(t) = a \sin\left(\frac{2\pi}{T}t - (\mathbb{K}\boldsymbol{\eta})_n\right) = \{-\mathbb{K}\boldsymbol{\epsilon}(-t)\}_n = -\epsilon_{N+1-n}(-t)$$

and, consequently,

$$\dot{\tilde{\epsilon}}_n(t) = \dot{\epsilon}_{N+1-n}(-t).$$

Since both $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}(t)$ and $\dot{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}(t)$ are periodic functions of period T , we have

$$\begin{aligned} -\frac{2}{L} \int_0^T \mathbf{v}(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}) \cdot \dot{\tilde{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}} dt &= \int_0^T \sum_{n=1}^N \dot{\tilde{\epsilon}}_n \left[\left(\sum_{j=1}^N (1 + \tilde{\epsilon}_j)^{1-p} \right)^{-1} \left((1 + \tilde{\epsilon}_n)^{1-p} + 2 \sum_{i=n+1}^N (1 + \tilde{\epsilon}_i)^{1-p} \right) \right] dt \\ &= \int_0^T \sum_{n=1}^N \dot{\epsilon}_{N+1-n}(-t) \left[\left(\sum_{j=1}^N (1 - \epsilon_{N+1-j}(-t))^{1-p} \right)^{-1} \left((1 - \epsilon_{N+1-n}(-t))^{1-p} \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. + 2 \sum_{i=n+1}^N (1 - \epsilon_{N+1-i}(-t))^{1-p} \right) \right] dt \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \int_0^T \sum_{n=1}^N \dot{\epsilon}_{N+1-n}(t) \left[\left(\sum_{j=1}^N (1 - \epsilon_{N+1-j}(t))^{1-p} \right)^{-1} \left((1 - \epsilon_{N+1-n}(t))^{1-p} \right. \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \left. + 2 \sum_{i=n+1}^N (1 - \epsilon_{N+1-i}(t))^{1-p} \right) \right] dt \\
 &= \int_0^T \sum_{n=1}^N \dot{\epsilon}_n \left[\left(\sum_{j=1}^N (1 - \epsilon_j)^{1-p} \right)^{-1} \left((1 - \epsilon_n)^{1-p} + 2 \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (1 - \epsilon_i)^{1-p} \right) \right] dt \\
 &= -\frac{2}{L} \int_0^T \mathbf{v}^*(\boldsymbol{\epsilon}) \cdot \dot{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}} dt
 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$v_n^* := -\frac{L}{2} \frac{(1 - \epsilon_n)^{1-p} + 2 \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (1 - \epsilon_i)^{1-p}}{\sum_{j=1}^N (1 - \epsilon_j)^{1-p}}.$$

Observe that the last integral can be rewritten as

$$\int_0^T \mathbf{v}^*(\boldsymbol{\epsilon}) \cdot \dot{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}} dt = \oint_{\partial\Omega} \omega^*$$

where $\partial\Omega$ is the closed curve described by $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}(t)$ and ω^* is the 1-form given by

$$\omega^* := \sum_{n=1}^N v_n^* d\epsilon_n. \tag{21}$$

The exterior derivative of (21) is the following 2-form

$$d\omega^* = \sum_{\substack{i,j=1 \\ i \neq j}}^N \frac{\partial v_i^*}{\partial \epsilon_j} d\epsilon_j \wedge d\epsilon_i = \sum_{\substack{i,j=1 \\ i < j}}^N A_{ij}^*(\boldsymbol{\epsilon}) d\epsilon_i \wedge d\epsilon_j \quad \text{where} \quad A_{ij}^*(\boldsymbol{\epsilon}) := \left(\frac{\partial v_j^*}{\partial \epsilon_i} - \frac{\partial v_i^*}{\partial \epsilon_j} \right).$$

In particular, since

$$\frac{\partial v_i^*}{\partial \epsilon_j} = \begin{cases} - \left[\sum_{n=1}^N (1 - \epsilon_n)^{1-p} \right]^{-2} (1-p)(1 - \epsilon_j)^{-p} \left[(1 - \epsilon_i)^{1-p} + 2 \sum_{n=i+1}^N (1 - \epsilon_n)^{1-p} \right] & j < i \\ \left[\sum_{n=1}^N (1 - \epsilon_n)^{1-p} \right]^{-2} (1-p)(1 - \epsilon_j)^{-p} \left[(1 - \epsilon_i)^{1-p} + 2 \sum_{n=1}^{i-1} (1 - \epsilon_n)^{1-p} \right] & j > i \end{cases}$$

we get

$$A_{ij}^*(\boldsymbol{\epsilon}) = - \left[\sum_{n=1}^N (1 - \epsilon_n)^{1-p} \right]^{-2} (1-p) \left[(1 - \epsilon_i)^{-p} \left((1 - \epsilon_j)^{1-p} + 2 \sum_{n=j+1}^N (1 - \epsilon_n)^{1-p} \right) + (1 - \epsilon_j)^{-p} \left((1 - \epsilon_i)^{1-p} + 2 \sum_{n=1}^{i-1} (1 - \epsilon_n)^{1-p} \right) \right].$$

Therefore, by Stokes' theorem (see any differential geometry textbook, e.g., McInerney (2013)),

$$\int_0^T \mathbf{v}^*(\boldsymbol{\epsilon}) \cdot \dot{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}} dt = \oint_{\partial\Omega} \omega^* = \int_{\Omega} d\omega^* = \int_{\Omega} \sum_{i < j} A_{ij}^* d\epsilon_i \wedge d\epsilon_j$$

and, since the domain Ω is invariant with respect to the reflection about the origin,

$$\int_{\Omega} \sum_{i < j} A_{ij}^* d\epsilon_i \wedge d\epsilon_j = \int_{\Omega} \sum_{i < j} A_{ij}^*(-\boldsymbol{\epsilon}) d\epsilon_i \wedge d\epsilon_j.$$

Similarly, the exterior derivative of

$$\omega := \sum_{n=1}^N v_n d\epsilon_n,$$

is given by

$$d\omega = \sum_{\substack{i,j=1 \\ i < j}}^N A_{ij}(\boldsymbol{\epsilon}) d\epsilon_i \wedge d\epsilon_j \quad \text{where} \quad A_{ij}(\boldsymbol{\epsilon}) := \left(\frac{\partial v_j}{\partial \epsilon_i} - \frac{\partial v_i}{\partial \epsilon_j} \right).$$

Since

$$\frac{\partial v_i}{\partial \epsilon_j} = \begin{cases} - \left[\sum_{n=1}^N (1 + \epsilon_n)^{1-p} \right]^{-2} (1-p)(1 + \epsilon_j)^{-p} \left[(1 + \epsilon_i)^{1-p} + 2 \sum_{n=i+1}^N (1 + \epsilon_n)^{1-p} \right] & j < i \\ \left[\sum_{n=1}^N (1 + \epsilon_n)^{1-p} \right]^{-2} (1-p)(1 + \epsilon_j)^{-p} \left[(1 + \epsilon_i)^{1-p} + 2 \sum_{n=1}^{i-1} (1 + \epsilon_n)^{1-p} \right] & j > i \end{cases},$$

we notice that

$$\begin{aligned} A_{ij}(\boldsymbol{\epsilon}) &= - \left[\sum_{n=1}^N (1 - \epsilon_n)^{1-p} \right]^{-2} (1-p) \left[(1 - \epsilon_i)^{-p} \left((1 - \epsilon_j)^{1-p} + 2 \sum_{n=j+1}^N (1 - \epsilon_n)^{1-p} \right) + (1 - \epsilon_j)^{-p} \left((1 - \epsilon_i)^{1-p} + 2 \sum_{n=1}^{i-1} (1 - \epsilon_n)^{1-p} \right) \right] \\ &= A_{ij}^*(-\boldsymbol{\epsilon}). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\int_0^T \mathbf{v}^*(\boldsymbol{\epsilon}) \cdot \dot{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}} dt = \oint_{\partial\Omega} \omega^* = \int_{\Omega} d\omega^* = \int_{\Omega} d\omega = \oint_{\partial\Omega} \omega = \int_0^T \mathbf{v}(\boldsymbol{\epsilon}) \cdot \dot{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}} dt$$

whence we conclude

$$\frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \mathbf{v}(\tilde{\epsilon}) \cdot \dot{\tilde{\epsilon}} dt = \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \mathbf{v}(\epsilon) \cdot \dot{\epsilon} dt .$$

In other terms, $\tilde{\epsilon}$ is a solution to (20) and, by uniqueness of the solution,

$$\epsilon_n(t) = -\epsilon_{N+1-n}(-t) .$$

Then

$$\boldsymbol{\eta} = -\mathbb{K}\boldsymbol{\eta} + 2\pi$$

which leads to the “reflectional symmetry about the center”, namely,

$$\eta_{n+1} - \eta_n = \eta_{N+1-n} - \eta_{N-n} \quad \forall n .$$